EXHIBIT C

```
1
<sup>2</sup> IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION UNDER
  THE UNCITRAL ARBITRATION RULES BETWEEN
  TELENOR MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS, AS,
4
                            Claimant,
5
                                           Volume IV
  VS.
  STORM, LLC,
7
                            Respondent.
8 -----x
10
                            666 Fifth Avenue
                            New York, New York
11
12
                            December 18, 2006
                            9:15 a.m.
13
14
15
16
<sup>17</sup> B E F O R E:
18
       KENNETH R. FEINBERG, Chairman
       WILLIAM R. JENTES, Arbitrator
20
       GREGORY B. CRAIG, Arbitrator
21
22
23
<sup>24</sup> Reported by:
<sup>25</sup> Amy E. Sikora, CRR, CSR, RPR, CLR
```

Page 2		Page 3
2 APPEARANCES:	1	Proceedings
3 For the Claimant:	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning,
4 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP	3	everybody. This is a continuation of
666 Fifth Avenue 5 New York, New York 10103-0001	4	the matter of an arbitration under the
6 BY: ROBERT L. SILLS, ESQ.	5	Uncitral arbitration rules between
JAY K. MUSOFF, ESQ.	6	Telenor Mobile Communications as
7	7	claimant and Storm, LLC as respondent.
8 -and-	8	I think the best place to start
9 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP Tower 42, Level 35	9	this morning, on behalf of my fellow
10 25 Old Broad Street	10	panel members, is to request of Storm,
London, EC2N 1 HQ	11	Pieter, that you summarize your late
DX: 557 London/City	12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12 BY: PETER O'DRISCOLL, ESQ.	13	Saturday night e-mail to the panel
13 14		explaining Storm's latest position
15 For the Respondent:	14	concerning this arbitration, what you
16	15	propose to do this morning, and what you
17 LOVELLS, ESQS.	16	feel you cannot do this morning, so that
590 Madison Avenue 18 New York, New York 10022	17	we have a brief summarization of exactly
18 New York, New York 10022 19	18	where Storm stands as of 9:30 a.m. this
BY: PIETER VAN TOL, ESQ.	19	morning, December 18th.
20 GONZALO S. ZEBALLOS, ESQ.	20	Pieter.
ERIC Z. CHANG, ESQ.	21	MR. VAN TOL: Thank you,
21 22	22	Mr. Chairman. I'll be brief.
23 ALSO PRESENT:	23	ARBITRATOR JENTES: If I could
24 KAREN D. THOMPSON, ESQ., Orrick	24	also ask, since we have so many orders
25 MAYSIE ANDERSON, ESQ., Orrick	25	from different courts, I think it would
Page 4		Page 5
1 Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2 be useful if you would indicate what you	2	nothing from any Ukrainian law expert
3 think are the orders that apply to this	3	regarding the December 1 ruling.
4 panel as opposed to the parties.	4	Instead, and this is in keeping with its
5 MR. VAN TOL: Absolutely.	5	usual approach, it's asking you to
6 ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay.	6	effectively overturn, ignore, the
7 MR. VAN TOL: That leads me to my	7	December 1 ruling.
8 first point, gentlemen, which is the	8	Gentlemen, we submit that that is
9 controlling order here is the	9	improper. If Telenor Mobile wants to do
December 1, 2006 ruling from the	10	something about the December 1 ruling,
11 Ukrainian court. There's been no	11	it should go to Ukraine. The ruling
subsequent ruling by any Ukrainian court	12	from the court said you have X number of
saying that that order is ineffective or	13	days to appeal. That's a very expedited
improper in any way. So it still	14	schedule. Telenor Mobile didn't take
stands, as it did when it was issued.	15	the court up on that offer.
16 It binds Storm automatically and it	16	We know from their submissions,
prohibits Storm from going forward	17	they have competent Ukrainian counsel.
18 today.	18	They participated in other matters in
Now, we have buttressed that	19	Ukraine. They know their way around the
20 opinion with the Logush expert evidence	20	court system, but they chose not to do
showing that the December 1 ruling is	21	anything about the December 1 ruling.
proper, both substantively and	22	And, indeed, I note on several
23 procedurally.	23	occasions over the course of the past
Telenor Mobile, on the other	24	few months the tribunal has asked
hand, has provided you with absolutely	25	Mr. Sills, why is it that you haven't

	Page 6		Page 7
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	gone to the Ukrainian courts. I would	2	more sufficient cause than an injunction
3	submit that you haven't gotten a	3	barring us from going forward. An
4	sufficient answer, but the answer you	4	injunction from a court in Storm's home
5	did get before was, more or less, why	5	country. An injunction that also
6	should we go there. The appellate	6	operates against Telenor Mobile. No one
7		7	debates that Telenor Mobile appears or
8	record's been developed already and	8	is in Ukraine and that the court would
9	there's no reason there's no way for	9	
10	us to change it.	10	have jurisdiction over Telenor Mobile.
11	Well, that's not the case with	11	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Why do you
12	respect to the December 1st ruling. It's out there. It could have been	12	think Telenor Mobile is bound by that
		13	order, when Telenor Mobile is not a
13 14	appealed by Telenor Mobile and they decided not to.	14	party?
			MR. VAN TOL: Telenor Mobile is
15	So the standard today is whether	15	not a party to the action, but Telenor
16	or not Storm has good cause for what	16	Mobile is a party to the injunction, and
17	it's doing. Mr. Sills cited the	17	that's what Professor Logush was
18	Uncitral default provisions in	18	explaining in her opinion.
19	Article 28 of the rules. But they apply	19	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That's a new
20	when a party fails to appear, quote,	20	concept for American lawyers to sort of
21	without showing sufficient cause for	21	get their heads around.
22	such failure.	22	MR. VAN TOL: And it is for me,
23	Well, we're here and we're	23	too, Mr. Craig, because that's what
24	telling you why there's sufficient	24	Judge Lynch did in the recent
25	cause, and it's hard to conceive of a	25	proceedings when he found that Altimo
	Page 8		Page 9
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	and Alpren were part of the same	2	ruling. And as you can see, it has no
3	injunction, even though we submit	3	effect.
4	they're not parties. We, their counsel,	4	Judge Lynch did not say the
5	submits they're not parties to the	5	Ukrainian court was wrong to issue the
6	Southern District action.	6	December 1st ruling. Judge Lynch did
7	But what Professor Logush was	7	not overturn the December 1 ruling. He
8	saying in her opinion is the injunction	8	did not do anything that would disturb
9	really only has full effect if you	9	that ruling.
10	enjoin Telenor Mobile, over which there	10	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Let me ask
11	is jurisdiction in the Ukraine. But,	11	I've read his conclusion several times,
12	really, you know, if it binds Storm,	12	and he says that Storm, Altimo, and
13	that's enough for us not to go forward.	13	Alpren, but let's focus on Storm, are
14	If there were a ruling from the	14	enjoined from bringing, so you're not
15	Ukrainian court saying it doesn't apply	15	bringing, but you're attempting to cause
16	to Telenor Mobile, our position wouldn't	16	the enforcement of any legal action in
17	change. We would still say today we	17	the Ukraine that would disrupt, delay,
18	can't go forward.	18	or hinder in any way the arbitration
19	Now, you've led me to my next	19	proceeding.
20	point which is, as we expected,	20	It does seem to me that his
21	Mr. Sills is relying on Judge Lynch's	21	injunction stops you from attempting to
22	December 15th order. And that was	22	delay and hinder this arbitration
23	really one of the purposes of my e-mail	23	proceeding. That's why I asked you.
24	was to lay out what effect, if any, that	24	I'm just trying to understand what your
25	that ruling has on the December 1	25	position is vis-a-vis Judge Lynch and

		1	1
	Page 10		Page 11
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	what we're supposed to do.	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, picking up
3	MR. VAN TOL: And I parsed that	3	on Bill's point, as I read Judge Lynch's
4	language carefully myself. What he said	4	last conclusion, he says that Storm is
5	is, don't bring an action in Ukraine.	5	enjoined from attempting to cause the
6	We haven't done that, we're the	6	enforcement. Attempting to cause the
7	defendant.	7	enforcement, of any legal action in the
8	ARBITRATOR JENTES: No, I	8	Ukraine that would disrupt, delay, or
9	understand that.	9	hinder the arbitration.
10	MR. VAN TOL: Don't enforce the	10	MR. VAN TOL: Right. We have no
11	action in the Ukraine. We haven't done	11	plans to do so.
12	that either. We're the defendant. We	12	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Your point
13	haven't done anything to enforce.	13	is, all you're doing is, you're saying
14	That's why we got Professor Logush's	14	there's a self-enforcing order over
15	opinion which shows you it's a	15	here. We're calling it to your
16	self-executing ruling. It says right in	16	attention. And it stops you from
17	there it shall take effect immediately,	17	proceeding and whatever flows from that
18	it's automatically binding, and it goes	18	is not your doing.
19	to the state enforcement service for	19	MR. VAN TOL: That's exactly
20	enforcement. Storm has not done	20	right.
21	anything to enforce that order.	21	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Yet.	22	THE CHAIRMAN: So what do you
23	MR. VAN TOL: Well, you say yet.	23	propose, again, to summarize your
24	We're the defendant, so I don't know	24	e-mail, Pieter, what do you propose to
25	what it is a defendant would do to	25	do today?
	Page 12		Page 13
1		1	
1 2	Proceedings	1 2	Proceedings
3	MR. VAN TOL: What I propose to	3	is a severable agreement to arbitrate.
4	do today is make the application I just	4	And in that October 22nd award you said
5	made, which is to adjourn this hearing until such time as the Ukrainian court	5	that it was apparent to you from the record before you that the Ukrainian
6	action has run its course. And that we	6 7	courts did not consider severability nor
7	reconvene at a later point.	8	even the existence of the arbitration
8 9	What I cannot do today is, I	9	here in New York.
10	cannot go forward with argument or	10	And what the purpose of the
11	proceeding on the merits of the action or the arbitration because that would	11	clarification order was to show you
12		$\begin{vmatrix} 11 \\ 12 \end{vmatrix}$	that, yes, Ukrainian courts are aware there's an arbitration here. And also
13	violate the December 1 injunction from	13	
	the Ukrainian court.	1	that severability is not a concept that
14	THE CHAIRMAN: You also had an	14	the Ukrainian courts are going to
15 16	alternative.	15 16	recognize.
16 17	MR. VAN TOL: Our alternative	16 17	Under Ukrainian law, as we said
18	grounds doesn't go to the merits, it	18	in our expert evidence, and it's
	goes to the jurisdiction, is really a	19	buttressed by the opinion, when the
19	reminder, because I think we really	1	agreement is found to be null and void,
20	fully laid this out for the tribunal. A	20	all legal consequences that entail from
21	reminder that there was a clarification	21	it flow, and one of them is the
22	from the Ukrainian court.	22 23	arbitration clause is null and void.
23	In your October 22nd order, as I	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Let me ask one
24	read it, and I think I read it right,	24	question, Pieter. Doesn't Judge Lynch,
25	the basis of jurisdiction is that there	25	in effect, rule find the opposite on

	Page 14		Page 15
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	both of your points?	2	imagine that Telenor Mobile could try to
3	MR. VAN TOL: I don't think he	3	go to the Ukrainian court and say, I
4	did, Mr. Chairman. I think he was	4	missed the deadline, but I did so with
5	deferring to the tribunal. What he was	5	good cause. May I intervene and appeal
6	doing was, we were making a motion, with	6	now. I'm just saying that's what I
7	respect, that you had manifestly	7	would do if I were in their shoes.
	1 '	8	
8	disregarded the law. And the judge made		But it's not as if well, and
9	it as clear as he could that he was not	9	two things I should say, actually. As I
10	substituting his finding for yours, but	10	understand that order, it was akin to a
11	merely that you had a basis for your	11	preliminary injunction to keep things in
12	ruling. We disagree and think that	12	place while there is an action going on
13	there is more to be heard on that, but	13	there.
14	Judge Lynch did not say anything other	14	So even if Telenor Mobile doesn't
15	than that you did not manifestly	15	attack the preliminary injunction, it
16	disregard the law.	16	may go in and litigate the merits of the
17	ARBITRATOR JENTES: You said that	17	ultimate injunction there. There's an
18	you thought that we should adjourn until	18	anticipated permanent injunction, much
19	the Ukrainian proceedings runs its	19	as there has been before Judge Lynch.
20	course. In light of the five-day	20	So the action will continue beyond the
21	limitation, what's what's out there	21	preliminary injunction stage.
22	to be done in the Ukraine?	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sills.
23	MR. VAN TOL: That's a very good	23	MR. SILLS: Thank you,
24	question, and I always hesitate to opine	24	Mr. Chairman. Let me begin by
25	on what Ukrainian law is, but I would	25	addressing the question that Mr. Jentes
	Page 16		Page 17
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	raised at the very outset. And the	2	because of one of these self-generated
3	answer is that these orders, supposed	3	Ukrainian litigations.
4	orders in the Ukraine, on their face do	4	Now, we weren't the ones who
5	not apply to this panel at all. And	5	invoked the jurisdiction of the Southern
6	there was a representation made on the	6	District here. After this panel denied
7	record in court by Altimo and Altimo's	7	Storm's motion to dismiss, an
8	lawyer, who's not here today, Mr. Ron	8	,
9	J .	9	application was made in court to set it
	Rolfe of the Cravath firm, it appears at	10	aside on grounds that it was a manifest
10	pages 39 and 40 of the transcript which		disregard of the law. That generated
11	Mr. Van Tol had forwarded to you, where	11	Judge Lynch's first opinion. I don't
12	he specifically represents that there's	12	want to take up the panel's time because
13	no effort to enjoin the arbitrators, and	13	that opinion speaks for itself.
14	that the arbitrators are not subject to	14	Dissatisfied with that,
15	these supposed Ukrainian orders. So I	15	apparently, they went back and sued
16	think that's one issue that's off the	16	themselves again, and it's absolutely
17	table.	17	clear that that's what's going on. The
18	I think it's helpful to put this	18	claims that these are not collusive
19	in context. We brought this case in	19	litigations and cannot stand, and they
20	February. And it gets plagued by an	20	particularly cannot stand after two
21	endless series of delays and there's	21	orders of an exceptionally well-regarded
22	delays of all kinds at the influence by	22	district judge in the Southern District.
23	Storm. Every time a hearing is	23	He had five hearings, as he said, in
24	scheduled, we get a last-minute	24	this case. And what he heard on the
25	application to delay it. Typically,	25	record with a witness present, after

	Page 18		Page 19
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	giving Storm the fullest possible	2	opportunity for due process.
3	opportunity to make its case, is that	3	This arbitration, by agreement,
4	Storm was being sued by members of its	4	is to be held in New York. The Southern
5	own corporate family as part of a design	5	District has accorded the seat of the
6	to frustrate, hinder, and delay this	6	arbitration. It's subject to New York
7	arbitration.	7	law, and by agreement of the parties
8	I think I should say that I do	8	review or confirmation can be sought in
9	believe that making this application	9	the Southern District.
10	itself is arguably a contempt of that	10	So we are proceeding in
11	order. And there are further	11	accordance with the agreement between
12	proceedings to be had before Judge	12	the parties. What we've been trying to
13	Lynch.	13	do, ever since February, is get a
14	Unlike Ukrainian proceedings, we	14	hearing on the merits.
15	did give notice. We did serve Alpren	15	THE CHAIRMAN: You say that, but
16	and Altimo. They hired an eminent firm,	16	is Pieter right when he says, Bob, that
17	a senior member of that firm to make	17	to this day you haven't appealed, you
18	their argument that they were not	18	haven't appealed the Ukrainian orders?
19	subject to this court's jurisdiction.	19	MR. SILLS: We don't because we
20	He made that pitch and he lost.	20	don't believe they're proper. We
21	He has appellate rights in the	21	believe they're a collusive and
22	Second Circuit. They're not subject to	22	collateral attack on this tribunal's
23	five-day limitations. We didn't get an	23	jurisdiction and on the agreed
24	order that binds him and tells him to	24	jurisdiction of the Southern District.
25	come in later. There's been the fullest	25	And the fact that we've agreed on
			·
	Page 20		Page 21
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	a forum, arbitration, a venue for that	2	to a judgment in the Southern District
3	arbitration, New York, and a governing	3	in accordance with the agreement of the
4	law for that arbitration, New York law,	4	parties, and we seek enforcement in the
5	is enough. And the fact they choose to	5	Ukraine, that's the appropriate time to
6	sue us in Ukraine or anyplace else	6	invoke the jurisdiction of Ukrainian
7	doesn't mean that at their option they	7	courts, not with these efforts to hinder
8	can avoid the deal the parties make.	8	and delay this arbitration.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: So let me ask you	9	And whether or not on a real
10	a question. Let's say we go forward,	10	hearing with a real presentation of
11	and let's assume you prevail in Storm's	11	evidence and, most important, real
12	absence here. Then what do you do?	12	adversaries, not parties who are all on
13	MR. SILLS: We're going to	13	the same side of the caption pretending
14	enforce that order.	14	to be plaintiffs and defendants, we may
15	THE CHAIRMAN: In the United	15	well get a different result.
16	States?	16	But it's also important, I think,
17	MR. SILLS: Well, I think, as	17	to see that as Judge Lynch found, Storm
18	Judge Lynch pointed out, there has never	18	is part of a larger corporate family.
19	been a contested hearing in Ukraine on	19	He expressly held that we had a
20	any of these issues. And once we get an	20	significant likelihood sufficient for
21	order, and I think the fact that I	21	preliminary injunction to show that
22	don't want to get ahead of myself, but I	22	Altimo and Alpren are bound to this
23	believe we have an exceptionally strong	23	agreement as well.
24	case on the merits. If the panel does	24	Altimo has significant assets
25	rule in our favor, and that is reduced	25	outside of Russia and Ukraine. They're

	Page 22		Page 23
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	co-headquarters are in London. They	2	that we regard these Ukrainian orders as
3	maintain significant cash and noncash	3	a nullity. We don't plan, we don't have
4	assets in New York. We're confident	4	any current plans to appear before the
5	we'll be able to enforce that order.	5	Ukrainian court in these collusive
6	But I think, to take a step back,	6	cases.
7	there's two points. We wouldn't be	7	There is an injunction that
8	pursuing this and taking up the	8	specifically prohibits Storm and its
9	tribunal's time and our time, if we	9	corporate parents from doing anything in
10	didn't think we could get an effective	10	Ukraine to try and enforce those orders.
11	order in the end.	11	And if they try and enforce those
12	Sometimes there are people who	12	orders, we will have them held in
13	hide from the process server. But in	13	contempt in New York and we will proceed
14	the end we think we'll be able to	14	against the non-Ukrainan assets.
15	enforce this panel's order. But, it	15	I think what Judge Lynch has
16	seems to me, more than unseemly for a	16	done, and I think it's absolutely clear
17	party that made a deal and agreed to	17	from the content and tone of both his
18	arbitration to raise as a defense the	18	opinions, that he believes this
19	claim that if they lose they will simply	19	arbitration ought to go forward; that
20	thumb their noses at that tribunal and	20	there is no legal reason it shouldn't go
21	at any award entered upon I'm sorry,	21	forward. He's cleared the path for us,
22	any judgment entered upon award in the	22	and he's given us an order that prevents
23	United States. That in effect is our	23	the Ukrainian courts and prevents Storm
24	problem. Just as it's our problem, and	24	and its fellow corporate family members
25	I don't think any concern of Storm's,	25	from using and abusing the Ukrainian
	Page 24		Page 25
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	courts to interfere with this	2	reasons of their own, then we're
3	arbitration.	3	prepared to go forward in any event.
4	The panel heard this application	4	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I'd like to
5	in effect on December 4 when this was	5	get back, I'm sorry, on a simplistic
6	raised. There was an exchange of	6	approach towards this thing. What I
7	correspondence. There was, as I'm sure	7	heard was that there is no outstanding
8	you recall, a lively debate in a	8	order from any court that says that we
9	telephone conference on this point. The	9	should not proceed. I take it that
10	panel made its ruling that we could go	10	Storm agrees with that? That is, the
11	forth today, at long last, and hear the	11	panel should not proceed?
12	case on the merits.	12	MR. VAN TOL: From my reading of
13	This is nothing more than a	13	the December 1 ruling, I don't see any
14	last-minute, literally a last-minute,	14	reference to any order against the
15	application argument. It's no more	15	tribunal members.
16	meritorious now than it was on the 4th.	16	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And then on
17	We're here. We're ready to go forward.	17	November 15 when you wrote us the letter
18	It's up to Storm whether it wants to	18	requesting the alternative relief, is
19	proceed or not. We welcome their	19	that still the relief that you're asking
20	participation, but it is not up to me	20	for us from us today?
21	and it's not up to the panel. If they	21	MR. VAN TOL: I'm sorry, I am
22	want to go forward, as I think they	22	losing my dates. The November 15th was
23	should, then we ought to take a brief	23	after the clarification order.
24	recess and proceed to the evidence. If	24	ARBITRATOR JENTES: What you said
	they want to absent themselves for	25	then was

	Page 26		Page 27
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	THE CHAIRMAN: December.	2	you're clear, I didn't view it as being
3	ARBITRATOR JENTES: No. This is	3	that narrow ground. We did find that we
4	November 15. That's why I want to make	4	had jurisdiction. And we articulated
5	sure I understand what their motion is.	5	the reasons, among others. But in any
6	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: This is the	6	event, that order by partial award by
7	reconsideration motion?	7	the tribunal is out there.
8	ARBITRATOR JENTES: It says,	8	MR. VAN TOL: Right.
9		9	ARBITRATOR JENTES: What do you
10	"Storm, therefore, respectfully requests that the tribunal reconsider the	10	want us to do?
11		11	
	October 22 award and find that under	1	MR. VAN TOL: I want you to
12	New York law it must grant recognition	12	reconsider that, in light of the
13	of the Ukrainian court's order by	13	clarification order from the Ukrainian
14	dismissing the arbitration."	14	court which made it clear that, one, the
15	I only want to understand, what	15	Ukrainian courts were aware of both the
16	is the exact motion and the action that	16	arbitration clause and the existence of
17	you want us to do today?	17	an arbitration in New York.
18	MR. VAN TOL: If I could just lay	18	And two, that the Ukrainian court
19	it out again, to make it as clear as I	19	meant to void both the shareholder's
20	can. The reconsideration is of the	20	agreement itself and the
21	October 22nd award in which you found	21	shareholder's the agreement to
22	jurisdiction on the narrow ground that	22	arbitrate contained therein.
23	there was a severable agreement to	23	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay. And on
24	arbitrate.	24	those grounds you want us to reconsider
25	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Just so	25	the October 22 award, and then after we
	Page 28		Page 29
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	reconsider what are we to do, under your	2	let me collaterally attack it before
3	motion to us today?	3	this tribunal.
4	MR. VAN TOL: I think you have no	4	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Let me ask you
5	jurisdiction, then.	5	a question on that, Pieter. After we
6	ARBITRATOR JENTES: So we	6	had issued our opinion finding
7	should	7	jurisdiction and Storm decided it should
8	MR. VAN TOL: Dismiss.	8	go back to the Ukrainian court to seek
9	ARBITRATOR JENTES: We should	9	clarification and maybe reasons for
10	dismiss the proceeding?	10	their opinion that would clarify for us
11	MR. VAN TOL: Yes.	11	what they were judging on, did you
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Or in the	12	consider giving notice to Telenor that
13	alternative, I take it, adjourn until	13	you were going back to seek that
14	the Ukrainian courts enter a final	$\begin{vmatrix} 13 \\ 14 \end{vmatrix}$	clarification?
15		15	MR. VAN TOL: We did not
16	MR. VAN TOL: That's correct. And I think I heard from Mr. Sills that	16	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: So that they
17		17	
	he's happy to go to Ukrainian courts but	18	could participate in the proceeding
18	just not now. And I would submit there	1	before the Ukrainian judge as to the
19	is a stark difference there when Storm,	19	clarification issues? And it had to do
20	Altimo, Alpren are under the	20	with the meaning of the Uncitral rules,
21	jurisdiction of a court, they appear,	21	in specific.
22	and fight it.	22	MR. VAN TOL: You've hit on the
23	When Telenor Mobile is under the	23	main point. If we had given notice to
24	jurisdiction of a court and says, maybe	24	Telenor, and we did not, it would have
25	I'll go in some other date, but first	25	been ineffective. That procedure under

	Page 30		Page 31
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	Ukrainian law is always ex parte,	2	invalid as a matter of law which means
3	always. And that's why we happily	3	that a court must decide the contract
4	provided a copy of the questions to	4	formation issues." That's the end of
5	Mr. Sills. If Mr. Sills thought those	5	the alternative.
6	questions were misleading in some way,	6	Are you still promoting that
7	Telenor could have gone in to the court	7	position to us today?
8	and said, hang on a minute, you got it	8	MR. VAN TOL: That's a good
9	wrong. And they've done that to other	9	question. I may have to rest on the
10	appellate courts.	10	record there because I'm worried that
11	But in answer to your question,	11	I'm getting too close to arguing the
12	we didn't give notice for two reasons:	12	merits. But what we argued to Judge
13	One, it's not required. But, more	13	Lynch, that's already in the record, is
14	importantly, it wouldn't have done	14	that the sum evidence standard had been
15	Telenor any good.	15	met, and that we're entitled to a jury
16	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Just to be	16	
17	fair, on the November 15 letter you	17	trial before Judge Lynch. And we filed a jury demand just last week.
18	said, continuing in the next sentence,	18	So I hope that can answer your
19	"In the alternative, Storm respectfully	19	question that it's still a live issue,
20	, <u> </u>	20	•
21	requests that the tribunal dismiss the	21	but I'm concerned about getting close to
22	arbitration on the grounds that Storm	22	the merits of our application, although
23	has satisfied the Sphere Drake standard	23	I understand that to be a jurisdictional
24	by coming forward with," quote, some	24	question. So I think the line I need to
25	evidence, end of quote, "to support its position that the arbitration clause is	25	
23	•	23	draw is that, to the extent we're asking
	Page 32		Page 33
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	you to find you have no jurisdiction,	2	under Article 28 of the Uncitral rules.
3	that doesn't touch on the merits and we	3	Telenor Mobile will be required
4	think we can, because that's in	4	to go forward and present its case in
5	accordance with the December 1 ruling.	5	full on the merits. It has the burden
6	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay.	6	of proof in advancing its arguments.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else?	7	Accordingly, the tribunal will go
8	Anything to add? Let's take a brief	8	forward with or without Storm's active
9	adjournment so that the panel can	9	participation. And we welcome any
10	consult.	10	comment from the parties.
11	MR. VAN TOL: Thank you.	11	MR. VAN TOL: I thank the
12	(Recess taken.)	12	tribunal for its consideration of our
13	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. The	13	motions, and I'm going to have to stand
14	tribunal has conferred. Storm's motion	14	by our earlier statement that, because
15	to reconsider our October 22, 2006 order	15	of the December 1 ruling, Storm feels
16	is denied. Alternatively, Storm's	16	that its hands are tied and that it
17	motion to dismiss on the ground that	17	cannot go forward on the merits.
18	Storm has satisfied the Sphere Drake	18	With respect to the panel, we'd
19	standard is similarly denied. The	19	like to leave the proceedings and leave
20	tribunal is now ready to proceed on the	20	it at that. Rest on the papers that we
21	merits.	21	have submitted.
22	We invite Storm to participate	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
23	fully on the merits, if it so desires.	23	Mr. Sills, any comment to that?
24	We note that there will not be the	24	MR. SILLS: Just so it's clear
25	tribunal will not entertain a default	25	how we intend to proceed. And we had

		Ι	
	Page 34		Page 35
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	intended to proceed exactly as you laid	2	underlying dispute?
3	out, Mr. Chairman. We have two	3	MR. VAN TOL: Our position has to
4	witnesses to present today. One who's	4	be that we've already submitted papers
5	physically present here in New York, one	5	and those can't be undone. They're
6	by video link to Norway. And at that	6	before the tribunal. What we're barred
7	point we're going to move to close the	7	from doing by the December 1 ruling is
8	record. And I assume that without	8	continuing with any arguments, hearing,
9	opposition that motion will be granted.	9	et cetera, on the merits, so we're not
10	But I just want to make it clear	10	presenting any new evidence because
11	that we view this as the last	11	we're barred from doing so.
12	evidentiary hearing, after which the	12	THE CHAIRMAN: But you would ask
13	record will be closed, and the panel	13	us to consider your written submissions
14	will take whatever submissions or	14	prior to the Ukrainian order on the
15	perhaps submission it decides is	15	merits of the dispute?
16	appropriate and then proceed to decide	16	MR. VAN TOL: I would think under
17	the case.	17	fundamental due process and the FAA you
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Let me ask a	18	would have to.
19	question of Pieter, because I just want	19	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And this
20	to make sure it's clear in my mind. Are	20	includes the prehearing submissions and
21	you participating on the merits, Pieter,	21	the prehearing brief that we got on
22	with your written submissions on the	22	November 29th?
23	merits, or do you take the position that	23	MR. VAN TOL: Correct,
24	both orally and in writing you offer no	24	Mr. Jentes. Yes.
25	evidence whatsoever on the merits of the	25	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Let me just
	Page 36		Page 37
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	say one thing about the state of the	2	proceed.
3	record. I think the tribunal is not	3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That's fine.
4	going to be bound by Telenor's view as	4	MR. SILLS: And, as the chairman
5	to whether or not the record should be	5	says, Storm is invited to proceed,
6	closed or kept open.	6	welcome to proceed, and decided for its
7	The tribunal is, I think, capable	7	own reasons, apparently, not to do so.
8	of asking for other information, keeping	8	We're prepared to rest. And once we
9	the record open and asking for	9	rest, and no evidence is presented by
10	information, if the tribunal believes it	10	Storm, which appears to be their intent,
11 12	to be so. Just because Telenor wants to	11 12	other than what they've already
13	close the record doesn't necessarily	13	presented, then we will ask that the
14	mean that we have to close the record.	13	record will closed.
15	MR. SILLS: Mr. Craig, I wasn't	15	I must say, with all respect, it
16	suggesting that. But it does seem to me that	16	seems to me there's no at that point there would be no reason to hold the
17	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: It seemed to	17	
18	be implied in your statement.	18	record open, but it's our motion and the tribunal's decision, obviously.
19	MR. SILLS: If I did, I apologize	19	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I think the
20	_ _ _	20	only thing that Mr. Craig's pointing to
21	to you and to the tribunal. But, Mr. Craig, it's our view that this case,	21	is Article 29 of the Uncitral rules is
22	and I don't want to rehearse the history	22	pretty clear under what circumstances
23	of delay that we've been plagued with,	23	you close the hearing, whether it can be
24	that this case was called for a hearing	24	reopened, what kind of evidence can be
25	on the merits today. We're prepared to	25	received, what the panel can request.
22	on the merits today. We ie piepaied to		received, what the panel can request.

	- 20		- 20
	Page 38		Page 39
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	So I think the panel merely wants to	2	we sandbagged them.
3	emphasize that within the rules we may	3	MR. VAN TOL: And just for
4	keep this thing open. There may be an	4	clarity, too, one thing that Mr. Sills
5	application by Storm to reopen. They	5	said was he assumed we had no objection
6	have to meet the extraordinary	6	to going forward. I want to make it
7	circumstances test, but in any event	7	clear that we do, in fact, still take
8	we'll go each step at a time.	8	exception to that, and we respect the
9	MR. SILLS: And, of course, we	9	tribunal's ruling, but there is no
10	wouldn't dispute that. But I just so	10	waiver here of our rights.
11	our position is clear, we would strongly	11	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay.
12	resist any effort at gamesmanship by	12	THE CHAIRMAN: The tribunal will
13	Storm to walk out, have us present our	13	take a 10-minute recess at which time
14	case, and then in effect claim that they	14	we'll proceed on the merits.
15	had the right to come back and months	15	MR. SILLS: Thank you,
16	later put on a defense case,	16	Mr. Chairman.
17	cross-examine, in effect give themselves	17	ARBITRATOR JENTES: What is your
18	a rebuttal position. That's for the	18	plan at that point? Do we hear the live
19	tribunal to decide, not for us.	19	witness? How do we deal with the
20	But I think just so Storm is	20	logistics of the person by phone?
21	making a fully informed decision before	21	MR. SILLS: I think the easiest
22	it walks out, I want to make our	22	way to deal with that, subject to the
23	position clear on the record so that	23	tribunal's pleasure, would be to call
24	they don't claim that our position is	24	Mr. Moland, who's here and present,
25	somehow taken them by surprise or that	25	ready to testify. And in the meantime
	Page 40		Page 41
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	we'll arrange with Mr. Lykke to make	2	record. You may proceed.
3	himself available by video.	3	MR. SILLS: Mr. Chairman, with
4	His wife is in the last stages of	4	the tribunal's permission, I would ask
5	a difficult pregnancy, and we didn't	5	my colleague, Jay Musoff, to examine our
6	want to take him away from her any	6	first witness.
7	longer than necessary, but we'll have	7	MR. MUSOFF: Members of the
8	him standing by. We'll proceed to	8	panel, we've collected the exhibits
9	examine him by video.	9	we're going to be referring to for this
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Will the video be,	10	witness just in one binder here. We've
11	I take it, in another room?	11	made copies available to you.
12	MR. SILLS: I believe that's	12	ARBITRATOR CRÁIG: Thank you.
13	right.	13	TORSTEIN MOLAND,
14	MS. THOMPSON: 23H.	14	called as a witness, having been first
15	MR. SILLS: But it appears we	15	duly sworn by the Notary Public (Amy E.
16	will have sufficient places at the table	16	Sikora), was examined and testified as
17	now. And then present whatever argument	17	follows:
18	the tribunal asks for, and then we'll	18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	make our motion to close the record.	19	BY MR. MUSOFF:
20	THE CHAIRMAN: The tribunal will	20	Q. Mr. Moland, where do you live?
21	adjourn for 10 minutes.	21	A. I live in Norway.
22	MR. SILLS: Thank you,	22	Q. And what's your native language?
23	Mr. Chairman.	23	A. Norwegian.
24	(Recess taken.)	24	Q. Are you comfortable testifying
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sills, on the	25	here today in English?

Page 43 Page 42 **Moland - Direct** Moland - Direct 1 1 2 2 Yes, I am. 0. And did you --A. 3 3 And then after that I was -- I Q. Can you tell us a little bit was CFO at Norway's major paper producer. I 4 about your educational background, please? 4 was Central Bank governor for two years and 5 I'm an economist from the since '96 I have been with Telenor. From '97 6 University of Oslo. I also spent one year at 7 7 department of economics in MIT studying as CFO. And senior executive vice president. I stepped down from that position exactly one 8 microeconomics. 9 Q. Are you currently employed? year from now. And I'm now a part-time 10 adviser to the president of Telenor with a A. Yes. 10 11 And who are you currently 11 special emphasis on Kyivstar and Ukraine. Q. 12 12 employed by? And what were your I'm currently employed by Telenor 13 responsibilities as CFO at Telenor? 13 A. in a part-time position. Well. I believe that was the 14 14 And before we go into your 15 traditional CFO responsibilities as you can 15 positions at Telenor, can you give us brief see them from any big American company. We 16 highlights of your work experience prior to 17 17 are listed in -- at NASDAQ. And we, for that joining Telenor? reason, has to follow all the regulations by 18 18 19 Yeah. My first 20 years after 19 SEC and Sarbanes-Oxley. graduation was with the government. I was 20 My more specific responsibilities 20 was, of course, all the report, financial 21 first about 10 years in the Ministry of 21 22 Finance in Norway. Then I worked as an reporting, financial controlling, accounting, 22 adviser on economic policy for Prime Minister financing of Telenor, communication with our 23 24 Brundtland, first when she was in opposition, shareholders and with our creditors. 24 and then for almost four years in government. 25 0. Now, in addition to your Page 44 Page 45 Moland - Direct 1 **Moland - Direct** 1 responsibilities as CFO, you mentioned you meeting which is important. 3 have special responsibilities for Kyivstar. 3 So let me direct your attention Can you explain what you mean by that? to that meeting in Moscow. When was that 4 Yes. Well, when I entered meeting that you're referring to? 5 A. 5 That meeting was late winter or Telenor and became CFO, they wanted me not 6 6 only to be a CFO and look at the business 7 early spring 2002. from distance, they wanted me to be more 8 Q. And who was at that meeting from directly involved in one of the most 9 Telenor that you remember? difficult operations. So I was asked to be a A. It was a meeting between --10 board member of Kyivstar since the starter in ARBITRATOR CRAIG: 2002? 11 11 12 1998. 12 THE WITNESS: 2002, yes. 13 Q. Now, prior to testifying here 13 It was a meeting between the today, have you had an opportunity to review principals from Telenor side. Chief 14 the affidavit of Egil Hansen that was executive, Tormel Hermaster (ph) was there. submitted in this arbitration? I was there as CFO and board member of 16 17 Yes. I have. 17 Kyivstar. The number two from Telenor Mobile A. And based on your personal was there, in addition to Egil Hansen from 18 18 19 knowledge, do you agree with his recollection 19 M & A. of the events that he set forth in his 20 Now, you mentioned this was a О. 21 affidavit? 21 meeting of principals. Who was the principal 22 on the other side that you were meeting with? A. Yes. I agree with that, but I 22 That was Mikhail Fridman. He was 23 would -- you know, he is referring to a 23 A. 24 meeting in Moscow, an important meeting. And 24 there. 25 I remember also additional points from that 25 Who is Mikhail Fridman? 0.

Page 47 Page 46 **Moland - Direct** 1 1 Moland - Direct 2 He is most senior partner of we heard that Alfa had bought about Alfa, and he was there together with Peter 50 percent of Storm. So that they were --Aven, which was, I suppose also is, head of they would become a big shareholder in Alfa Bank. Kyivstar, also without Telenor's help. 6 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: How do you 6 And there were then discussions 7 spell his last name? 7 on the M & A expert level on Alfa buying THE WITNESS: A-V-O-N. I think shares from Telenor. And it turned out, 8 8 9 that's right. 9 then, that there were disagreements on two or 10 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Avon. 10 three important items. So it was a need for 11 MR. SILLS: It's actually a meeting at the top level to the companies 12 A-V-E-N. 12 to clarify this. 13 THE WITNESS: E-N. Okay. Sorry. 13 And what were the topics that 0. were discussed at that meeting? 14 Q. Now, what was the purpose of that 14 15 meeting in Moscow in the spring of 2002? 15 In Egil Hansen's affidavit it's 16 Prior to that meeting, few months 16 about -- it's about the possibility of an IPO 17 earlier, we got a message from Mikhail 17 of Kyivstar. That was one important point. 18 Fridman and Alfa that they wanted to become a The two other important points were what 18 19 shareholder in Kyivstar. We were then 19 should, in the end, be the relative partners in VimpelCom, in Russia, a mobile 20 shareholder -- share holdings for Telenor and 21 company in Russia, and we were positive to 21 Alfa. And the third one, what should be the also to bringing Alfa as a shareholder in 22 roles in the company for the two parties. Can you tell us, what was Alfa's 23 Kyivstar. 23 24 position on what it wanted to be in terms of Then shortly after -- then 24 25 shortly before we had this meeting in Moscow, 25 its share holdings in Kyivstar? Page 48 Page 49 1 **Moland - Direct** 1 Moland - Direct 2 Alfa's position was that it 40 percent because that gave them strong A. 3 wanted as close to 50 percent as possible to 3 rights, according to Ukrainian legislation. 4 make this more or less a 50/50 company where And we concluded in that meeting with $56 \frac{1}{2}$ 5 they -- they regarded themselves as a percent to Telenor, 43 1/2 to Alfa, and a 6 financial investor and regarded Telenor as 6 shareholder's agreement with two important 7 the industrial partner who should be 7 contents. responsible for actually running the company. 8 One content was that the And assisting the company in all its 9 shareholder's agreement should reflect that 10 operations. 10 Telenor was the operating partner of 11 Telenor's position was that, 11 Kyivstar, so that we could support Kyivstar 12 well, before -- before we sold anything to with all expertise and guide them on 13 Kyivstar, we had the possibility of having 13 developing the operation. 14 close to 65 percent of the shares. But we 14 The second was that we should were willing to sell some -- some shares to 15 have a shareholder's agreement that prevented them to please a good partner. Alfa from exploiting the rights according to 16 17 And what percentage was it that 17 Ukrainian law or -- and the 40 percent Alfa wanted to obtain in terms of their share 18 threshold. 19 holding in Kyivstar? 19 Would you have sold Alfa enough 20 Yeah. They wanted to obtain shares to get their percentage over 20 21 50 percent. 40 percent, if they had not agreed to enter into a shareholder's agreement that stated 22 And if they couldn't get 50 percent was there another threshold level 23 they wouldn't use their blocking position to 24 that that they wanted to be above? 24 obstruct the operations? 25 25 They wanted to be above That's right. We would never

	Davis 50		Davis 51
	Page 50		Page 51
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
	ave considered that.	2	rest of the shares in Storm. So Alfa
3	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Might I	3	was, in effect, controlling Storm. And
4	interrupt in this regard: You have been	4	through different legal entities.
5	talking entirely about Alfa.	5	First, I think it was through Alfa Bank.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	6	It was through Alfa Telecom. And now
7	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Whereas, the	7	it's this two new relatively new
8	agreements that the panel has seen talk	8	companies, Altimo and Alpren.
9	about Storm. And we have a general idea	9	ARBITRATOR JENTES: What was your
10	of what Alfa's role here is, but in your	10	understanding of who Alfa was? In other
11	words, would you explain to us what you	11	words, these people that you were
12	saw Alfa's role as, who are all these	12	dealing with and that you had had a
13	other people that show up as corporate	13	relationship with involving, I gather, a
14	entities?	14	Russian telecom company? Who was this
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah. At the time	15	Alfa, as you understood it?
16	of the meeting in Moscow, we are talking	16	THE WITNESS: Well, as we
17	about?	17	understood it, Alfa was a company
18	ARBITRATOR JUNTES: yes.	18	controlled by a number of partners where
19	THE WITNESS: Alfa had made an	19	this Peter Aven was one, but where the
20	agreement with the Storm shareholders,	20	most important was Mikhail Fridman. And
21	the Storm's Ukrainian shareholders, of	21	he was one of the famous oligarchs, to
22	Alfa buying about 50 percent of the	22	put it that way. Very close
23	shares in Storm. And Alfa then behaved	23	relationships to the top to the
24	as they controlled Storm. And it turned	24	Kremlin.
25	out later that they also acquired the	25	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And is he or
	Page 52		Page 53
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	was he a Russian?	2	Ukraine.
3	THE WITNESS: He was born, as far	3	THE WITNESS: Born in Ukraine.
4	as I know, in Ukraine.	4	But studied in Moscow, as far as I
5	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Oh, in the	5	understand. Started his career in
6	Ukraine?	6	Moscow.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	7	Q. Now, at this meeting in Moscow
8	ARBITRATOR JENTES: But Alfa was,	8	you're referring to, do you recall any
9	as you understood it, a Russian	9	one-on-one meeting between Mr. Fridman and
10	financial company?	10	the CEO of Telenor?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes. A Russian	11	A. Yes. There was a one-on-one
12	financial company involved in a lot of	12	meeting and the four eyes between Thor
13	different businesses, among other, oil.	13	Haverson and Mikhail Fridman, where, as far
14	They have big positions in the oil		as I can remember, they came out and
15	business.	15	concluded on the three main topics: The IPO,
16	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay.	16	the share holdings of the two parties, and
17	ARBITRATOR JENTES. Okay. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Where is the	17	the roles of Alfa as a financial investor and
18	headquarters of Alfa Bank?	18	Telenor as an industrial investor with
19	THE WITNESS: It's in Moscow.	19	operations and responsibility of Kyivstar.
20	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And the	20	
21		21	Q. And was there an agreement to
22	headquarters of Mikhail Fridman? Is		
23	that where he has his headquarters?		reflecting these terms?
	THE WITNESS: He's also in	23	A. Yes. After this meeting, the
24	Moscow.	24	agreement was written down in a letter giving
25	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: He was born in	25	sort of a term sheet on the future. I think

	Page 54		Page 55
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2		1 2	THE WITNESS: Yes. Exhibit D,
3	it was dated in April. Q. And is that the April term sheet	3	the letter dated April 29. And it's
4	referred to in Mr. Hansen's affidavit?	4	also on the last page, accepted and
5	A. That's right, yes. And there you	5	agreed to by Alfa Bank.
6	will find, I think, all the all the	6	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And the term
7	substantial items, points also from related	7	sheet is attached?
8	shareholders meeting.	8	THE WITNESS: Yeah. And reading
9	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: This is	9	that, you will see that the later
10	Exhibit D? It's actually attached to	10	shareholder's agreement reflects that
11	Egil Hansen's	11	term sheet.
12	MR. MUSOFF: We're incorporating	12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: The term
13	by reference. It's the one attached to	13	sheet.
14	Mr. Hansen's affidavit.	14	THE WITNESS: So the point here
15	THE WITNESS: That's right. It	15	is that that, you know, the basic
16	is. I remember that.	16	term sheet was agreed by the two
17	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I'm sorry,	17	principals in a meeting and all later
18	you're looking at what, Craig?	18	reflected in this.
19	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm looking at	19	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Let me ask you
20	Egil Hansen's affidavit. And I'm going	20	about Storm. Storm is on this letter
21	to Exhibit D, which has got an April 29	21	agreement. But at that time in
22	letter agreement.	22	April 2002, was Storm controlled by Alfa
23	MR. MUSOFF: Yes, I believe	23	Bank?
24	that's the one.	24	THE WITNESS: Well, I cannot give
25	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Signed by	25	you an exact answer to that, because
	Page 56		Page 57
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	there was at that time a process between	2	its face that at the time of the deal
3	Storm's shareholders and Alfa whereby	3	50.1 percent of the interest in Storm
4	Alfa was entering into the company and	4	was already held by Alfa, and that Alfa
5	was later controlling them.	5	had special governance rights under that
6	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: But you don't	6	participant's agreement, including the
7	know as of April 29, 2002 whether Storm	7	right to appoint the general director,
8	was in fact controlled by Alfa?	8	who's the sole officer and who's this
9	THE WITNESS: Well, there was	9	fellow Nilov. It's is now Konenko.
10	as far as I know, there was made an	10	But there is record evidence I
11	agreement of where Alfa should take	11	believe that's annexed to Mr. Hansen's
12	over the 50.1 percent of the shares in	12	affidavit, if not to our evidentiary
13	Storm. But whether that was actually	13	brief. It's referred to as
14	transaction had taken place at that	14	participant's agreement. Maybe at a
15	time, I don't know.	15	break we can supply that.
16	MR. MUSOFF: Mr. Craig, while	16	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That's fine.
17	this witness may not recall the date, I	17	I didn't mean to interrupt. But that
18	believe there is record evidence that we	18	was an issue that had come up.
19	can provide you after his testimony that	19	THE WITNESS: And it was
20	shows that at that date Storm was	20	completely clear in all of our
21	controlled by Alfa.	21	communications with Alfa that they
22	MR. SILLS: In particular,	22	regarded themselves as controlling
23	Mr. Craig, it's referred to as a	23	Storm.
24	participant's agreement, a copy of which	24	Q. Since we have referred to
25	was provided to us, which does show on	25	Mr. Hansen's affidavit, if you could open it,

	Page 58		Page 59
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
_		2	
2	just to bring out a couple of points. If you	3	requirement for quorum and the procedure, if
3 4	could turn to, I believe, page 8 of the term	4	a meeting does not have quorum. O. And if you would, turn to the
5	sheet, which is annex A.	5	,
6	A. Page?	6	second-to-last page of that exhibit that's labeled 13 at the bottom. And what does the
7	Q. It should say 8 at the bottom.A. 8 at the bottom.	1	
8		7	term sheet state about what the governing law
9	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And you're in which exhibit?	8 9	of the shareholder's agreement is to be?
10	MR. MUSOFF: Exhibit D to	10	A. Yeah. It's by the laws of the State of New York, the United States.
11	Mr. Hansen's affidavit. The one you	11	Q. And the next paragraph, what, if
12	were referring to, Mr. Craig.	12	anything, does it say about arbitration in
13	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Yes.	13	the term sheet?
14	Q. And generally, Mr. Moland, does	14	A. Both parties all both share
15	the term sheet state anything with respect to	15	purchase agreement and the shareholder's
16	the agreement to enter into a new	16	agreement will have arbitration clause
17	shareholder's agreement in that term sheet?	17	providing for Uncitral arbitration, and the
18	A. Yes. In the paragraph named	18	seat of the arbitration will be in New York
19	"shareholder's agreement." You can read the	19	City.
20	points there. All the major reality points	20	Q. Now, turning
21	on the on the in the shareholder's	21	ARBITRATOR JENTES: One other
22	agreement with with Telenor appointing	22	question. At the time that this meeting
23	five directors to the board, Alfa and Storm	23	in Moscow occurred, were there lawyers
24	four, adjusted pro rata to the share	24	present for both sides?
25		25	THE WITNESS: Not at that
	Page 60		Page 61
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	meeting.	2	assistance of counsel for both the Alfa
3	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Not at that	3	side and Telenor side?
4	meeting?	4	THE WITNESS: I know that we from
5	THE WITNESS: No, no. That was a	5	the Telenor side had counsel. And I
6	commercial meeting, not to say agreement	6	believe also that the other side had
7	• •	7	that.
8	e	8	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Do you know
9	know when this document that's Exhibit D	9	whether the counsel for the Alfa side
10	was drafted?	10	was the gentleman that's Mr. Wack from
11	THE WITNESS: No. That was	11	the Moscow I forgot the firm now.
12	drafted shortly afterwards. And I can	12	THE WITNESS: I cannot remember
13	remember from Egil Hansen, who was the	13	that.
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: But you do
15	discussion on governing law and on	15	recall that Alfa had counsel there?
16	arbitration, because in the with the	16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	former shareholders, shareholder	17	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: In this
18	agreement, that was governed by Swedish	18	negotiation.
19	law, and it was now regarded that that	19 20	MR. MUSOFF: Squire Sanders.
20 21	U.S. law was more independent by all the	20	Q. I believe you said that at the
22	parties than Swedish law. ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay. But it	22	spring 2002 meeting Mr. Fridman said he would like to get 50 percent of Kyivstar?
23	was your understanding that the document	23	A. Yes.
24	•	24	Q. What is your current
25	•	25	understanding of what Mr. Fridman would like

Page 63 Page 62 **Moland - Direct Moland - Direct** 1 1 to do with respect to Kvivstar? board member of Kyivstar? I believe that that still is I have been board member since 3 3 4 his -- his ambition. Telenor entered into that company in the 4 5 And what is that based on? 5 spring of '98. 0. 6 A. Well, I can -- there was -- there 6 And are you familiar with how 7 was, I think last week, as a result of the board meeting for Kyivstar are called? 7 8 injunction in Ukraine we have been talking 8 Oh, yes. about, there was a phone call between the 9 Ο. And can you just generally describe your understanding? 10 prime minister of Norway and the prime 10 11 minister of Ukraine. And in that meeting the Well, generally, once a year we 11 12 prime minister of Ukraine said that he had decide a schedule for board meetings for the next year. And then both administration and been talking to Mikhail Fridman, who told him 13 that he was angry with Telenor because the shareholders, they make proposals of 14 15 Telenor wouldn't give him 50 percent and 15 items on the agenda which then they -- the secretary of the board sends out a week or equal rights. 16 17 Q. Even though they owned less than so -- yeah, before the meetings. In due time before the meetings, according to the 18 50 percent? 18 19 19 Right. We heard this from the statutes, I believe. A. 20 Norwegian ambassador. 20 And it's your understanding that all the board members are made aware of the 21 Now, you stated that one of your 21 roles is to be a board member of Kyivstar; is meeting dates and their locations? 22 22 that correct? 23 A. Oh, yes. Oh, yes. No doubt. 23 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Have you That's right, yes. 24 24 A. yourself had conversations with Mikhail 25 Q. For how long have you been a 25 Page 64 Page 65 Moland - Direct 1 Moland - Direct 1 2 2 Fridman? ARBITRATOR CRAIG: When was the 3 3 last time you had a conversation with THE WITNESS: Not personally. 4 Mr. Fridman? 4 I've only met him a few times in THE WITNESS: I think it was in 5 5 meetings. 6 6 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: But have you that meeting. 7 7 ever discussed with him his ambitions Mr. Moland, turning to your role 8 for Alfa Group inside of Kyivstar? as a member of the board for Kyivstar, did 9 THE WITNESS: It was quite -there come a time when the members nominated quite clear in '92 that he wanted to by Storm stopped attending board meetings? 10 11 be -- to be a 50 percent shareholder. 11 Α. Yes. That was in March 2005. 12 And also that -- that Alfa's ultimate 12 And let me turn your attention to the summer of 2004, before that time. At 13 goal here was to have a merger between 13 Kyivstar and Russian VimpelCom making that time, what, if anything, did you hear 14 Kyivstar in fact run by -- from Moscow. about whether or not Storm would be sending 15 15 16 That was -- that was also our clear 16 its representatives to the board meeting? 17 understanding. 17 Yeah. I heard sometime during the summer from Igor Lytovchenko, the 18 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: In 1992? 18 MR. MUSOFF: I believe you said 19 19 president of Kyivstar, that Alfa had told 20 them that they would soon start boycotting 20 '92. the board meetings to prove that Telenor did 21 О. Did you mean 2002? not have control over the company. 22 I'm sorry. 22 23 And what, if anything, did you do 23 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: 2002. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, 2002. when you heard that? 24 24 25 You know, I said to Igor 25 I'm sorry.

	Page 66		Page 67
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	Lytovchenko that I doubt they will do that,	2	L-Y-T-O-C-H-E-N-K-O.
3	because that would be a violation of the	3	Q. Did Mr. Lytovchenko tell you on
4	shareholder's agreement. We would take them	4	what basis he had heard that Storm and Alfa
5	to arbitration in New York. They would lose,	5	was to boycott the board meetings?
6	and they would prove to all the world that	6	A. No. It was as I can recall
7	they were not reliable shareholders. And I	7	it, it was to demonstrate that Telenor did
8	didn't believe that Alfa would take such a	8	not have have control over the company.
9	risk. So I thought it was more a reflection	9	Q. Did he ever mention that they
10	of the deterioration in the relation between	10	were taking the position that the
11	the two parties that had taken place in	11	shareholder's agreement was invalid at that
12	Russia.	12	time?
13	Q. Did you speak to anyone yourself	13	A. No, no.
14	at Storm about what you had heard?	14	Q. Or that the charter violated
15	A. No, I didn't do that.	15	Ukrainian law?
16	Q. What about at Alfa?	16	A. No, no.
17	A. No.	17	Q. Now
18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: What was the	18	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Who exactly
19	name of the person who was the president	19	is Mr. Lytovchenko?
20	of Kyivstar again?	20	THE WITNESS: He is one of the
21	THE WITNESS: Igor Lytovchenko.	21	founding fathers of Kyivstar. And he
22	I have we have the I can't spell the	22	was the first president and has been
23	name. I'm sorry, but I think it's in	23	president of the company since the
24	some of the papers here.	24	start.
25	MR. MUSOFF: I think it's spelled	25	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And is today?
	Page 68		Page 69
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	THE WITNESS: Is today.	2	has been a Telenor person. The chief
3	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And is he	3	marketing person, with a few exceptions,
4	Ukrainian?	4	has been a Telenor person. The chief
5	THE WITNESS: Is native	5	financial officer has been. So that we
6	Ukrainian.	6	have built around him the sufficient
7	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Who nominated	7	expertise to run the company. But he
8	him to be the president?	8	has been important because of his
9	THE WITNESS: I think he and a	9	connections in Ukraine.
10	couple of friends started the company,	10	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Are the
11	must have been in '96, I believe, when	11	people that you've just mentioned that
12	they obtained licenses for doing mobile	12	provide the technical and management
13	communication.	13	support, are they Norwegians or are they
14	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And has	14	Ukrainians?
15	Telenor continued to support him as the	15	THE WITNESS: None of them are
16	president?	16	Ukrainians. They are either Norwegians
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, we have.	17	or they are coming from other Norwegian
18	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And does he	18	mobile operations in different partner
19 20	do an adequate job, as far as you're	19	or we have hired them on an
21	concerned, in operating the company?	20 21	international job market.
22	THE WITNESS: Well, we have supported him with a lot of senior	22	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay. Thank
23	persons from Telenor. So he's the chief	23	you. Q. Now, I'd like you to turn to the
24	executive, but chief, we have during	24	first exhibit in the binder. Yes. Could you
25	this period, the chief technical officer	25	tell us what is there as the first exhibit?

Page 71 Page 70 1 **Moland - Direct** 1 **Moland - Direct** 2 2 Yeah. This is the protocol for The important businesses in that the first meeting, board meeting, where the meeting is seen from point 5 at page 2 here. Alfa or Storm representatives did not attend. 4 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Right. I'm And what's the date of that? 5 5 there. 6 A. That's the March 18, 2005. 6 THE WITNESS: And there is 7 7 And can you summarize what was approval of strategy and business plan, 0. the business of that meeting? 8 2005 to 2007. Approval of audited 8 financial statement for 2004. Approval 9 Yeah. That you can see from 9 page 2, there were the ordinary status 10 of dividends, payouts. Approval of 11 reports on financial, technical marketing and external financing scheme for 2005. We 11 12 so on. And then on the second section, had -- the company then had to borrow 12 13 item 5, is a lot of very important decision 13 money. 14 items. It goes for approval of strategy and 14 And then there were approval of 15 business plan. 15 organization development, procurement policy. Approval of acquiring one of 16 16 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm sorry, I 17 didn't understand. Where are you 17 the smaller mobile operators. So it 18 was -- it was very important decision 18 looking? 19 THE WITNESS: At Exhibit 1. 19 items on that meeting. 20 20 And the court -- and because MR. MUSOFF: The second page. 21 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm sorry, I'm 21 Storm failed to attend this board meeting, there. I didn't understand what you 22 22 were you able to achieve a quorum? 23 23 were saying. A. No. If you could just repeat what the And were you able to take any 24 24 0. 25 important decisions were. 25 decisions with respect to these important Page 72 Page 73 Moland - Direct 1 1 Moland - Direct items? 2 meeting held in Vienna? 3 THE WITNESS: Because Vienna 3 No. A. 4 What, if anything, did you do 4 was -- we could attend as a one-day when you learned that Storm was not going to 5 meeting for both Telenor people going -attend the March 2005 board meeting? 6 flying in from Oslo, from the Alfa 6 7 7 Well, according to the statutes, people flying in from directly from we follow that procedure and call for a new 8 Moscow to Vienna. And from the Kyivstar board meeting with the same agenda. 9 people from Kiev to Vienna. So it was 9 And was that new board meeting for the logistic reasons a very 10 10 scheduled for April? 11 convenient to have it there. 11 12 Yes. 12 ARBITRATOR JENTES: All right. A. 13 Q. I'd like to now turn to the 13 THE WITNESS: So we often had second --14 14 board meetings in Vienna. 15 ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay. 15 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Can I ask you when you got notice that Storm was not 16 Turning to this second exhibit, 16 17 going to attend this meeting? 17 what's reflected there? THE WITNESS: I don't think we 18 18 There is a letter from -- no. got notice until we were in the meeting. 19 19 From -- Mr. Kulikov, the director general of I cannot remember that we got it in 20 20 Storm --21 advance. Which we -- we come to the 21 0. And --22 next meeting. We got it the day before 22 -- to us and to the chairman of 23 or so. the board telling that -- no. I'm sorry. 24 And can you tell us --Telling that Storm had a general meeting and 24 25 ARBITRATOR JENTES: Why was the decided that they could not attend the board 25

	Page 74		Page 75
1		_	
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	meeting.	2	And if they didn't attend that, we could
3	Q. And what was the reason given in	3	call for a shareholders meeting or a
4	the letter, referring to the second	4	general meeting of the company to make
5	paragraph?	5 6	those decisions. And, you know, I think
7	A. Well, the reason is that was	7	our counsels here could answer the legal
	impossible for them to go there. They were	8	part. But I understand that it would be a violation of the shareholder's
8	not given any other reason other than that.		
10	Q. And to your understanding, did	9	agreement if they didn't show up at the
11	anyone from Storm inform you or to your	11	final stage.
12	knowledge, anyone at Telenor that the reason they were not attending at this point was	12	They could repair if it was a violation of not attending the first
13	before the shareholder's agreement was	13	meeting, they could repair that by
14	invalid?	14	attending the second meeting or a
15	A. No.	15	shareholders meeting.
16	Q. Turning now to Exhibit 3. Do you	16	Q. Let's now turn to the third
17	see what's	17	exhibit. And is that a response to the
18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Can I ask a	18	letter at Exhibit 2?
19	question. This may be a legal question.	19	A. Yes.
20	Did you think it was a violation of the	20	Q. And this appears to be a draft.
21	shareholder's agreement not to attend?	21	Do you know if a final letter was ever sent?
22	THE WITNESS: Not to attend the	22	A. I don't know. I don't remember
23	March 18th meeting. But if and the	23	if it was sent. It was to tell the chairman
24	statutes then said that, then there	24	about the importance of some of the items
25	should be called for another meeting.	25	here.
	Page 76		Page 77
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	Q. And to your understanding, was	2	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And then they
3	the chairman informed about the importance of	3	sent a copy of that well, I guess
4	the items to be discussed in attending the	4	they sent it also to Mr. Ekhougen.
5	board meetings?	5	THE WITNESS: Right.
6	A. Oh, yes. He was.	6	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Who was your
7	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Just a second.	7	representative, in effect.
8	This April 7th letter, we don't know	8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And Ekhougen
9	whether it was sent or not?	9	did attend the March 18th meeting?
10	THE WITNESS: That's right, yes.	10	THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Yes, he
11	I cannot remember. And we haven't been	11	did. They sent it to him because he was
12	able to to find the original letter.	12	the country manager for Ukraine.
13	Q. To your knowledge, was the	13	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay.
14	1 1	14	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: The April 7th
15	communicated to Mr. Tumanov?	15	letter, the copy that I have makes no
16	A. I think so. But I have no very	16	reference to any violation of the
17	clear recollection of that.	17	shareholder's agreement or even of the
18	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Just so I	18	charter. It doesn't make any allegation
19	understand, the meeting the document	19	that there's a violation of the charter.
20	that's numbered 2 is sent by	20	THE WITNESS: That's right, yes.
21	Mr. Kulikov, who didn't attend the	21	Q. In that regard let's turn to
22 23	meeting on March 18, 2005, to	22 23	Exhibit No. 5.
24	Mr. Tumanov, who also didn't attend the meeting; right?	24	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: There's no Exhibit 4; right?
	meeting, iight.		DAIIOR T, HEIR:

	Page 78		Page 79
1		,	Moland - Direct
1	Moland - Direct	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	
2	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Okay. MR. SILLS: That exhibit	2	Q. And just turning briefly to
3		-	Exhibit No. 6. Does that reflect the failure
4	intentionally is not there.	4	of Storm's representative to attend the
5	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That's it.	5	April 8th board meeting?
6	Q. With respect to referencing the	6	A. Yes.
7	shareholder's agreement, can you tell us	7	Q. And, again, can you summarize
8	what's Exhibit No. 5?	8	what was the agenda for that meeting?
9	A. This is a letter, a form letter,	9	A. Now, the agenda for that meeting
10	from Telenor Mobile to Storm, where we notify	10	was identical to the agenda of the March 18th
11	them that they didn't attend the meetings in	11	meeting which we have been through.
12	March and April, and refer to the content of	12	Q. How did Storm's failure to attend
13	the shareholder's agreement. And that this	13	the March 18 and April 8 board meeting affect
14	was a breach of Storm's obligation under the	14	Kyivstar?
15	shareholder's agreement.	15	A. Well, you know, not to attend
16	So this was the first first	16	those two meetings would not have been that
17	really formal notice of our view on this.		important, if they then had come back to the
18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Right.	18	board later. But if you are are you
19	Q. Now, turning to Exhibit No. 6.	19	asking me, you know, about the whole period
20	Are those the minutes from the April 8th	20	where they did not attend board meetings?
21	meeting?	21	Q. Answer it in that respect and
22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Would you just		I'll focus you again.
23	hold on a second.	23	A. It had been quite a lot of harm
24	MR. MUSOFF: Sure. Of course.	24	
25	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Okay.	25	different company. First, as you can see he,
	Page 80		Page 81
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	we have not been able to take out dividend.	2	there is a need for more financial
3	And operational operationally, they have	3	controllers in several of the departments.
4	also tried to prevent Kyivstar from	4	And their organization doesn't take action
5	implementing the Sarbanes-Oxley regulations.	5	fast enough on this.
6	And also, when it comes to the direct	6	There is a general this
7	operations, we have not been able to	7	company has grown from being extremely small
8	implement marketing efforts.	8	to now having about 20 million customers.
9	For instance, if you look at the	9	And there is a lack of there is not
10	mobile phone, and you will see the name of	10	sufficient expertise in different areas. And
11	the operators on the screen as the first	11	the current administration, they haven't
12	thing you do. That's not the case in	12	taken action on this sufficiently fast.
13	Kyivstar. And we have not been able to make	13	So the Kyivstar operation is
14	them do that.	14	being suffering by this. And for the
15	We have asked them to open more	15	shareholders, of course, that means that the
16	sales offices, which they have not done. We	16	company could have made more money, been more
17	have supported Kyivstar with experts, but	17	value to us. And, in addition to this,
18	have not been able to to be paid for that	18	Kyivstar has has now gone to have a
19	because this is a kind of transaction that	19	capital structure, which is far from optimal
20	needs board decision. So that we are not	20	from any point of view.
21	being paid for assistance we give to	21	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I'm sorry, a
22	Kyivstar.	22	what?
23	We have tried to and not been	23	THE WITNESS: Capital structure.
24	able to strengthen the financial control to	24	Because we are not able to take out
25	the degree we should have wanted because	25	dividend. And with the present

			1
	Page 82		Page 83
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	shareholder situation, the company is	2	Kyivstar's major competition inside
3	would not be able to borrow money, if we	3	Ukraine?
4	should try to do that. And we would not	4	THE WITNESS: There are one major
5	be able to IPO the company, if that	5	competitor. That was the mobile branch
6	should be the case.	6	of the of the incumbent, the
7	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: The 20 million	7	Ukrainian government-owned mobile
8	subscribers, is that the current size of	8	operator, which was first partly owned
9	Kyivstar?	9	by some western European companies. Now
10	THE WITNESS: Well, I don't have	10	it's 100 percent owned by by a
11	the exact number, but it's above	11	Russian mobile operator, MTS.
12	18 million subscribers. I think we will	12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And how many
13	reach 20 million subscribers early next	13	subscribers does that company have?
14	year.	14	THE WITNESS: They hare slightly
15	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And are they	15	fewer than Kyivstar. And the third one
16	all in Ukraine?	16	is subsidiary of Turkcell.
17	THE WITNESS: They're all in	17	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: A Turkish
18	Ukraine. It's been a tremendous growth	18	company?
19	in penetration, and Kyivstar has gone	19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a
20	from being a number five operator when	20	Ukrainian company but partly owned by
21	we started	21	Turks and also Russian Ukrainian
22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: In Ukraine?	22	oligarch.
23	THE WITNESS: In Ukraine. To be	23	Q. And do you know who is a partial
24	the number one now.	24	owner of Turkcell?
25	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And who is	25	A. And there we have our friends.
	Page 84		Page 85
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: The Alfa	2	that could really undo a lot of what we would
3	Group?	3	else have obtained.
4	THE WITNESS: The Alfa Group.	4	Q. And Telenor, as the majority
5	Q. In response to Mr. Craig's	5	shareholder of Kyivstar, would also be
6	questions, since we were talking briefly	6	damaged by such actions?
7	about competitors of Kyivstar, is it your	7	A. Oh, yes. Oh, yes.
8	understanding that there's a noncompete	8	Q. And you've mentioned to Mr. Craig
9	clause in the shareholder's agreement?	9	and the panel that Kyivstar does have a lot
10	A. Yes.	10	of subscribers. You mentioned somewhere
11	Q. And what's your understanding of	11	close to 20 million. What is your
12	the purpose of that clause?	12	understanding if Kyivstar could have done
13	A. The purpose of that is simple.	13	better, if it was able to have a functioning
14	It's to make sure that the shareholders have	14	board?
15	the same interests in developing the company	16	A. I'm sure that Kyivstar would have
16 17	and that we do not risk that any business		done better, if we had a functioning board
	secret from Kyivstar should fall into the	17	and that not only the board, but also that
18 19	hands of one of the competitors. O. And what sort of damage would	18 19	Telenor would have been able to give give Kyivstar more support.
20	Q. And what sort of damage would occur, if the business secrets of Kyivstar	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Do you have an
21	would fall into any competitors hands?	21	opinion as to why Storm refused to
22	A. You know, it would be for	22	attend and decided to boycott these
23	instance, planned market activities falls	23	meetings?
	into the hands of a competitor, they could	24	THE WITNESS: You know, I haven't
25	easily destroy or, you know, make actions	25	made my thoughts to put it that way.
<u> </u>	y		,

	Page 86		Page 87
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
1 2	But I don't know for sure.	2	boycott of the board hurting Kyivstar, which
3	THE CHAIRMAN: You don't know	2	would then benefit its competitors, including
4	why?	4	Turkcell?
5	THE WITNESS: I think it's	5	A. You know, to the extent that
6	because they want to soften us and to	6	information goes from Kyivstar to the Turkish
7	make us softer and go to the negotiation	7	owned company, then it would cause damage to
8	table and give them 50 percent of the	8	Kyivstar.
9	shares.	9	Q. Let's go to Exhibit 8, if there
10	THE CHAIRMAN: You don't think it	10	are no further questions.
11	has anything to do with the competition	11	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I've got a
12	from the wholly owned Ukrainian	12	couple. The failure of the Storm board
13	competitor?	13	members to attend, did that prevent the
14	THE WITNESS: No. Well, no. I	14	board from voting dividends?
15	don't think that's I think the	15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	important motivation here is to increase	16	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And that is
17	the stake in Kyivstar at favorable	17	because it's required to have six
18	terms. Or maybe to make us sell	18	members of the board to approve
19	Kyivstar to to the Russian mobile	19	dividends?
20	operator, VimpelCom, which, of course,	20	THE WITNESS: Yeah. We need to
21	would be seen from Moscow would give	21	have a board meeting and we also
22	meaning both to a Russian oligarch and	22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: You need to
23	to the Kremlin.	23	have a board meeting?
24	Q. With respect to competition with	24	THE WITNESS: Yes. We need to
25	Turkcell, is the damage caused by Storm's	25	have a board meeting and we need
	Page 88		Page 89
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	ARBITRATOR JENTES: The real	2	written board resolution?
3	problem is you can't get a quorum, I	3	THE WITNESS: Yes, as far as I
4	take it.	4	can remember, they did.
5	THE WITNESS: Right. We don't	5	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And what was
6	get a quorum and they also boycotted all	6	the date of that?
7	shareholder meetings. So the dividend	7	THE WITNESS: I think it was on
8	should be finally decided by by	8	April 8, 2005. I cannot remember the
9	the	9	exact date.
10	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: The	10	THE CHAIRMAN: Wait a minute. I
11	shareholders.	11	want to make sure I understand this.
12	THE WITNESS: The shareholders	12	Are you saying that on that one occasion
13	meeting and we have not been able to	13	Storm did not boycott but did
14	have that.	14	participate?
15	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Does the	15	THE WITNESS: That's right, yes.
16	failure to have a board meeting preclude	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have that in
17	Kyivstar from borrowing money?	17	the record anywhere?
18	THE WITNESS: We borrowed some	18	MR. MUSOFF: We do.
19	money in the spring of '05. We had	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Up until today?
20	we had a Eurobond tap issue then. And	20	Have we heard that before? I was
21	on that specific case, as far as I can	21	laboring under the misapprehension that
22	remember, we had a written board	22	post initial boycott they stayed away.
23	resolution.	23	Am I now hearing that on at least one
24	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And did the	24	occasion, concerning the borrowing of
25	members from Storm participate in that	25	the floating of a loan they actually

	Page 90		Page 91
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	participated?	2	representatives of Storm turned up at a
3	MR. O'DRISCOLL: Mr. Chairman,	3	physical meeting. And you may recall,
4	this was right around the time the	4	it is in the record, that individual was
5	initial boycott got started.	5	subsequently sued by Alfa for having
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Is it before or	6	turned up at that meeting.
7	after? Right around.	7	MR. MUSOFF: And it's in proposed
8	MR. SILLS: It was shortly	8	or expected testimony with respect to
9	thereafter. And I believe it's in the	9	those later meetings, which I believe
10	record. It was not a meeting. There	10	took place in November of 2005, which
11	was a signature on a unanimous written	11	we're going to get to chronologically.
12	consent that allowed one round of	12	But we could turn to that.
13	borrowing in '05. I suppose we should	13	ARBITRATOR JENTES: No, no.
14	clarify the record. I think the record	14	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: The
15	contains it, Mr. Chairman.	15	chronological approach I think is good,
16	THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't heard	16	but every now and then we can pause.
17	until just now that there was an	17	MR. MUSOFF: Of course.
18	occasion post initial boycott when	18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Let me ask a
19	Storm, to one extent or another, did	19	question about whether there were any
20	participate.	20	other written ballots taken of board
21	MR. O'DRISCOLL: Mr. Chairman,	21	members on corporate business?
22	just for the sake of clarity, there was	22	THE WITNESS: The answer is no.
23	one other occasion where a	23	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: This is the
24	representative of Storm turned up at a	24	only one that you can recall?
25	meeting, one of the Ukrainian	25	THE WITNESS: This is the only
	Page 92		Page 93
	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	one.	2	to participate in the board meetings. We
3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Okay.	3	knew that he was well, he was one of the
4	THE WITNESS: And I believe the	4	founding fathers of the company and had his
5	president of Kyivstar, Igor Lytovchenko,	5	loyalty to Kyivstar. So we hoped that, you
6	persuaded Alfa to take to participate	6	know, putting pressure on him maybe would
7	in that.	′	make him attend the board meeting so that we
8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Well, do you	8	could have a quorum.
9	know if there was any other attempt made	9	Q. Was Telenor successful in getting
10	by the president to secure a written	10	Mr. Tumanov to attend the next board meeting?
11	ballot?	11	A. No. But during the conversations
12	THE WITNESS: I think there was.	12	we had with him, he said that he would attend
13	I have no concrete evidence, but we were	13	a board meeting where I would be elected as
14	talking about him doing that a couple of		vice chairman, and that he also thought of
15	times. But he failed to to have them	15 16	stepping down as chairman. But he didn't
16	agree to do that.	17	then show up at that meeting.
17	Q. Let's turn back to May of 2005.	18	Q. Let me then just turn you I
18 19	And if you can look at Exhibit 8. And we'll come back to Exhibit 7. Just take it	19	can perhaps summarize, sir we'll do these one at a time. It might be easier for the
20	chronologically.	20	record. Exhibit 7, does that reflect the
21	A. This is a letter from Telenor's	21	attendance at the May 12, 2005 board meeting?
22	in-house lawyer to the chairman of Kyivstar,	22	A. May 12th. Yes.
23	who then represented Storm, to tell him, you	23	Q. And was Mr. Tumanov or any of the
	know, how serious it was that he didn't do	24	other representatives of Storm present?
24	NINGW, HOW SCHOUS IL WAS HIALTE HIGH LUU	د د ا	omer representatives or profits present.
24 25	his job. It was trying to pressure him to	25	A. No. All the Storm

		1	7
	Page 94		Page 95
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	representatives were not present.	2	is that there was a letter from
3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Referring to	3	Mr. Ekhougen to Tumanov on April 7th to
4	Exhibit 8, there are, in the first	4	which he responded on April 11th, and
5	paragraph and in the third paragraph, a	5	then there was an April 12th letter to
6	reference to a letter that Mr. Tumanov	6	Storm saying that you violated the
7	wrote on April 11th. And I assume that	7	agreement. And then a response to his
8	letter was in response to the letter	8	letter of April 11th dated May 9th. But
9	that had been sent to him on April 12th.	9	it's hard to figure out what Tumanov is
10	Maybe not, no. It couldn't have been	10	saying about the situation, if we don't
11	responding to is that letter included	11	have his letter.
12	here somewhere, the Tumanov letter?	12	MR. SILLS: Mr. Craig, you're
13	MR. MUSOFF: I believe we haven't	13	exactly right. We've looked for that
14	been available to locate that letter.	14	letter. We've searched the files.
15	MR. SILLS: We've searched for	15	We've been unable to locate one. We did
16	that letter, Mr. Craig. We've been	16	demand a copy from Storm and, well, we
17	unable to find it. I believe we also	17	got very few documents from Storm. And
18	asked for a copy from Storm in our	18	I frankly don't know.
19	document requests, and putting to one	19	It would appear that it's some
20	side rather the scanty response we got	20	sort of clerical or ministerial error
21	in general, we didn't get a copy.	21	that it wasn't filed properly. It seems
22	I mean, it seems clear from the	22	clear from the context that it was
23	context that the letter was sent, but we	23	drafted and sent, and I think we can
24	have been unable to locate a copy.	24	more or less reconstruct it from the
25	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: What appears	25	sequence of correspondence you're
	Page 96		Page 97
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	describing, but we just have been unable	2	think this is I'm sorry, I think this is a
3	to locate a copy.	3	repeat again of the May 12th meeting. You'll
4	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Okay.	4	see the identical agendas in those two
5	Q. Mr. Moland, let's turn to June of	5	meetings. And on Exhibit 10 we also have
6	2005. I'd like to direct your attention to	6	another board meeting, an additional board
7	Exhibits 9, 10, and we could include 11 as	7	meeting on the 15th of June with agenda items
8	well. If you'd briefly walk us through those	8	that were not repeated from the May meeting.
9	scheduled meetings.	9	None of these meetings were attended by by
10	A. The protocol No. 6 of the	10	Storm and we couldn't make any decisions. We
11	Ukraine the 15th of June meeting, there is	11	had because we hadn't a quorum.
12	a new agenda. This was not a repeat of the	12	Q. Now, you mentioned Mr. Tumanov
13	two nonattendance meetings, it was a new	13	had stated that he might attend one meeting
14	agenda.	14	in June to elect a new deputy chairman. And
15	Q. Can you summarize what the new	15	I believe you said Mr. Tumanov did not show
16	agenda was?	16	up; is that correct?
17	A. Yeah. It was of the reporting	17	A. That's correct.
18	and it was dividends. And, again, company	18	Q. If you'd turn to Exhibit 11.
19	policies which also were in the March and	19	A. Yes. That's the Exhibit 11 where
20	April meetings. And approval of position of	20	there were two items on the agenda. It was
21	the company we were talking about.	21	election of a deputy chairman, and the item
22	Q. And then, if you can also discuss	22	was a little more well, it was, say, about
23	Exhibit No. 10, which is protocol 67, which	23	the chairman of the board where we expected
124	annears to be on the same date?	124	Mr. Tumanov then to announce that he would

24 appears to be on the same date?

A. Yeah. This protocol No. 66, I

24 Mr. Tumanov then to announce that he would

25 step down as the chairman.

	Page 98		Page 99
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	Q. And what was the purpose of	2	the two people from Telenor attend the
3	trying to elect a new deputy chairman of the	3	meeting?
4	board at the June 30, 2005 board meeting?	4	THE WITNESS: You are now talking
5	A. It was that to make sure	5	about
6	that that Kyivstar had a chairman, even if	6	ARBITRATOR JENTES: The meeting
7	the then that Kyivstar had an acting	7	on June the 30th.
8	chairman, if the chairman should not attend	8	MR. MUSOFF: Referring to
9	the meetings.	9	Mr. Espin and Mr. Thygesen?
10	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Where was	10	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Yes.
11	Tumanov's offices? Where was he	11	Q. Do you know why they didn't
12	located?	12	attend?
13	THE WITNESS: He had an office in	13	A. No. I think that was for
14	the company in Kiev.	14	practical purposes, we had substitutes.
15	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And these	15	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Well, I
16	meetings in June were in the company	16	notice they didn't attend the next
17	headquarters; correct?	17	meeting either. And I was just
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	wondering why they weren't around, if
19	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: They're not in	19	you know?
20	Vienna, they're in Kiev?	20	THE WITNESS: We had we had
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The place of	21	substitutes so that if for some reason
22	the meeting, Kyivstar headquarters. I'm	22	one or two of us could not attend the
23	looking at yeah, the 30th of	23	meeting, Telenor would have all the
24	June meeting was in the headquarters.	24	five
25	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Why didn't	25	MR. SILLS: If you look on the
	Page 100		Page 101
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	protocol, Mr. Jentes, you'll see there	2	June 30th.
3	were five attendees at that meeting for	3	Q. At the June 30th, 2005?
4	Telenor. As I understand Ukrainian law,	4	A. No. He had instructions from
5	you can appoint sort of a vice director	5	Alfa not to be there. And I remember that we
6	or a substitute who, without board	6	talked to him, and he said that that he
7	action, can show up, if the sort of	7	was we talked to him and he said that he
8	principal representative of a company is	8	was Alfa's representative in Ukraine and
9	not present. So there was a full slate	9	therefore he had to follow their
10	of or full component of Telenor	10	instructions.
11	representatives there shown in the	11	Q. And Alfa had instructed him not
12	protocol.	12	attend that board meeting?
13	Q. Mr. Moland, is that reflected in	13	A. That's right. That's right.
14	the protocol, where it says Mr. Sigmund	14	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Did he tell
15	Ekhougen, representing Telenor Mobile	15	you why?
16	Communications, substituting Mr. Paul Lien	16	THE WITNESS: No.
17	Espin and the same with the substitution for	17	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Did you ask
18	Mr. Thygesen. Is that what you're referring	18	him why?
19	to?	19	THE WITNESS: It was obvious
20	A. Yes. That's right. Both the two	20	that, you know, as a part of the whole
21	attending were substitutes to the board.	21	boycott situation Alfa had instructed
22 23	Q. Do you have an understanding why	22 23	all the Storm board members not to
24	Mr. Tumanov failed to show up so that the	24	attend any meeting. Because this
25	board could elect an acting chairman? ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That's the	25	meeting would further increase, you
45	ANDITNATOR CRAID. THATS THE	43	know, Telenor's visuality in the company

	Page 102		Dago 102
	Page 102		Page 103
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	by electing me as a vice chairman.	2	agreements between Telenor and Kyivstar
3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm just	3	whereby we introduced the Telenor youth
4	curious to know if there was any	4	brand in Ukraine. And on all matters
5	specific articulated reason given for	5	that related to Telenor's operational
6	the boycott that we can find in writing	6	influence in Kyivstar. They voted in
7	or coming from some official at Storm or	7	favor of that.
8	from Alfa Group that we can use to try	8	And on the broader level during
9	to understand why they were doing this?	9	those two years, there were discussions
10	THE WITNESS: No. I don't think	10	between Telenor and Alfa about doing
11	there is any written reason for their	11	more together in the mobile business.
12	not attending. So it's more like we	12	And as long as those discussions went
13	have	13	on, the relationship was very good. But
14	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: This is your	14	it was after those discussions were
15	supposition?	15	terminated that there started to be
16	THE WITNESS: Our analysis, yeah.	16	trouble both in VimpelCom in Moscow and
17	And during during the well,	17	then in Kyivstar.
18	since since the agreements in 2002	18	And we, of course, we didn't at
19	and up to late in 2004, there was a very	19	any point in time, so to say, get a
20	good relationship in Kyivstar, and they	20	proposal from them of, you know, what
21	attended all the meetings.	21	they wanted from us to go back to the
22	I don't well, I'm positive	22	board. They didn't say anything about
23	we we didn't vote. All decisions	23	that.
24	were unanimous. They didn't oppose to	24	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: But let me get
25	anything. They voted in favor of	25	back to that point you're making. Can
	Page 104		Page 105
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	you put a date on when the boycott	2	agreement on all issues in the Kyivstar
3	began? It looks from these exhibits	3	board. So, you know, it was it was
4	like it began in March of 2005.	4	as a result of some some broader or
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.	5	outside
6	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That's right?	6	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Outside.
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's	7	THE WITNESS: Outside Kyivstar
8	right. They participated in the you	8	related issues that caused them to do
9	know, we got that warning during the	9	this, from my analysis.
10	summer of 2002.	10	Q. What issues are you referring to
11	Q. All right. 2004, you mean?	11	that are outside Kyivstar?
12	A. 2004, sorry.	12	A. Well, it was outside the Kyivstar
13	THE WITNESS: And then they	13	operation. It wasn't a part of Kyivstar
14	participated in the board meetings	14	because in our according to our analysis,
15	during the fall of 2004. Including the	15	what they really wanted was to have more
16	December meeting where we finally	16	shares in Kyivstar and more influence. But,
17	decided to budget for 2005.	17	you know, the trigger of this had to do with
18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And are you	18	the broader relationship.
19	able to explain in your analysis what	19	Q. And what was your understanding
20	the event is that triggered the boycott	20	of what was going on in that broader
21	that began in March of 2005?	21	relationship at the time?
22	THE WITNESS: You know, there is	22	A. Now that turn out that we did not
23	nothing in the Kyiv there is no	23	succeed in establishing further cooperation
24	Kyivstar thing that triggered that	24	in the mobile area. And after that it
25	boycott. You know, we had full	25	started in VimpelCom, where they started to

Page 107 Page 106 1 Moland - Direct **Moland - Direct** 1 2 2 squeeze our rights, and then came here. And A. Yeah. It was another attempt to 3 in our analysis, this was attempts to make have a board meeting. 3 4 Telenor soft and willing to go to the 4 Q. And what were the topics on the 5 agenda for those board meetings? 5 negotiating table and make a deal that was On the 1st of July meeting, it 6 favorable to Alfa. That was our analysis. 6 And that was the, so to say, the business was the IT strategy. It was a review of the practice these people used to do to break network operation, and it was a meeting on 9 them. the strategy of the company. And the other meeting was about forecasts. It was more on I can refer to a discussion, I 10 11 think it was in June, August -- or August, strategy, investment budget. Vendor 12 between Mikhail Fridman and now the president strategy. 12 13 of Telenor, Freddie Baksaas, where Mikhail 13 0. Okay. And I take it there was no 14 Fridman said when there is a disagreement, we quorum and the board was unable to act on any 14 of those agenda items; correct? 15 don't seek consultants, we seek conflict. We 15 16 believe that that's the way it will turn out 16 That's right. 17 to be -- we can get the best results. So 17 Q. Let's go to Exhibit 14. it's, so to say, part of their business 18 A. Yeah. 18 19 behavior. 19 0. And what's reflected there, 20 Let's continue proceeding 20 protocol No. 71? Ο. 21 chronologically. If I could direct your This was -- this was the board 21 22 attention to the next two exhibits, 12 and 22 meeting with -- with approved agenda. 23 13, relating to July 2005. Tell us, what are 23 Now, were there new items on this Q. 24 those exhibits? And these are protocols 24 agenda? 25 No. 69 and 70. 25 A. Oh, yes. That were -- were --Page 108 Page 109 Moland - Direct 1 1 Moland - Direct were both old and new items. 2 it? 3 3 THE WITNESS: Just did it. I Could you just highlight some of 4 the significant items on the October 22, 2005 4 think that was one of the items where board meeting agenda? 5 5 Igor Lytovchenko, the president, tried In this board meeting we summed 6 to persuade Alfa to make a written 6 up the most important items we had not been 7 resolution, but they wouldn't, but it 7 able to make decisions on in the earlier 8 was simply executed. meetings. Namely, approval of financial 9 Now, before turning to the next 10 statements for '04, and dividends for '04, set of exhibits, are you familiar with 11 and strategy and business plan. In addition someone named Vladimir Jmak? 11 12 to that, this was also the first meeting 12 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And where we wanted a decision on the budget for 13 pronounced. 14 2005. 14 THE WITNESS: Jmak. 15 15 And were you able to make any of He was also one of the founding О. those decisions at that board meeting? fathers of the company. And he was legal 17 No. We were not. And you see adviser to the president of the company and 17 18 to the chairman. 18 that. 19 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: What about 19 Were you aware of any efforts made to convince Mr. Jmak, as one of the 20 your management bonuses for 2004, did 20 21 you get that done? 21 founding fathers, to attend board meetings? 22 THE WITNESS: No. We were not 22 Yes. He was -- he was at that 23 able to make decisions on that either. 23 time elected board member and as a But we -- they were basically executed. 24 24 representative of Alfa. But his loyalty was 25 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: You just did 25 to the company. And he had then been looking

Page 110 Page 111 1 1 Moland - Direct Moland - Direct 2 2 at what had been going on for about half a A. And the agenda there and the 3 year, and he told us that he felt no loyalty protocol reflects that we were able to make 4 to Alfa anymore because of what they had board decisions, have a quorum and make board 5 done. And that he would, in spite that he decisions on all those important items. 6 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: On 6 feared that Alfa would harm him later on, he 7 would appear at the board meeting to make it 7 November 18? 8 possible to have a quorum and make important 8 THE WITNESS: On November 18, 9 board decisions. yes. 10 10 0. And let's now turn to Exhibits 15 0. And what is your understanding as and 16. Those are protocols No. 72 and 73 to whether Alfa took action again Mr. Jmak to 11 12 **from November 18, 2005.** prevent him from attending board meetings 13 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: His name does 13 after that? 14 appear on 14 as well, as a person that's 14 I had the understanding that Alfa 15 absent. 15 took actions and in fact sued him. 16 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Did you become 17 MR. MUSOFF: Yes. 17 deputy chairman on that occasion? THE WITNESS: In that meeting, ARBITRATOR CRAIG: On the 18 18 yes, I became deputy chairman. 19 October 27 protocol? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. He didn't --20 MR. SILLS: Mr. Craig, I believe MR. MUSOFF: Right. He appears the lawsuit against Mr. Jmak that Alfa 21 21 brought was actually Mr. Kulikov, who is 2.2 on many of the protocols as someone 22 an Alfa functionary, who is a nominal 23 absent up until now we're showing as 23 plaintiff, who at the time was the 24 24 Exhibit 15. general director of Storm, is referenced 25 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Right. 25 Page 112 Page 113 Moland - Direct 1 1 Moland - Direct 2 in our statement of claim and in the 2 notice. We weren't served with process 3 3 and we weren't named as a party. various litigation documents we've 4 On Exhibit 16, protocol No. 73, 4 supplied. 5 They sought an injunction to simply reflects that we also, the same day, 6 prevent him from going to meetings in approved the protocols of -- of a meeting we 7 the future and a declaration of had earlier in the day and made all these 8 invalidity of his attendance at the 8 decisions. So we had an approved protocol on 9 board meetings. It was another of these 9 the same day. lawsuits in which we didn't participate. 10 10 Now, was there a meeting 11 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And what 11 scheduled after the November 18, 2005 board 12 happened in that lawsuit? 12 meeting? Was there any meeting scheduled MR. O'DRISCOLL: Ultimately, that 13 13 after that? lawsuit was dismissed because they 14 14 Α. Well, we made a timetable or failed to follow the procedural rules in schedule for meetings through 2006, but as 15 15 16 Ukraine in bringing it. far as I can remember, there was a court 17 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And Telenor 17 decision preventing us from having board meetings. 18 itself did not appear in that lawsuit? 18 19 MR. O'DRISCOLL: We were not 19 0. And were any other meetings held? named as a party. No. We tried to have -- have a 20 20 MR. SILLS: Which is typical of 21 21 shareholder meetings, but we were not able to 22 these Ukrainian lawsuits. 22 have a quorum in those. MR. O'DRISCOLL: My recollection 23 23 And with respect to the is Jmak defended it himself. shareholders meeting, directing your 24 MR. SILLS: But we didn't receive attention to just the past few weeks, was a 25

	Page 114		Page 115
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	shareholders meeting scheduled for Kyivstar?	2	Because there's a court order against
3	A. Yes. There was a shareholder	3	it?
4	meeting scheduled shortly, a couple of weeks	4	THE WITNESS: Court order in
5	ago, with, as far as I remember, two items.	5	Kyivstar preventing us from having board
6	One was to make amendments of the charter so	6	meetings.
7	that it would comply with the supreme court	7	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And the date
8	decision in the Ukraine. And the second was	8	of that court order is 2005 sometime?
9	to elect new board members.	9	MR. SILLS: It's actually got a
10	Q. And were you able to act on those	10	slightly complicated procedural history,
11	proposed agenda items?	11	because there have been times during the
12	A. No. We didn't have a quorum.	12	relevant period when there was and times
13	Q. Why?	13	when there weren't these court orders in
14	A. Alfa didn't participate, that's	14	effect, because it's been back and forth
15	why.	15	as it's worked its way up the Ukrainian
16	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Whatever	16	appellate ladder.
17	happened to Mr. Jmak?	17	But I think, Mr. Craig, just for
18	THE WITNESS: No. He is still	18	clarity, the court order to which the
19	there.	19	witness is referring is the one that was
20	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: But he stopped	20	ultimately confirmed by the Supreme
21	coming?	21	Court of Ukraine earlier this year which
22	THE WITNESS: Nobody's coming	22	held that only shareholders could serve
23	because we cannot call for a board	23	as directors of Kyivstar. And Alfa has
24	meeting for the time being.	24	taken the position that because of that
25	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I see.	25	there cannot be any board meetings.
	Page 116		Page 117
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	But, for example, there was a	2	happened was that a lawsuit was brought
3	period of time when an appellate court	3	in 2005, I believe, initially in a
4	had overturned that. An immediate	4	Ukrainian court of first instance in
5	appellate court had ruled that there was	5	Kiev, seeking a declaration that as a
6	no such requirement, and the boycott	6	matter of Ukrainian corporate law only
7	continued during that period as well.	7	shareholders could be directors.
8	But since the supreme court	8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And did
9	ruling on this, there haven't been any	9	Kyivstar resist that?
10	meetings. We can describe it after the	10	MR. SILLS: I suppose it depends
11	witness' testimony, but there's been	11	what you mean by "resist." But, yes,
12	there's been a further decision by an	12	they were represented. They did make an
13	intermediate appellate court clarifying	13	appearance. And they did succeed, I
14	that there can be board meetings with	14	believe on the first appeal. There was
15	five Telenor representatives and four	15	trial court decision so holding. And
16	Alfa representatives, but Alfa still	16	that was overturned at the first
17	won't show up. And their refusal to	17	appellate level. I believe it's called
18	show up has persisted, despite the state	18	the Kyiv City Court of Appeals, which
19	of appellate decision-making in Ukraine	19	held that there was nothing wrong with
20	throughout this event.	20	the corporate governance structure of
21	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I don't want	21	the company.
22	to waste your time. I'm curious. Just	22	There was then a further appeal
23	briefly, was this litigation initiated	23	to what I believe is called the higher
24	by Storm or Alfa Group to prevent	24	commercial court by the Alfa interests
25	MR. SILLS: Yes, it was. What	25	which reinstated the trial court ruling

	Page 118		Page 119
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2		2	
3	as to eligibility to serve on the board. Then that was, in turn, reversed on a	3	this year, we got something in writing
4		4	which I intend to hand up and make part
	hearing by the higher commercial court.		of the record, and I suppose we ought to
5	And we were participating in that case.	5 6	now, in which Storm suggested a new
6	That was that was reversed.	7	board structure under which they would
7	Then a further appeal was taken		have equal rights without increasing
8	by the Alfa interests in Ukrainian	8	their share holding or paying anything
9	Supreme Court which held, as I	9 10	for those rights.
10	understand it, not on the merits, but		And what's particularly striking
11	that it had not been appropriate as a	11	about this, aside from the fact that
12	matter of Ukrainian appellate procedure	12	finally we got written confirmation that
13	for the higher commercial court to have	13	their goal was to achieve equal rights,
14	reconsidered its own order and so left	14	is that they propose that there be three
15	the first of the two higher commercial	15	independent members of the board, which
16	court orders in place. And that's now a	16	is striking for two reasons. One, in a
17	final decision that only shareholders	17	company where there is only economic
18	may serve as directors.	18	interest, and no public shareholders so
19	And as you know, we've proposed,	19	one might ask why should there be any
20	as far back as the very early stages of	20	independent board members. What was
21	this dispute, a technical fix to that.	21	also interesting, independent board
22	We've never gotten a substantive	22	members, by definition, would not be
23	response. We finally got one, I	23	shareholders.
24	believe, on the 7th of this month in	24	So having slogged their way
25	which yeah, on the 7th of November of	25	through the Ukrainian trial and
	Page 120		Page 121
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	appellate courts and secured this	2	answer.
3	ruling, they've now made a proposal to	3	Do you remember the date that the
4	us under which there would be	4	Ukrainian court of first instance found
5	nonshareholder members of the board.	5	that Ukrainian law required
6	And I think it tells us a lot about both	6	shareholders I mean, required members
7	their goal and the good faith with which	7	of the board to be shareholders?
8	they've been pursuing strategy.	8	MR. SILLS: Yes. It's in the
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, they say in	9	chart which appears in our statement of
10	the spirit of compromise.	10	claim. Bear with me just a moment.
11	MR. SILLS: Well, they do say	11	THE CHAIRMAN: You can get it
12	that, Mr. Chairman. But	12	during the break.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. That's	13	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: It's just a
14	argument. I'm just	14	matter of historical interest to me as
15	MR. SILLS: I understand that.	15	to when that first happened.
16	But if in fact there is such a Ukrainian	16	And the second question is, did
17	legal requirement, then it's very	17	Telenor take the position that this
18	difficult to see how this would be a	18	dispute was governed by the arbitration
19	compromise in any meaningful sense of	19	provisions?
20	the word. I mean, I think what it shows	20	MR. SILLS: No. Because that was
21	is that they're prepared to turn their	21	purely a dispute over the charter and
22	backs on that ruling they obtained as	22	over these formal requirements of
23	soon as it suits their convenience.	23	Ukrainian law to serve on the board.
24	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Just two quick	24	There was no mention of the
25	questions. It may require a brief	25	shareholder's agreement there or attack

	Page 122		Page 123
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
1 2		1 2	
	on the shareholder's agreement or on the	3	only so far as that issue. And but I
3	provisions of the shareholder's	4	think in taking a step back and looking
4	agreement that would require amendments	l .	at it, because this had to do entirely
5	to the charter to conform to the	5	with the formal requirements of
6	shareholder's agreement. It was purely	6	Ukrainian law to serve on the board of a
7	on this formal issue of Ukrainian law.	7	Ukrainian company and had nothing to do
8	So the answer is no, because we	8	with the shareholder's agreement
9	didn't view it as implicating rights	9	because, after all, the shareholder's
10	under the under the shareholder's	10	agreement has a provision that its
11	agreement.	11	provisions trump any contrary provisions
12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And you didn't	12	of the charter or Ukrainian law and
13	view Storm as being governed by any	13	obligates the shareholders to conform
14	obligations under the arbitration	14	the charter to the governance provisions
15	provision in the shareholder's agreement	15	of the shareholder's agreement.
16	reaching this kind of an issue? Of	16	To the extent there were such
17	Ukrainian law?	17	formal requirements, the shareholder's
18	MR. SILLS: Well, I think that	18	agreement would require a fix. And
19	argument, in fairness, could have been	19	that, of course, is one of the
20	made at that point. But I suppose this	20	particular heads of relief we're seeking
21	goes to the waiver argument that Storm's	21	here, that is, to require Storm to
22	hinted at throughout this case.	22	consent to the amendments that are
23	Any decision to participate in	23	necessary to restore the governance
24	arbitration goes only so far as that	24	provisions that they agreed to in the
25	particular I'm sorry, litigation goes	25	shareholder's agreement and its New York
	Page 124		Page 125
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	law provisions.	2	cognizance of that. They would have
3	So that, I mean, if there was a	3	viewed it, I think, the same way we did,
4	requirement that everyone had to be a	4	as a purely formal issue arising under
5	Ukrainian citizen or had to wear, you	5	Ukrainian corporate law.
6	know, a silly hat to the meetings or	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Without objection,
7	whatever it is, that Ukrainian law would	7	Exhibit 1 will be this letter to Sigmund
8	impose as a formal matter, as an	8	Ekhougen, undated, and signed by Vadim
9	eligibility to serve on the board, we	9	Klymenko with a cc to Robert Sills and
10	didn't regard that as implicating rights	10	Alexei Reznikovich.
11	under the shareholder's agreement, at	11	(Claimant Exhibit No. 1, letter
12	least to the extent we didn't regard	12	to Sigmund Ekhougen, undated, signed by
13	that as implicating rights under the	13	Vadim Klymenko, with cc to Robert Sills
14	shareholder's agreement.	14	and Alexei Reznikovich, marked for
15	And so and we also regarded	15	identification as of this date.)
16	the shareholder's agreement as having	16	MR. SILLS: I should note,
17	provisions that would prevent that from	17	Mr. Chairman, that I had received an
18	affecting the ultimate governing	18	e-mail from our absent colleague
19	structure of the company. So no	19	objecting to this, claiming that it was
20	application was made in the Ukrainian	20	a settlement document.
21	courts. We didn't choose to bring the	21	THE CHAIRMAN: So noted.
22	shareholders issues before the Ukrainian	22	MR. SILLS: But I do want to make
23	courts. And I don't think based on	23	it clear on the record that he did have
24	advice we got from Ukrainian counsel,	24	that objection.
25	the Ukrainian courts wouldn't have taken	25	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I gather what

	Page 126		Page 127
1	Moland - Direct	1	
1 2		1 2	Moland - Direct
	we really ought to do is get back to the	3	record.
3	chronology.	l	THE CHAIRMAN: No objection.
4	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm sorry,	4	(Claimant Exhibit No. F,
5	that's my fault.	5	Halverson's affidavit, received in
6	ARBITRATOR JENTES: No, no	6	evidence as of this date.)
7	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm all for	7	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I think you
8	chronology.	8	may be right, but I was sort of back at
9	MR. SILLS: I think I have to	9	16. In any event, I just wanted to get
10	take more of the blame on that than the	10	to the end of the meetings that are
11	arbitrator. Mr. Jentes, I think we're	11	covered in the notebook, and then we've
12	done, unless the panel has any further	12	got this sort of supplemental affidavit
13	questions for the witness. But there is	13	regarding the December 12 meeting. And
14	a summary chronology, both of the	14	I just would like to get through that,
15	shareholder and the board meetings, in	15	only because then I have a question for
16	the affiliated of Mr. Halverson that was	16	the witness.
17	just filed with the tribunal. It	17	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: We received
18	appears as Exhibit F. And it just	18	the Halverson affidavit in the mail from
19	summarizes in tabular form the date of	19	you?
20	each of the shareholder and board	20	MR. O'DRISCOLL: By e-mail on
21	meetings, the location of the meeting or	21	Friday evening.
22	the proposed meeting.	22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: This is part
23	And it describes I suppose I	23	of the recent.
24	should also at this time, Mr. Chairman,	24	MR. SILLS: Right.
25	move Mr. Halverson's affidavit into the	25	Q. Just to finish the chronology,
	Page 128		Page 129
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
	Mr. Moland, if you would turn to what's the	2	there was this effort to have an
	last exhibit in the binder, which I believe	3	extraordinary shareholders meeting, is
	is labeled Exhibit 18.	4	that correct, that's reflected in
5	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: There is no	5	Halverson's affidavit?
6	17?	6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	MR. MUSOFF: There is no 17,	7	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And that,
8	omitted intentionally.	8	too, was blocked so that you could not
9	•	9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Q. And could you tell us what's reflected there, briefly?	10	proceed with that either? THE WITNESS: That's right. Yes.
11	A. It's reflected that we tried to	11	_
		12	ARBITRATOR JENTES: All right.
	have a board meeting this summer in July.		Do I understand that what exactly is
	There was a window then where we wanted we	13	your role as on the board today?
	had a court decision in our favor, and we	14	THE WITNESS: No. I am still
	thought that we should have a board meeting	15	elected board member and elected vice
	in July. But then before we were able to	16	chairman of the board.
	have that meeting there was a new court	17	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay. Could
	ruling saying that we implying that we	18	you just state generally what you see as
	couldn't have it.	19	the problem in running Kyivstar as a
20	Q. And was that meeting ever held?	20	result of the actions that have been
21	A. No.	21	taken by Alfa and Storm by not
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Any further	22	participating in the board meetings and
23	questions? Tribunal?	23	not permitting a shareholders meeting?
24	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Yes. So then	24	THE WITNESS: I think I touched
25	after the summer failure of the board,	25	upon it.

	Page 130		Page 131
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	ARBITRATOR JENTES: A little bit.	2	our loyalty. So there is a lack of
3	I'd like to have you just summarize it.	3	of, so to say, there is a big
4	THE WITNESS: I can try to	4	uncertainty as to who is the future
5	summarize it. We are not able to have	5	owner of the company. And that makes it
6	decisions on dividend. And there is	6	difficult to have the right authority to
7	also a lot of other more specific things	7	make them do the right things to to
8	of the operation of the company.	8	expand the business.
9	Telenor cannot be paid for the	9	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I notice that
10	support we give the company in terms of	10	some of the meetings that couldn't be
11	technical expertise, marketing expertise	11	held of the board you had to approve the
12	and so on. There is a lack of speed in	12	audited financial statements. Does this
13	the company when it comes to hiring	13	mean that there is no board approval of
14	high-quality people. In particular,	14	audited financial statements?
15	when it comes to financial controlling.	15	THE WITNESS: There is there
16	<u> </u>	16	
17	On the marketing side, they are not we are not able to have them	17	is we did that in the meeting in November '05 where Jmak participated.
18		18	ARBITRATOR JENTES: November
	make them do what we believe they should	19	
19 20	do to speed up the customer uptake	20	window?
21	further in terms of having more shops,		Q. November '05, you're referring
	branding the mobile phone, and so on.	22	to?
22	And there is a more general		THE WITNESS: November of '05
23	point. Namely, that the employees of	23	where Mr. Jmak was attending.
24	the company, they are, as a result of	24	ARBITRATOR JENTES: But since
25	this, questioning to whom should we have	25	that time you've been unable to get
	Page 132		Page 133
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	board approval of financial statements?	2	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I see, in
3	THE WITNESS: That's right, yes.	3	that window.
4	ARBITRATOR JENTES: What do you	4	THE WITNESS: that window.
5	do? Do you issue financial statements	5	ARBITRATOR JENTES: But that's a
6	or what happens?	6	year old?
7	THE WITNESS: No, we issue	7	THE WITNESS: That's more than a
8	financial statements as a part of	8	year old.
9	Telenor financial statements. Kyivstar	9	ARBITRATOR JENTES: There was
10	is consolidated into Telenor's financial	10	also an indication there was going to be
11	statements.	11	an approval of the budget for 2006.
12	ARBITRATOR JENTES: But Kyivstar	12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Which one are
13	itself cannot issue financial	13	you looking at, Bill?
14	statements?	14	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I'm looking
15	THE WITNESS: They cannot issue	15	at No. 14, the second page. The
16	financial statements approved by a	16	approval of the budget for 2006 and the
17	general meeting.	17	external financing for 2005 and 2006. I
18	ARBITRATOR JENTES: There was	18	take it that was approved at this window
19	also an indication that there was to be	19	meeting, but do you have approval of the
20	an approval of a strategy and a business	20	a budget for 2007?
21	plan for 2006 through 2008. So I take	21	THE WITNESS: No.
22	it there's no strategic plan that's	22	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And no
23	approved by the board?	23	external financing plan approved for
24	THE WITNESS: Well, it was	24	2007?
25	again, it was approved in that	25	THE WITNESS: No, no.

Page 135 Page 134 1 Moland - Direct **Moland - Direct** 2 ARBITRATOR JENTES: What about 2 Kyivstar. Can you explain a little bit more 3 3 about the issues you see with the capital the labor contract, is that also not 4 4 structure of Kyivstar going forward? approved or it has been approved? 5 Yes. While the problem back in THE WITNESS: That has created a 5 2002 was that the company needed money to 6 lot of problems. Because the expat 7 contracts, the non-Ukrainan part of expand the business. Now, going forward, the 8 management, their appointment and company has been a money machine. They are 9 contract should be also approved by the making a lot of money. And they have to have 10 10 the money in Ukrainian banks. So we believe board. And impact also the shareholders that there is a huge financial risk attached 11 meeting. 12 BY MR. MUSOFF: 12 to this. And the natural thing -- thing would have been that the owners should have 13 Q. I think following up or taken the money and given them back to the 14 Mr. Jentes' question, what is your 15 understanding of whether Telenor is able to shareholders. So we have -- we have a 15 consolidate the finance with Kvivstar? capital structure that is not good. 16 16 17 A. We're able to consolidate. 17 ARBITRATOR JENTES: If I may, let 18 18 me continue, just because I want pursue 0. What is your understanding as to 19 whether there's been a challenge to that by 19 this a little bit further. 20 Storm? 20 In terms of complying with United States laws, particularly those relating 21 A. Yes. There has been an attempt 21 where they have argued that we have not -- we to the Securities and Exchange 22 are not able to consolidate things. 23 Commission, are there impediments to And you also mentioned earlier, I doing that, as a result of this current 24 24 Ο. blockage by the Storm and the Alfa 25 believe, about the capital structure of 25 Page 136 Page 137 Moland - Direct 1 1 Moland - Direct 2 people? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 3 ARBITRATOR JENTES: And what's THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 4 ARBITRATOR JENTES: Would you the listing? 5 5 THE WITNESS: It's on NASDAQ. explain what that is? 6 THE WITNESS: I can explain that. 6 ARBITRATOR JENTES: This is who's 7 7 I will mention two things. One is that listing? 8 Alfa has made an attempt to stop 8 THE WITNESS: Telenor ASA. ASA. 9 Kyivstar from implementing these 9 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: This is procedures set by the American 10 10 Telenor that's being listed, not 11 11 authorities. Kvivstar. 12 The second is that when this has 12 ARBITRATOR JENTES: I know that. I just want to have him state on the 13 been done, to make Kyivstar do all the 13 hard work that it is to implement this record what it is. So it's Telenor ASA. 14 14 15 new routines has proved to be very 15 And what problems do you see that 16 difficult. Telenor has to a great 16 the whole situation with Kyivstar and Storm, et cetera, has for Telenor with 17 extent had to pay consultants for doing 17 this. And I'm not sure that Telenor is 18 NASDAQ? 18 THE WITNESS: Well, it's not 19 going to comply fully with -- with 19 20 requirements set by American problems with NASDAQ. It's within the 20 21 authorities, as a result of this -- this 21 SEC. 22 problems in Kyivstar. Of course, that 22 ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay. 23 could also harm Telenor's shareholders. 23 THE WITNESS: If it turns out ARBITRATOR JENTES: Right. And 24 24 that -- that Kyivstar should not be able you're listed in the United States? 25 to fulfill all the requirements from 25

	Page 138		Page 139
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	SEC, Telenor would have to say to to	2	fulfill all requirements. If Kyivstar
3	say to the to the SEC that we are not	3	should be the thing that that makes
4	able to do that.	4	Telenor not able to fulfill it, it would
5	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay. And	5	be important.
6	that, in turn, would have impacts on	6	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Does Telenor
7	your NASDAQ listing?	7	have operations in other countries?
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah. On the share	8	THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Many
9		9	countries.
10	price, yeah. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: But when we	10	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Are there any
11	talk about SEC requirements, are we	11	other countries that you're having
12	talking about Sarbanes-Oxley	12	difficulty implementing Sarbanes-Oxley?
13	specifically?	13	THE WITNESS: I think it's fair
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is	14	to say that Kyivstar is the country
15	Sarbanes-Oxley. That's the part of it	15	where it is most difficult to do it.
16	that's difficult when it comes to	16	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Well, my
17	to to have financial control over it	17	question is, are there other countries,
18	and internal control over everything.	18	though, where you've had difficulty?
19	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Right.	19	THE WITNESS: Well, we have
20	THE WITNESS: To do to do all	20	difficult, yes, but they are much easier
21	the work that this requires is very	21	to to solve.
22	difficult in Kyivstar because of the	22	ARBITRATOR JENTES: To deal with?
23	present situation. And for Telenor it's	23	THE WITNESS: To deal with than
24	a goal to be to be among, so to say,	24	in Kyivstar. I think it's difficult
25	the top ranking list of companies. And	25	also in Norway.
23	Page 140	23	Page 141
_			
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	THE CHAIRMAN: You mean the	2	A. I think they have, yes.
3 4	United States instead of Kyivstar? You mean the United States? It's difficult	3	Q. And have they also written to the
5		4	Telenor board of directors?
_	to deal with the regulatory		A. Yes. That's right.
7	THE WITNESS: No, no. It's	6	Q. And have they issued press
8	difficult to have Kyivstar implementing the American rules.	7 8	releases challenging Telenor's right to consolidate?
9	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Some would say	9	A. That's right.
10	it's difficult here, too.	10	Q. Can you think of any legitimate
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other	11	business reason Alfa would have to be
12	questions?	12	interested in Telenor's accounting practices?
13	MR. SILLS: Yes. I just want to	13	A. No. It's part of the same game
14	follow up on that line of questioning,	14	they are seeking.
15	on the consolidation issue.	15	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. One final
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION	16	question. Did you say, I believe an
17	BY MR. SILLS:	17	hour ago or more, that this dispute has
18	Q. Do you know whether or not Alfa	18	risen to the level of the prime
19	has attacked the right of Telenor to	19	ministers of Norway and the Ukraine
20	consolidate Kyivstar's results on its books?	20	discussing this dispute among
21	A. Yes. I know they have tried to	21	themselves?
22	do that.	22	THE WITNESS: No. Not this
23	Q. And do you know whether or not	23	dispute. But the court order in Ukraine
24	they've communicated directly with Telenor's	24	saying that Telenor is forbidden from
25	outside auditors raising this question?	25	from coming here and using this panel.

	Page 142		Dago 142
			Page 143
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	That's a thing that is a potential	2	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Chicago? I
3	threat both to Norwegian citizens,	3	mean, New York time.
4	Telenor employees in the Ukraine, and	4	MR. O'DRISCOLL: Because his wife
5	also to Telenor's values.	5	is
6	THE CHAIRMAN: And the prime	6	ARBITRATOR JENTES: No, no.
7	ministers have discussed this?	7	MR. O'DRISCOLL: It will be
8	THE WITNESS: Yes. The Norwegian	8	eight o'clock Oslo time. He had to go
9	prime minister has brought brought	9	home and take care of his small
10	Ukraine prime minister's attention to	10	children. And once his children are in
11	this.	11	bed
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very	12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: The absolute
13	much. Thank you for coming here today.	13	proper priority.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	14	THE CHAIRMAN: So he'll be ready
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	15	at two. Do you have an estimate,
16	Mr. Sills, we're going to	16	Mr. Sills, as how long this testimony
17	obviously take a break. What is your	17	would take?
18	pleasure now in plus our reporter	18	MR. SILLS: I think it will be
19	also would appreciate a break. But what	19	much briefer. I would think in the
20	is your pleasure now? Is your witness	20	range of half an hour, subject to
21	available?	21	whatever questions.
22	MR. O'DRISCOLL: Chairman	22	He's available for as long as the
23	Feinberg, we've lost our window with our	23	panel has questions for him. But I
24	witness, and he is now not available	24	would think his direct testimony would
25	until two o'clock our time, I'm afraid.	25	take a half an hour or less.
	Page 144		Page 145
1	Moland - Direct	1	Moland - Direct
2	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I was only	2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	going to ask, are there any other things	3	(1:27 p.m.)
4	that we could in effect advance, like	4	THE CHAIRMAN: On the record.
5	our logistical aspects of winding up	5	Mr. Sills, the tribunal has
6	this thing. So in other words, we could	6	consulted during the lunch break and
7	do something from one to two or	7	we're of the view that the tribunal
8	something, I don't know.	8	would benefit from a post-hearing brief,
9	MR. SILLS: That's a very good	9	particularly conclusions of fact and
10	suggestion. I suppose, in terms of	10	law.
11	identifying and moving in exhibits. But	11	We know that the Storm written
12	I'm not sure we really need to go to	12	submissions over the past few months
13	that formal extent.	13	provide substantial information on both
14	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Well, if I	14	Storm's approach to findings of fact and
15	could on that, and perhaps we could go	15	conclusions of law. And that source,
16	off the record. Off the record.	16	coupled with your own submissions,
17	(Discussion off the record.)	17	should hopefully ease somewhat the
18	(Luncheon recess: 12:31 p.m.)	18	difficulty in your getting us such
19		19	findings of fact, conclusions of law,
20		20	and written argument.
21		21	We also would welcome similar
22		22	submissions from Storm by an order that
23		23	we will issue. We welcome any thoughts
24		24	you have on that. Those those
25		25	submissions might also include as an

Proceedings appendix a listing of the various 3 submissions in writing and orders of 4 various courts, both Ukrainian and the 5 United States, that would help us in our 6 deliberations. 7 We're open, understanding full 8 well the nature of the season and the 9 timing of all this, we're open to 10 suggestions from claimant on when you 11 could provide us this omnibus document. 12 And then we ask the claimant, 13 pursuant to the Uncitral rules, once the 14 date for your submission is reached, for 15 your submitting these post-hearing 16 information, am I correct, how long do 17 we have under the Uncitral rules? It's 18 a question, you don't have to answer 19 now. We'll also inquire as to how long 20 we have for the circulation of our 21 opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, 22 that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the 24 Uncitral. 25 MR. SILLS: I believe it's the 25 MR. SILLS: I believe it's the 26 MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 15 that any further. As far as timing 17 post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 15 that any further. As far as timing 20 goes, you're exactly right. With the 19 team that's been working with me here 19 team that's been working wit		Page 146		Page 147
appendix a listing of the various submissions in writing and orders of various courts, both Ukrainian and the United States, that would help us in our deliberations. We're open, understanding full well the nature of the season and the to timing of all this, we're open to suggestions from claimant on when you could provide us this omnibus document. And then we ask the claimant, pursuant to the Uncitral rules, once the date for your submission is reached, for your submission is reached, for we have under the Uncitral rules? It's a question, you don't have to answer now. We'll also inquire as to how long we have for the circulation of our opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the Uncitral. Proceedings if we continue to be the only party actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. The CHAIRMAN: But that's sort of our opining approach to this, following our adjournment today. And we invite a response from you. MR. SILLS: Well, I think that Ithink that makes al tot of sense, and it will provide for an orderly pulling together of this rather extensive record. It will give Storm an opportunity to participate. We would strongly oppose any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not to the table and put in its papers. The one question is if Storm does return to the proceeding, it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal's pleasure, sometime in the middle of Janu	1		_	
submissions in writing and orders of 4 various courts, both Ukrainian and the 5 United States, that would help us in our 6 deliberations. 7 We're open, understanding full 8 well the nature of the season and the 9 timing of all this, we're open to 10 suggestions from claimant on when you 11 could provide us this omnibus document. 12 And then we ask the claimant, 13 pursuant to the Uncitral rules, once the 14 date for your submission is reached, for 15 your submitting these post-hearing 16 information, and I correct, how long do 17 we have under the Uncitral rules? It's 18 a question, you don't have to answer 19 now. We'll also inquire as to how long 20 we have for the circulation of our 21 opinion. Under – Mr. Jentes suggested, 22 that under the AAA rules it would be 30 23 days but we're not sure under the 24 Uncitral. 25 MR. SILLS: I believe it's the Page 148 1 Proceedings 2 if we continue to be the only party 3 actually participating, there obviously 4 would be no occasion for a second round 5 briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to 6 see what, if anything, Storm submits and 7 address that question at that time. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm 9 inclined, speaking for myself, that the 10 panel would fix a date for the 11 simultaneous submission of post-trial - 12 post-hearing briefs and findings of fact 13 and conclusions of law. I wouldn't 14 personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Well, I think that - 11 think that makes a lot of sense, and it will provide for an orderly pulling together of this; following our adjournment today. And we invite a response from you. MR. SILLS: Well, I think that - 11 think that makes a lot of sense, and it will provide for an orderly pulling together of this rather extensive record. It will give Storm an opportunity to reconsider its position not to oparticipate. We would strongly oppose any decision by Storm to return to the tribunal strongly oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any decision by Storm to return to the proveding it may effort to reopen the evidentiary		<u> </u>		
4 various courts, both Ükrainian and the 5 United States, that would help us in our 6 deliberations. 7 We're open, understanding full 8 well the nature of the season and the 9 timing of all this, we're open to 10 suggestions from claimant on when you 11 could provide us this omnibus document. 12 And then we ask the claimant, 13 pursuant to the Uncitral rules, once the 14 date for your submission is reached, for 15 your submitting these post-hearing 16 information, am I correct, how long do we have under the Uncitral rules? It's 18 a question, you don't have to answer 19 now. We'll also inquire as to how long we have for the circulation of our 20 we have for the circulation of our 21 opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, that under the AAA rules it would be 30 aday but we're not sure under the 24 Uncitral. 25 MR. SILLS: I believe it's the Page 148 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party actually participating, there obviously would be no ocasion for a second round 5 briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: But that's sort of our opening approach to this, following our adjournment today. And we invite a response from you. MR. SILLS: Well, I think that I think that makes a lot of sense, and it will provide for an orderly pulling together of this rather extensive record. It will give Storm an opportunity to reconsider its position not to participate. We would strongly oppose any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would errainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would strongly oppose any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would errainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would errainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any effort to reopen				
United States, that would help us in our deliberations. We're open, understanding full well the nature of the season and the timing of all this, we're open to suggestions from claimant on when you could provide us this omnibus document. And then we ask the claimant, and the date for your submitting these post-hearing information, am I correct, how long do we have for the circulation of our opinion. Under – Mr. Jentes suggested, that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the uncitral. The CHARMAN: Maybe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the pape would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial address that question at that time. THE CHARMAN: Maybe. I'm opost-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Well, I think that — I think that makes a lot of sense, and it will provide for an orderly pulling together of this rather extensive record. It will give Storm an opportunity to reconsider its position not to participate. We would strongly oppose any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any decision by Storm to return to the table and put in its papers. The one question is if Storm does return to the proceedings it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously, Page 148 Proceedings I would think, Mr. Chairman, subject to the tribunal's pleasure, sometime in the middle of January would make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: Myabe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the pass and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same the friety to show and Storm. But tribunal will issue an order to that time time of year, and I have to say the same the friety to the calline. MR. SILLS: Could we make it tribunal will issue an or			1	
deliberations. We're open, understanding full well the nature of the season and the timing of all this, we're open to suggestions from claimant on when you could provide us this omnibus document. And then we ask the claimant, pursuant to the Uncitral rules, once the date for your submission is reached, for your submission of post-trial the your submission of post-trial and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Well, I think that — I think that makes a lot of sense, and it will provide for an orderly pulling together of this rather extensive record. It will give Storm an opportunity to reconsider its position not to participate. We would strongly oppose any effort to reopen the evidentiary to record. It will give Storm an opportunity to reconsider its position not to participate. We would strongly oppose any effort to reopen the evidentiary to record. It will give Storm an opportunity to reconsider its position not to oparticipate. We would strongly oppose any effort to reopen the evidentiary to record. It will give Storm an opportunity oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary. It will give Storm an opportunity to record. It will give Storm an oppo			1	
The continue to be the only party Satually participating, there obey and continue to be the only party Satually participating, there obey only a dadress that question on cocasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question of the continue to be the only party Satually participating, there obey only in continued to be chearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. 18			1	
well the nature of the season and the timing of all this, we're open to suggestions from claimant on when you could provide us this omnibus document. And then we ask the claimant, approach to the Uncitral rules, once the date for your submission is reached, for your submission is reached, for your submission is reached, for your submisting these post-hearing in finifington, you don't have to answer a question, you for the circulation of our opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the Uncitral. Proceedings if we continue to be the only party a actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. Irm inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial panel would sea a read for responses. MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the left the billias under the Alama and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us-we had discussed before the				
9 timing of all this, we're open to suggestions from claimant on when you could provide us this omnibus document. 12 And then we ask the claimant, pursuant to the Uncitral rules, once the 14 date for your submission is reached, for 14 to reconsider its position not to your submitting these post-hearing information, am I correct, how long do information, am I correct, how long do information, am I correct, how long do we have under the Uncitral rules? It's 17 a question, you don't have to answer now. We'll also inquire as to how long we have for the circulation of our 20 opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the 23 days but we're not sure under the 24 Uncitral. 24 Uncitral. 24 East would be so days but we're not sure under the 25 MR. SILLS: I believe it's the	I -			
10 suggestions from claimant on when you could provide us this omnibus document. 12 And then we ask the claimant, 12 Dursuant to the Uncitral rules, once the date for your submitsion is reached, for your submitting these post-hearing 15 It will give Storm an opportunity 16 It will give Storm an opportunity 17 It will give Storm an opportunity 18 It will give Storm an opportunity 18 It will give Storm an opportunity 18 It will give Storm an opportunity 19 It will give Storm an opportunity 14 15 It will give Storm an opportunity 15 It will give Storm an opportunity 19 15 It will give Storm an opportunity 19 It will give Storm an opportunity 15 It will give Storm an opportunity 19 It will give Storm an opportunity 19 It will give Storm an opportunity 15 19 It will give Storm an opportunity 15 19 It will give Storm an opportunity 19 15 17 18 17 18 17 18 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 19 19			1	
11				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12			1	
pursuant to the Uncitral rules, once the date for your submission is reached, for your submistion to to to reconsider its position not to to to reconsider its position not to to to reconsider its position to to to to reconsider its position to to to to to consider its position to to to to reconsider its position to to to to to conside rits position not to to to reconsider its position not to to to reconsider its position to to participate. We would certainly not oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would popose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would popose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would oppose, any effort to reopen th			1	<u> </u>
date for your submission is reached, for your submitting these post-hearing information, am I correct, how long do we have under the Uncitral rules? It's a question, you don't have to answer your submitting these post-hearing we have under the Uncitral rules? It's a question, you don't have to answer your submission is reached, for your submitting these post-hearing we have under the Uncitral rules? It's a question, you don't have to answer your submission is reached, for your submitting these post-hearing hiere by how long information, am I correct, how long your submitting these post-hearing hiere by how long have for the circulation of our your submitting these post-hearing hiere as to how long your submitting these post-hearing hiere so how long your submitting these your some would fix a duestion of ur think we could oppose, any decision by Storm to return to the table and put in its papers. The one question is if Storm does return to the proceeding, it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously, Page 148 Proceedings I would think, Mr. Chairman, subject to the tribunal's pleasure, your the		*	1	
your submitting these post-hearing information, am I correct, how long do information, am I correct, how long do we have under the Uncitral rules? It's a question, you don't have to answer now. We'll also inquire as to how long we have for the circulation of our opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the Uncitral. Page 148 Page 148 Proceedings 1 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party a catually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press tam that's been working with me here 19 parelicipatic we would strongly oppose any effort to reopen the evidentiary hap ergofited any effort to reopen the evidentiary hap ergofited any effort to reopen the evidentiary hap ergofited any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, I don't shink we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would ertainly not oppose, I don't shink we could oppose, any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would ertainly not oppose, I don't shink we could oppose, and yet cison by storm to return to the table and put in its papers. The one question is if Storm does return to the proceeding, it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously, a underly open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal's pleasure, sometime in the middle of January would make a sensible time for that submission			1	
information, am I correct, how long do we have under the Uncitral rules? It's a question, you don't have to answer now. We'll also inquire as to how long we have for the circulation of our opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the Uncitral. Page 148 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the make a sensible time for that simultaneous submission of post-trial make a sensible time for myself, that the personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the mission. MR. SILLS: Oak we make it make semining make a sensible time for that simultaneous submission of law. I wouldn't goes, you're exactly right. With the mission. In any effort to reopen the evidentiary hearings. But we would certainly not oppose, I don't think we could oppose, any decision by Storm to return to the table and put in its papers. The one question is if Storm does return to the proceeding, it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously. Page 148 Proceedings I would think, Mr. Chairman, subject to the tribunal's pleasure, sometime in the middle of January would submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody has a calendar? MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the			1	
17 we have under the Uncitral rules? It's a question, you don't have to answer 19 now. We'll also inquire as to how long 20 we have for the circulation of our 21 opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, 22 that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the 23 days but we're not sure under the 24 Uncitral. 24 Uncitral. 25 MR. SILLS: I believe it's the 25 Page 148				
a question, you don't have to answer now. We'll also inquire as to how long we have for the circulation of our opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, 21 opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, 22 that under the AAA rules it would be 30 22 return to the proceeding, it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously, Page 148 Proceedings 1 Proceedings 2 I would think, Mr. Chairman, subject to the tribunal's pleasure, sometime in the middle of January would make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact 13 and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 15 MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 16 that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the 18 time of year, and I have to say the same 18 MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the			1	•
now. We'll also inquire as to how long we have for the circulation of our opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the Loritral. The one question is if Storm does return to the proceeding, it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously, Page 148 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm sinclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial representally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same tarbon in the panel would be no occasion for a second round address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm simultaneous submission of post-trial representally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue an order to that tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the		we have under the Uncitral rules? It's	1	
we have for the circulation of our opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, 21 table and put in its papers. The one question is if Storm does return to the proceeding, it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously, Page 148 Proceedings 1 Proceedings I would think, Mr. Chairman, subject to the tribunal's pleasure, sometime in the middle of January would make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm and dress that question at that time. The CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm and conclusions of law. I wouldn't press the same that is been working with me here 19 like us we had discussed before the		a question, you don't have to answer	1	oppose, I don't think we could oppose,
21 opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested, 22 that under the AAA rules it would be 30 23 days but we're not sure under the 24 Uncitral. 25 MR. SILLS: I believe it's the Page 148 Proceedings 2 if we continue to be the only party 3 actually participating, there obviously 4 would be no occasion for a second round 5 briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to 6 see what, if anything, Storm submits and 7 address that question at that time. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm 9 inclined, speaking for myself, that the 11 simultaneous submission of post-trial 12 post-hearing briefs and findings of fact 13 and conclusions of law. I wouldn't 14 personally see a need for responses. 15 MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 16 that any further. As far as timing 17 goes, you're exactly right. With the 18 time of year, and I have to say the same 19 team that's been working with me here 21 The one question is if Storm does return to the proceeding, it might be appropriate to leave an opportunity open for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously, Page 149 Proceedings I would think, Mr. Chairman, subject to the tribunal's pleasure, sometime in the middle of January would make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody has a calendar? MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the		now. We'll also inquire as to how long	1	any decision by Storm to return to the
that under the AAA rules it would be 30 days but we're not sure under the 24 Uncitral. 25 MR. SILLS: I believe it's the Page 148 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact simultaneous submission of law. I wouldn't and conclusions of law. I wouldn't flat any further. As far as timing for responding papers, but I really leave that to the tribunal. Obviously, Page 149 Proceedings I would think, Mr. Chairman, subject to the tribunal's pleasure, sometime in the middle of January would make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody has a calendar? MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	20			table and put in its papers.
days but we're not sure under the Uncitral. MR. SILLS: I believe it's the Page 148 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and rinclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial panel would fix a date for the mandage of panel would fix a date for the mandage of January, if somebody has a calendar? MR. SILLS: Could we make it mandage of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the that any further. As far as timing mandage of the mandage of the mandage of the mandage of the mandage of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the mandage of the mandage of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the mandage of the server. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press mandage of the server. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	21	opinion. Under Mr. Jentes suggested,	1	The one question is if Storm does
Uncitral. MR. SILLS: I believe it's the Page 148 Page 149 Page 149 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't MR. SILLS: Then I won't press MR. SILLS: Then I won't press MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	22	that under the AAA rules it would be 30	22	return to the proceeding, it might be
Page 148 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial simultaneous submission of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press fix and response to submission and storm. But friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	23	days but we're not sure under the	23	appropriate to leave an opportunity open
Page 148 Proceedings if we continue to be the only party actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th and conclusions of law. I wouldn't make a sensible time for that make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday for the middle of January, if somebody make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday for the middle of January make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday for the middle of January make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday for the middle of January make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday for the middle of January make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday for the middle of January make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRM	24	Uncitral.	24	for responding papers, but I really
1 Proceedings 2 if we continue to be the only party 3 actually participating, there obviously 4 would be no occasion for a second round 5 briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to 6 see what, if anything, Storm submits and 7 address that question at that time. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm 9 inclined, speaking for myself, that the 10 panel would fix a date for the 11 simultaneous submission of post-trial 12 post-hearing briefs and findings of fact 13 and conclusions of law. I wouldn't 14 personally see a need for responses. 15 MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 16 that any further. As far as timing 17 goes, you're exactly right. With the 18 time of year, and I have to say the same 19 team that's been working with me here 10 Proceedings 1 I would think, Mr. Chairman, 3 subject to the tribunal's pleasure, 4 would be in the middle of January would 5 make a sensible time for that 6 submission. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday 1 in the middle of January, if somebody 1 has a calendar? 9 has a calendar? 10 MR. SILLS: Could we make it 11 Friday, the 19th? 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th 13 of January, by that date, that's the 14 deadline, and we'll issue the 15 tribunal will issue an order to that 16 effect to both you and Storm. But 17 Friday the 19th would be the deadline. 18 MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you 19 like us we had discussed before the	25	MR. SILLS: I believe it's the	25	leave that to the tribunal. Obviously,
2 if we continue to be the only party 3 actually participating, there obviously 4 would be no occasion for a second round 5 briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to 6 see what, if anything, Storm submits and 7 address that question at that time. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm 9 inclined, speaking for myself, that the 10 panel would fix a date for the 11 simultaneous submission of post-trial 12 post-hearing briefs and findings of fact 13 and conclusions of law. I wouldn't 14 personally see a need for responses. 15 MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 16 that any further. As far as timing 17 goes, you're exactly right. With the 18 time of year, and I have to say the same 19 team that's been working with me here 2		Page 148		Page 149
2 if we continue to be the only party 3 actually participating, there obviously 4 would be no occasion for a second round 5 briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to 6 see what, if anything, Storm submits and 7 address that question at that time. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm 9 inclined, speaking for myself, that the 10 panel would fix a date for the 11 simultaneous submission of post-trial 12 post-hearing briefs and findings of fact 13 and conclusions of law. I wouldn't 14 personally see a need for responses. 15 MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 16 that any further. As far as timing 17 goes, you're exactly right. With the 18 time of year, and I have to say the same 19 team that's been working with me here 2	1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
actually participating, there obviously would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't mR. SILLS: Could we make it mR. SILLS: Then I won't press mR. SILLS: Then I won't press mR. Sinultaneous submission of year, and I have to say the same minch the middle of January would make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody has a calendar? MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	2		2	<u> </u>
would be no occasion for a second round briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm niclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody has a calendar? MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you team that's been working with me here Here CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January would make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday has a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	3		3	subject to the tribunal's pleasure,
briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press that any further. As far as timing make a sensible time for that submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody has a calendar? MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	4		4	
see what, if anything, Storm submits and address that question at that time. THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't means a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody has a calendar? MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same team that's been working with me here submission. THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday in the middle of January, if somebody has a calendar? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	5	briefing, and perhaps it makes sense to	5	
7 THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm 9 inclined, speaking for myself, that the 10 panel would fix a date for the 11 simultaneous submission of post-trial 12 post-hearing briefs and findings of fact 13 and conclusions of law. I wouldn't 14 personally see a need for responses. 15 MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 16 that any further. As far as timing 17 goes, you're exactly right. With the 18 time of year, and I have to say the same 19 that any did that time. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: What is the Friday 18 in the middle of January, if somebody 19 has a calendar? 10 MR. SILLS: Could we make it 11 Friday, the 19th? 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th 13 of January, by that date, that's the 14 deadline, and we'll issue the 15 tribunal will issue an order to that 16 effect to both you and Storm. But 17 Friday the 19th would be the deadline. 18 MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you 19 like us we had discussed before the	6		6	submission.
THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe. I'm inclined, speaking for myself, that the panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the that any further. As far as timing may be the deadline. The chair may be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	7		7	
9 inclined, speaking for myself, that the 10 panel would fix a date for the 11 simultaneous submission of post-trial 12 post-hearing briefs and findings of fact 13 and conclusions of law. I wouldn't 14 personally see a need for responses. 15 MR. SILLS: Then I won't press 16 that any further. As far as timing 17 goes, you're exactly right. With the 18 time of year, and I have to say the same 19 has a calendar? 10 MR. SILLS: Could we make it 11 Friday, the 19th? 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th 13 of January, by that date, that's the 14 deadline, and we'll issue the 15 tribunal will issue an order to that 16 effect to both you and Storm. But 17 Friday the 19th would be the deadline. 18 MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you 19 team that's been working with me here 19 like us we had discussed before the	8		8	
panel would fix a date for the simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Could we make it Friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that stribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Could we make it friday, the 19th? THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th deadline, and we'll issue the friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	9		9	
simultaneous submission of post-trial post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same team that's been working with me here THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	10	•	10	MR. SILLS: Could we make it
post-hearing briefs and findings of fact and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same team that's been working with me here THE CHAIRMAN: Friday, the 19th deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the			11	
and conclusions of law. I wouldn't personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same team that's been working with me here 13 of January, by that date, that's the deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the	12		12	• '
personally see a need for responses. MR. SILLS: Then I won't press that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same team that's been working with me here deadline, and we'll issue the tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the			1	•
MR. SILLS: Then I won't press that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same team that's been working with me here tribunal will issue an order to that effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the			1	· ·
that any further. As far as timing goes, you're exactly right. With the time of year, and I have to say the same team that's been working with me here 16 effect to both you and Storm. But Friday the 19th would be the deadline. MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the			1	
17 goes, you're exactly right. With the 18 time of year, and I have to say the same 19 team that's been working with me here 17 Friday the 19th would be the deadline. 18 MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the				
time of year, and I have to say the same team that's been working with me here 18 MR. SILLS: Okay. And would you like us we had discussed before the		•	1	
team that's been working with me here like us we had discussed before the	18	• • •	18	
		·	1	
20 has been working on the VimpelCom 20 break providing a formal summary of the		-	1	
dispute, which also involves a 21 matters in evidence, both documentary	21	<u> </u>	1	
shareholder's agreement, and as well as 22 and testimonial, so that it's clear what		•	1	·
on the proceeding before Judge Lynch, 23 the evidentiary record is. Would you			1	
24 and I think I'd at least like not to 24 like that submitted at the same time or	24		1	
25 work them weekends. 25 in advance?	25		25	

	D 150		Davis 151
	Page 150		Page 151
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Could be the same	2	MR. SILLS: Article 36 I'm
3	time.	3	sorry, no. No.
4	MR. SILLS: Okay. We'll make	4	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I don't think
5	sure to include that as well. And would	5	there's any obligation that we have to
6	you like it in the form of proposed	6	issue an award within a specific period
7	findings and conclusions with numbered	7	of time.
8	paragraphs and then any supporting	8	After we issue an award, there
9	argument in those proposed findings and	9	are deadlines that might be complied
10	conclusions?	10	with for correction or for additions,
11	THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine.	11	corrections, interpretations. But I
12	MR. SILLS: Without a separate	12	don't see any comparable deadline of the
13	brief?	13	AAA on this.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	14	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Well, I think
15	MR. SILLS: Okay. We'll follow	15	what it says in Article 32-7, "The
16	the form we did on the jurisdictional	16	tribunal shall comply with this
17	issue.	17	requirement for the period of time
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Fine.	18	required by the law." So I think we're
19	MR. SILLS: We'll certainly do	19	going to have to be guided, I suspect,
20	that. And I think we'll be easily able	20	by New York law as to when the time for
21	to meet that schedule.	21	the award is out.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: And then,	22	MR. SILLS: I believe that's
23	depending on our interpretation of the	23	right, but, I mean
24	Uncitral rules, we would then issue our	24	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I think
25	order within the time frame allotted.	25	that's Bill, that's after we've
	Page 152		Page 153
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	issued the award for it to be filed and	2	asked one of my colleagues to go and get
3	registered. After we issue the award,	3	us a copy of the CPLR, so we can see if
4	it must be filed and registered within	4	there is anything particular. Nothing
5	the terms of the	5	comes to mind in terms of a specific,
6	ARBITRATOR JENTES: You may be	6	you know, so-many-days deadline for an
7	right.	7	award. And I have every confidence that
8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I don't think	8	the panel will deal with it as promptly
9	we're held to issue an award with any	9	as it can.
10	within any period of time.	10	But, as I say, I mean, our
11	MR. SILLS: I think that's right.	11	interest is in getting a sound award
12	And our interest is in getting a sound	12	that will withstand any judicial
13	and correct award, not getting an award	13	scrutiny as opposed to getting one on
14	within some particular fixed period.	14	day one as opposed to day two.
15	That being said, for obvious reasons, we	15	THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
16	have an interest in a prompt award so	16	Anything else from the tribunal? If
17	that we can right the ship. But	17	not, do we have anything else before our
18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Is that write	18	conversation with your next witness?
19	the check?	19	MR. O'DRISCOLL: They should be
20	MR. SILLS: No. To right the	20	there momentarily. Let me just call.
21	ship.	21	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Mr. Sills, on
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Right the ship.	22	the issue of the Storm contribution to
23	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm sorry, I	23	this, in their prehearing brief which
24	misheard.	24	they filed, I guess, some weeks ago,
25	MR. SILLS: Subject to, and I've	25	they have a collection of proposed

			7
	Page 154		Page 155
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	findings of fact that are also have	2	what we would do, subject to the
3	paragraphs that are numbered. So in	3	tribunal's approval, is submit proposed
4	designing your own proposed findings of	4	findings and conclusions, just as we
5	fact, you want to take into account,	5	would after the close of the evidence in
6	because I think the tribunal is going to	6	a bench trial in federal court. And
7	be using the Storm prehearing brief for	7	we'll, of course, address their
8	Storm's version of this.	8	contentions.
9	MR. SILLS: I would assume so. I	9	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That's fine.
10	mean, since I don't think they've	10	I just think you ought to know what
11	characterized the issues correctly,	11	we're going to be looking at at the same
12	we're not planning to respond to it as	12	time we're looking at yours.
13	if it were a pleading point by point.	13	MR. SILLS: I appreciate that. I
14	But as I'm sure you recall, on	14	suppose that's helpful. I suppose if
15	the previous on the proceedings	15	they do continue their nonparticipation
16	leading up to the partial final award,	16	that's all you'd have to look at in
17	the panel asked for the submission of	17	terms of what their position is.
18	proposed findings and conclusions, and	18	I guess we should just note for
19	we did that, and that's in numbered	19	the record that of the three witnesses
20	paragraphs. We treated it as if they	20	that had been designated by Storm, they
21	were proposed findings and conclusions	21	had told us three of the witnesses
22	in federal court.	22	designated by Storm would be subject to
23	I mean, I have said, I think,	23	cross-examination, that's Mr. Magnus,
24	their brief is more just a brief with	24	Mr. Khudykov, and possibly Mr. Kulikov.
25	each paragraph having a number. But	25	And I just want to note for the record
	Page 156		Page 157
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	that we would have exercised our right	2	sort of follows, of course, that they
3	to cross-examine them. And that their	3	come out right. So I think that both
4	testimony, such as it is, is now simply	4	sides need to make certain in their
5	in the form of affidavits drafted by	5	post-evidentiary hearing submission that
6	their attorneys.	6	you really lay out why the panel needs
7	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Let me add	7	to come out with your view as to the
8	only one thing to what Mr. Craig says.	8	applicable law on the merits of this
9	And that is, that speaking for myself, I	9	controversy.
10	continue to be very much, not so much	10	MR. SILLS: And we're planning
11	troubled, but at least interested in the	11	to. But I should could you get that.
12	core issue here which, in my opinion, is	12	You know, I should note for the record
13	what exactly is the controlling law	13	that, and I understand that Storm made
14	that's governing our decision on the	14	this point, I will note, and we'll brief
15	merits.	15	this, that the cases that they cite and
16	And on the one hand the Telenor	16	rely on were all all involve New York
17	position starts out, well, of course,	17	law prior to a critical statutory
18	New York law applies and from that point	18	amendment to which they do not refer.
19	on it's almost a foregone conclusion	19	In 1984 New York adopted Section
20	what the result is.	20	514-01 of its general obligations law
21	Conversely, when you read the	21	which expressly endorses the principle
22	materials that are submitted by Storm,	22	of full court party autonomy to
23	they start out with, well, of course,	23	designate governing law. So that the
24 25	what the applicable law is is the law of	24 25	choice of law argument they made might
⊿⊃	Ukraine. And once you get over that it	⊿⊃	have had some appeal in 1983 but it's

	Page 158		Page 159
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	been changed by the legislature in	2	the express sanction in the Uncitral
3	New York. And I think also, and this is	3	rules themselves. So we will address
4	a point that's been briefed to some	4	that.
5	extent here, it's been briefed	5	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Again, now is
6	extensively before Judge Lynch, and	6	not the point to engage in this
7	we'll, of course, brief it here.	7	arbitration discussion about the
8	The other point is that as a	8	applicable law. I think what, if I may
9	matter of sort of the customary law of	9	suggest, you need to address is, what if
10	international arbitration, I guess the	10	we were to conclude that in fact no
11	best source is Julian Lew's treatise	11	agreement was ever reached because the
12	which is consulted as an authority by	12	applicable people at Storm and Kyivstar
13	everyone. And I'll note that he is the	13	didn't have the authority to reach that
14	senior partner in the arbitration group	14	agreement. Where does that leave us in
15	of the firm that had long experience in	15	the choice of law issue. That's what I
16	representing Alfa Group, the Herbert	16	think is the important issue that we at
17	Smith firm.	17	least need to get over.
18	He makes an express point, and	18	You look puzzled, and I
19	we'll supply the quotation, that party	19	understand why you're puzzled, because
20	autonomy is a key, if not the key	20	both sides have come at this from a
21	principle in international arbitration.	21	totally different world view of what's
22	And that it is universally followed by	22	the issue here. All I'm trying to say
23	international arbitration tribunals, and	23	is, speaking only for myself, I continue
24	that the parties have full autonomy to	24	to be at least interested in trying to
25	designate the governing law. As well as	25	find out how we, as a panel, assuming
	Page 160		Page 161
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	we're going to come down on Telenor's	2	choice of law, methodology of the place
3	side, and we may very well come down on	3	where the arbitration is being
4	Storm's side, how do we get over this	4	conducted.
5	question that maybe there was never a	5	So that, I mean, I think it's an
6	valid agreement in the first place.	6	obviously important issue. But I think
7	And don't we have to, in order to	7	the answer, and we'll brief this, of
8	even reach that issue, say to ourselves,	8	course, is very clear under both the law
9	that issue is decided by New York law or	9	of New York, which would obviously
10	by Ukrainian law. And I think it begs	10	govern, you know, any review of a
11	the question a little bit if you simply	11	decision, and, you know, it will be
12	say, well, the parties have the right to	12	Judge Lynch who will decide that. He's
13	choose New York law. But what if they	13	already expressed at least a preliminary
14	never chose it? That's the issue that I	14	view on that. As well as sort of
15	think, for me, we need to get over.	15	customary, to the extent there's sort of
16	MR. SILLS: I understand your	16	a customary international law of
17	question. Although I think the approach	17	international arbitration, and that's
18	we both you've obviously got to start	18	why I refer to Mr. Lew's treatise, would
19	unraveling the knot somewhere. You	19	point in exactly the same direction.
20	know, both the law of the seat and the	20	So that the validity of the
21	law chosen by the parties point in the	21	agreement designating New York law being
22	same direction. And as you know, in	22	heard in New York would itself be tested
23	international arbitration the law of the	23	under New York law and not under
24	seat is a critical factor as well, that	24	Ukrainian law. And we'll address
25	is, the procedural law, the sort of	25	that I take it is the point you're

	Page 162		Page 163
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	asking us to address?	2	lost twice by Storm. And that, it seems
3	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I'll only add	3	to me, is the key to unraveling the
4	one other thing so that you understand.	4	knot.
5	You assume that it's the law of the	5	Once there's been a decision that
6	place where the arbitration is sitting.	6	there's a valid agreement to arbitrate,
7	But what if there is no agreement that	7	and there's been that decision, then
8	New York is the place for the	8	everything else follows from that. The
9	arbitration to sit because, at least if	9	valid agreement to arbitrate is in
10	I take the view of the Ukrainian courts,	10	New York. The arbitration agreement
11	there never was an agreement on	11	expressly provides for arbitration in
12	arbitration taking place in New York?	12	New York. And so once we've crossed
13	MR. SILLS: Well, but that raises	13	that bridge, there is a valid agreement
14	two questions, I think, Mr. Jentes. The	14	to arbitrate in New York.
15	first is that, as a matter of New York	15	I have to say, I mean, I don't
16	law, the Uncitral rules and U.S. federal	16	want to rehearse all the evidence, that
17	law, the law passed on by Judge Lynch,	17	these Ukrainian orders are meaningless
18	and the customary law of international	18	and collusive, and there have now been
19	arbitration, the arbitration clause is	19	two litigated findings in a real court
20	itself separable. And we've already	20	in New York to precisely that effect.
21	crossed that bridge, twice now after	21	And there is no meaningful evidence that
22	this morning's ruling.	22	the Cypress Shell Company that he's
23	So that we're over the hump of	23	suing his own subsidiary has any
24	whether or not there's an agreement to	24	interest in the case. And, in fact,
25	arbitrate. That's been argued twice and	25	there was evidence at the last hearing
	Page 164		Page 165
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	before Judge Lynch that the Cypress	1	FREDRIK LYKKE,
3	Company isn't even notionally	3	called as a witness, having been first
4	participating, that months ago it gave a	4	duly sworn by the Notary Public (Amy E.
5	general power of attorney to someone.	5	Sikora), was examined and testified as
6	We will brief all that. We	6	follows:
7	understand it's an important issue.	7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	Although, I really have to say I think	8	BY MR. SILLS:
9	there's a very clear answer in the	9	Q. Mr. Lykke, could you state your
10	governing law, and that there's no	10	name for the record?
11	occasion for applying Ukrainian law,	11	A. Fredrik Lykke.
12	whatever it may be. And that there is,	12	Q. How are you employed?
13	in any event, very little evidence on	13	A. As of Monday this week or last
14	the record, other than these collusive	14	, I I
15	decisions as to what Ukrainian law is.	15	Piper. Prior to that, ending on Friday, 8th
16	THE CHAIRMAN: All right.	16	of December, I was employed as in-house
17	Mr. Sills, thank you. We're ready for	17	counsel with Telenor ASA.
18	your next witness.	18	Q. When did you begin your
19	MR. SILLS: Thank you.	19	employment with Telenor?
20	Mr. Chairman, we call Frederick	20	A. 1st February 1999.
21	Lykke.	21	Q. And could you briefly describe
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lykke, would	22	for us your duties and responsibilities as
23	you raise your right hand, please.	23	in-house counsel for Telenor?
24		24	A. Yes. I work mainly on M & A
25		25	contracts, joint ventures for various

Page 166 Page 167 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct 2 entities within the Telenor group. In 2 Q. Were you the in-house attorney at particular, for the mobile division. Telenor responsible for the drafting and Do you recall previously execution of the 2002 shareholder's 4 submitting an affidavit in this proceeding? 5 agreement? 5 6 A. Yes, I do. 6 A. Yes. 7 7 Q. If you were to testify today on And were you involved in the Q. the subject matter of that affidavit, would drafting and negotiation of the changes to 9 you testify to the same effect? the 2002 shareholder's agreement that resulted in the 2004 shareholder's agreement? Yes, I would. 10 A. 11 Q. And have you read and reviewed 11 Α. Yes. I was. 12 the affidavit of Mr. Egil Hansen in this Could you briefly describe for us 12 matter? what your role was vis-a-vis the business 13 13 personnel at Telenor and outside counsel for 14 Α. Yes, I have. 15 Is there anything in that 15 Telenor in those negotiations? Q. affidavit with which you disagree? Yes. Most of the negotiations 16 17 No. However, I'm, of course, not 17 were commercial negotiations, so there was commercial negotiations with primarily when aware of all the facts in that affidavit, but 18 Egil Hansen left. And he asked me to assist to my knowledge there's nothing I disagree 19 20 with. on the legal side as in-house counsel. We also then retained Coudert Brothers. These 21 Q. Were you the attorney, the 22 in-house attorney at Telenor, responsible for were outside New York counsel or U.S. 22 23 the negotiation and execution of the 2002 23 counsel. 24 voting rights agreement? 24 And my role was to -- to advise 25 A. Yes. 25 internally Egil Hansen on various matters and Page 168 Page 169 Lykke - Direct 1 1 Lykke - Direct Well, it was to set the process liaise between the business persons, Alfa 2 A. counsel, for the actual drafting of the going forward which would lead to Telenor becoming the majority of Kyivstar with Storm agreements. as a minority shareholder with 43.5 percent 5 MR. SILLS: Bear with me one of Telenor, and the steps leading up to that. 6 second, Mr. Chairman. 6 7 Mr. Chairman, we've handed a 7 We should have included 8 premarked set of exhibits to Mr. Lykke anticipated future happenings. And that and also for the panel as well. And I included a new shareholder's agreement 9 would ask that we use these exhibit 10 10 between the two parties, when other 11 11 shareholders have disposed of their shares. numbers. 12 Could you turn to Exhibit 5 in 12 That was basically it. 13 the premarked set of documents, Mr. Lykke. 13 With whom were you negotiating --14 14 let me rephrase that. A. Who was Telenor's counterparty in 15 Q. Have you seen this document 15 before? these negotiations? Was it Storm or was it 16 17 This is an e-mail from Peter 17 Alfa? A. O'Driscoll dated 28th of April. 18 Well, mostly was representatives 18 19 0. And can you describe the document 19 of Alfa, the Alfa Group, who were negotiating annexed to it? in a way on behalf of Storm, as Alfa was in 20 21 That is the draft letter 21 the process of acquiring Storm or at least 22 agreement to the term sheets which was parts of it. 22 23 finally dated on the 29th of April. 23 Ο. Could you turn to page 8 of this And what was your understanding 24 exhibit, please. And, in particular, to the 25 of the business purpose of this term sheet? section headed "shareholder's agreement."

Page 170 Page 171 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct 1 2 2 Yeah. A. Yes. A. 3 3 Can you describe for the panel Okay. Were there discussions of 0. Q. your understanding of the business purpose of which you're aware between Alfa, representing 4 these terms? Storm on the one hand, and Telenor on the other, concerning a noncompete provision 6 A. The business purpose was that Telenor should have a controlling share 7 7 being put into the shareholder's agreement? holding, having the majority of the board. 8 Yes. Normally, Telenor, as the However, giving Storm some protection in the 9 industrial player in communication ventures, form of veto rights in the corporate require a noncompete from its own partners 10 governance. because of market secrets, technology, know 11 11 12 And was that expressed in the how, et cetera. form of requiring a super majority of the 13 13 In order to in a way protect that board for particular corporate decisions? 14 information and the knowledge and know how, 15 Yes. 15 we ordinarily enter into a noncompete provision with the local partner in order for 16 Q. To your understanding, does the 17 exhibit we're looking at reflect the business 17 them not to exploit that information in other understanding between the parties as to the ventures competing with other partners in a 18 18 19 composition of the board? 19 particular jurisdiction. 20 20 In this matter there was a A. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. Look at page 10, if you 21 noncompete clause in the old shareholder's 22 would. 22 agreement which I mentioned earlier. However, when Alfa came in and was the 23 A. Yes. You see the section headed 24 driving force on behalf of Storm, we decided, 24 Q. 25 "Noncompete"? together with them, that we should use as a Page 172 Page 173 Lykke - Direct 1 1 Lykke - Direct template or a starting point a similar -- a the noncompete a bit safer. As directors in similar noncompete provision which Telenor 3 Kyivstar always, they would receive a lot of and Alfa had negotiated this in Russia for confidential information about, well, call it the company VimpelCom. Telenor specific plans of technology, which 5 As we started out with that means of value for that company and that 6 wording, and then we have certain tailoring 7 shouldn't easily be transferred to knowledge 7 of the clause to make it work in Ukraine with of people working for the competitor in Ukraine, namely, the subsidiary of Golden respect to factual circumstances, and it came 9 up during the discussions that Alfa have an 10 Telecom. ownership in a company called Golden Telecom, 11 Q. In the course of your 11 12 which has a subsidiary in Ukraine. 12 negotiations and discussions concerning the So we have -- Telenor agreed to 13 noncompete, did anyone from Alfa ever suggest in words or substance that it would apply 14 have a carveout for that holding which otherwise would be breach of the general only to Storm and that Alfa would be free to noncompete starting point. And then we did compete through other corporations in 17 some additional tailoring of the clause 17 **Ukraine?** making sure that -- that directors which Alfa 18 18 A. No, no. That was never 19 nominated cannot be heavily involved or 19 suggested. It was only related to Storm. involved in the Golden Telecom company in any Well, you say it wasn't suggested 20 20 21 way. 21 that it would only relate to Storm. What was 22 your understanding in these negotiations as Q. And what was the reason for requiring that there be no cross to who was bound by the noncompete on the

Alfa side?

Well, basically the technique in

A.

2425

24

25

directorships?

Well, that was basically to make

Page 175 Page 174 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct 1 some kind of time limit on the noncompete, 2 the agreements, it covers all affiliates of 3 each of the parties. And that's kind of a other than the ones set forth in the term 4 dynamic definition. So we would also cover 4 sheet? 5 5 future affiliates, also the signing of the A. Not that I recall, no. 6 agreements. And it would, on the other hand, 6 Q. And did this provision that we're looking at in Exhibit 5 carry forward into 7 not be -- we wouldn't stop covering 8 affiliates at the time of signing the the shareholder's agreement? agreement, if those affiliates were sold to 9 A. Yes. 10 0. 10 that related party. And from the time of the term 11 And the purpose of that was, of sheet until the time the shareholder's 12 course, to ensure that a group of companies agreement was signed in 2004, did anyone from couldn't circumvent the clause by having Alfa ever object to any of the terms or provisions of the noncompete? activities in sister companies or other 15 companies within the same group, where 15 A. Not to my knowledge, no. Look, if you would, at page 13 of 16 information usually flowed rather freely. 16 0. 17 In the course of your 17 the term sheet. 18 negotiations, did anyone from the Alfa side 18 Yes. A. 19 ever suggest that for the noncompete to cover Ο. Do you have that before you? 20 the entire territory of Ukraine was unfair or 20 A. 21 unreasonable? 21 0. Look at the section headed "Governing Law," if you would. 22 22 A. No, I never heard that. 23 Yes. 23 Q. Did any person representing Alfa, Α. 24 in the course of these discussions and 24 O. Does this reflect an agreement negotiations, ever suggest there should be 25 that the shareholder's agreement will be Page 177 Page 176 1 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct governed by the laws of the State of New 2 I'm sorry, please continue. Q. 3 3 Originally, in the earlier York? 4 4 agreement with Ukraine after Kyivstar, it was A. Yes. 5 Swedish law. Ο. Were there discussions and 5 negotiations between Alfa on the one hand, 6 0. And -and Telenor on the other as to what law would 7 But --A. govern the agreements? 8 8 Do you mean in the 1998 No. I don't think there were any 9 shareholder's agreement there was Swedish particular discussions about that. I think 10 law? 11 we quite frequently landed on U.S. law or 11 A. Yeah. I think it was Swedish 12 New York law. 12 law. 13 When you say we landed, do you 13 And in negotiating the new 14 mean that Alfa and Telenor, Storm and 14 contract, the term sheet reflects New York Telenor, agreed readily on the application of law as the governing law? 15 16 U.S law? 16 A. Yes. 17 I mean, in these kinds of joint 17 Was there ever any objection, A. 0. 18 ventures, it's usually either English or from the time the term sheet was first 19 New York law which is relevant. I don't proposed until the shareholder's agreement 20 recall whether we discussed whether it should 20 was executed in 2004, on the part of anyone 21 be English law. I don't think we did. I 21 from Storm or Alfa to the application of 22 think it was New York law from the start. 22 New York law? 23 And that we had both of us had U.S. lawyers 23 A. No. 24 and that was kind of the natural choice when 24 Did anyone from Storm or Alfa 25 we decided not to continue with Swedish law. 25 ever suggest at any point in the negotiations

Page 179 Page 178 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct 1 2 that any law other than the law of New York 2 shareholder relationship would be should apply to the contract? 3 governed by New York law. Is that what No. Not my knowledge. 4 you just said? 4 A. Did anyone from Storm or Alfa 5 5 THE WITNESS: No. I said that --Q. ever suggest, in the course of those 6 the question was whether Ukrainian law negotiations, that the law of any 7 would have any sort of impact in court jurisdiction other than New York would apply 8 for the joint venture, basically. That or would have to apply to any aspect of the 9 was how I understood it. relationship between the parties? 10 And what I said was that -- was that the purpose of this agreement was 11 Α. No. 11 12 12 to have it under New York law, have it Did anyone from Alfa or Telenor 13 ever state that Ukrainian law would govern 13 outside Ukrainian law, and Kyivstar was one or more aspects of the relationship also a party. However, I don't think 14 between the parties? Alfa in any way made any particular 15 15 point out of it. But when doing No. Not more than the part you 16 16 17 would have to comply with some Ukrainian 17 business in Ukraine, you have to follow applications within Kyivstar. But not Ukrainian rules, and some of those could 18 18 governing the shareholder relationship. That 19 19 have an impact also on the company 20 was the point about it. 20 sometimes. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Could I ask a 21 21 Ο. Mr. Lykke, when you speak about question about that? that, do you mean sort of the technical 2.2 22 MR. SILLS: Of course. 23 corporate rules of Ukrainian law having to do 23 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: You just said 24 with the actual structure of Kyivstar? 24 25 that it was understood that the 25 Α. Yeah. Page 180 Page 181 1 Lykke - Direct Lykke - Direct the priority. That was spelled out very 2 Was there ever any suggestion Q. 3 that the parties in -- the parties, that is, explicitly in the agreement. There were 4 the Storm and Alfa parties on the one hand, 4 anticipated that would have to be done some and Telenor on the other, that their changes to the articles. And it was relationship between themselves would be explicitly stated in the shareholder's 6 agreement that the parties would -- would governed by any law other than New York? 7 A. No. That was completely make all relevant changes pursuant then New York. And that we went through -- that through the shareholder's agreement of the 9 10 we were very detailed on in the shareholder's 10 articles. agreement, that the parties were obliged to 11 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Was there any 12 make the agreement under New York law work 12 discussion of what would be covered by Ukrainian law, if anything? 13 also in Ukraine. 13 14 THE WITNESS: I don't think there 14 Ο. Was there an understanding between the parties as to what would happen 15 were any particular discussions about it. It was more about the -- the 16 if, because of a change or requirement in 16 Ukrainian law, the charter -- let me rephrase 17 corporate backup, for instance, that the 17 general meeting would have to formally 18 that question. 18 19 Was there any agreement between 19 decide, for instance, on an IPO, for 20 the parties as to which would have primacy, instance. And whether issue of shares. 20 21 the governance arrangements in the 21 Those kind of things. 22 shareholder's agreement or the requirements 22 Whereas, the difference between 23 of Ukrainian law, with regard to the how you do things typically in the U.S. 23 24 governance of Kyivstar? 24 company or Ukrainian company or The shareholder's agreement was 25 Norwegian company, you have to just 25

	Page 182		Page 183
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	adjust for that in your overriding	2	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: We don't have
3	shareholder's agreement.	3	page 23. And we don't have the first
4	MR. SILLS: Could we turn to one	4	page
5	other exhibit on that point for a	5	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, we've got
6	moment. Could you look at tab 16, which	6	it.
7	is a copy of the shareholder's agreement	7	MR. SILLS: Okay.
8	itself.	8	Q. Now that we're all looking at the
9	A. Yes.	9	same document.
10	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Are you	10	MR. SILLS: My apologies for the
11	looking at 2002 or 2004?	11	copying error, Mr. Chairman.
12	MR. SILLS: I'm looking at the	12	Q. You have page 23 before you,
13	executed document, the 2004 agreement.	13	Mr. Lykke?
14	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That would be	14	A. Yes.
15	G attached to his affidavit?	15	Q. Can you describe for us the
16	MR. SILLS: I believe that's	16	business purpose of the language we're
17	right.	17	looking at in section 6.03A?
18	MR. O'DRISCOLL: Not G, no.	18	A. Yes. This is an example of what
19	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: It's I.	19	the parties are explicitly agreeing that the
20	MR. O'DRISCOLL: It would be I.	20	shareholder's agreement and the will of the
21	THE WITNESS: I.	21	\mathcal{E}
22	Q. Look, if you would, at section		between the parties under the New York law
23	6.03A on page 23 of the agreement.	23	1 3
24	A. 6 point?		the charter, for instance, and the parties
25	MR. O'DRISCOLL: 03.	25	undertake to amend the charter in order to
	Page 184		Page 185
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	give the text of the shareholder's agreement.	2	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: In the
3	Q. And was that always part of the	3	exhibits.
4	understanding between the parties?	4	MS. THOMPSON: It would be
5	A. Yes. Absolutely.	5	Exhibit D.
6	Q. Was this identical provision that	6	THE CHAIRMAN: D?
7	we're looking at in the 2002 version of the	7	MS. THOMPSON: D, in the Lykke.
8	agreement that was annexed to the voting	8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: D, as in
9	rights agreement?	9	David.
10	A. I think they were basically	10	MR. SILLS: No. Mr. Craig, it
11	identical. In the voting agreement?	11	was the 2002 shareholder's agreement
12	Q. No. In the 2000 in the	12	which appears as part of the voting
13	shareholder's agreement which is annexed to	13	agreement because it had that three-day
14	the 2000 to the 2002 voting agreement.	14	trigger.
15	A. Yes. Yeah, that's identical.	15	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I got it,
16	However, it was 604. But this seems to be	16	okay.
17	the same clause. Basically, those agreements	17	MR. SILLS: So it's the second
18	were more or less the same.	18	half of Exhibit C to Mr. Lykke's
19	Q. Okay. And if you would turn,	19	previous affidavit.
20	please, to Exhibit 10, which is the voting	20	Q. And so looking at the voting
21	agreement.	21	agreement, Mr. Lykke, which was executed in
22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Wait a minute.	22	2002.
23	Is the 2002 shareholder's agreement	23	A. Same concept and the identical
24	where is that in the attachment here?	24	wording. But this time in 503 of the voting
25	MR. SILLS: In the exhibits?	25	agreements.

Page 187 Page 186 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct 1 2 2 Okay. And the voting -- does the О. And with respect to dispute voting agreement have a governing law clause? resolution, is there any difference in the 3 4 arbitration provisions among the voting A. 5 5 agreement, the 2002 shareholder's agreement, Q. And what law is selected by the 6 parties? 6 and the 2004 shareholder's agreement? 7 7 That's the same, New York law. No. I haven't double-checked A. 8 Q. In fact, substantively, the 8 whether they exactly the same, but they substantive business terms of the voting 9 should be exactly the same, and I think they agreement are the same as the substantive are. They seem to be the same. Yes. 10 business terms of the shareholder's 11 Basically, the whole agreement package was agreed to in 2002. And the new 12 agreement, aren't they? 12 13 That's right. And that was the shareholder's agreement from 2004 was really purpose as well. a technical thing, due to some changes on 14 15 And was there a noncompete 15 number of shareholders and the fact that we provision in the voting agreement? were then able to terminate old shareholder's 16 17 A. Yes. Yes, there was. 17 agreement. Does it differ in any way from 18 Ο. 18 We had a voting agreement in 19 the noncompete provision in either the 2002 19 order to have that alongside the existing 20 shareholder's agreement or the 2004 20 shareholder's agreement, which couldn't be shareholder's agreement? terminated without all parties consenting to 21 it. But the whole agreement all along was 2.2 Α. No. It's identical. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: That's 5.02? that we should act under the new regime, so 23 24 MR. O'DRISCOLL: That's correct. 24 to speak, from 2002. 25 MR. SILLS: Yes. 25 Then on the voting agreement with Page 189 Page 188 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct an attachment -- with the new shareholder's recall receiving this document? 3 agreement, which was basically the same as 3 A. 4 the voting agreement, with an annex in which 4 O. Okay. Could you just read for us the second sentence that Mr. Wack -- well, 5 we agreed to enter into the new shareholder let me lav a foundation for this. 6 agreement when certain events have taken 6 7 place, which then did take place in For what purpose was this e-mail 7 8 January '04. 8 sent to you? 9 9 О. Mr. Lykke, in the course of your A. Basically, there was just in discussions and negotiations in 2002 leading order to have the signing formalities in 10 10 up to the execution of the voting agreement, place. For Storm there a question as to --11 did you deal with an individual named David as to whether two persons needed to sign on 12 behalf of Storm or not. Basically, David 13 Wack, W-A-C-K? Wack came back to us and confirmed to us some 14 Yes, partly. Most of it went through external counsel, but I was also factual matters with the consequence that one 15 15 involved with him. only needed one signatory for Storm. 16 16 17 Who is Mr. Wack? 17 And is that -- and would you read 0. He was the U.S. counsel for the for us, please, the second sentence of the 18 18 19 Alfa Group based in Moscow. 19 first paragraph of Mr. Wack's e-mail? Yes. "I will confirm that there In Oslo or in Kiev? 20 20 21 Moscow. is no acting chief accountant for Storm and A. 22 that no second signature is required under Moscow. Q. Ukrainian law, although presumably since the 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Moscow. 23 contract is governed by Swedish law and 24 Turn, if you would, to Exhibit 8 24 conflicts rules have been excluded, Ukrainian 25 in the premarked set of documents. Do you 25

	Page 190		Page 191
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	law is not relevant for this purpose."	2	Q. Did anyone ever suggest that
3	Q. In all of your dealings with	3	these agreements had to be registered with
4	Mr. Wack or anyone else representing Alfa or	4	some state office in Ukraine?
5	Storm, did anyone ever suggest that Ukrainian	5	A. No.
6	law had any relevance at all to the question	6	Q. Did anyone ever suggest that
7	of authority to execute the various	7	there was any other formality under Ukrainian
8	agreements?	8	law that had to be complied with in order for
9	A. No.	9	these agreements to be valid?
10	Q. In all of those discussions, did	10	A. No.
11	all of the representatives of Alfa and Storm	11	Q. Look at tab 11 in the premarked
12	take the same position that Mr. Wack did in	12	documents, please.
13	this e-mail we're looking at, that Ukrainian	13	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: We don't have
14	law was irrelevant to that question?	14	the same book.
15	A. That was never raised as an	15	MR. SILLS: I'm sorry, I thought
	issue.	16	that was already distributed. I
17	Q. Did anyone ever claim that there	17	apologize.
18	was some requirement, formal requirement of	18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: What was the
19	Ukrainian law that needed to be complied with	19	number of the Wack e-mail?
20	in order for these agreements to be valid and	20	MR. SILLS: That was, I believe,
21	binding?	21	Exhibit 8, Mr. Craig.
22	A. No, not really.	22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And this one's
23	Q. Did anyone ever suggest that the	23	11?
24	agreements had to be written in Ukrainian?	24	MR. SILLS: That's correct.
25	A. No.	25	Q. And look, if you would, at the
	Page 192		Page 193
1	Lykke - Direct	1	T 11 D'
		1	Lykke - Direct
2	second e-mail in the chain. The one from	2	year with small technical changes reflecting
2		2 3	•
	second e-mail in the chain. The one from	2	year with small technical changes reflecting
3 4	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to	2	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third
3 4	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that?	2 3 4 5	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement."
3 4 5	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that?	2 3 4 5	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to
3 4 5 6	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04. Q. Could you just read for us the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes but that we should be we should be looking
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04. Q. Could you just read for us the first sentence the first two sentences of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes but that we should be we should be looking at some kind of amendment on the termination.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04. Q. Could you just read for us the first sentence the first two sentences of the second paragraph?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes but that we should be we should be looking at some kind of amendment on the termination. Q. Did Telenor ever suggest any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04. Q. Could you just read for us the first sentence the first two sentences of the second paragraph? A. Okay. "We reviewed the New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes but that we should be we should be looking at some kind of amendment on the termination. Q. Did Telenor ever suggest any changes to the agreement, the 2002 agreement?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04. Q. Could you just read for us the first sentence the first two sentences of the second paragraph? A. Okay. "We reviewed the New Shareholders Agreement, and there is no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes but that we should be we should be looking at some kind of amendment on the termination. Q. Did Telenor ever suggest any changes to the agreement, the 2002 agreement? A. Not anything more than the actual
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04. Q. Could you just read for us the first sentence the first two sentences of the second paragraph? A. Okay. "We reviewed the New Shareholders Agreement, and there is no comment beyond what we've already discussed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes but that we should be we should be looking at some kind of amendment on the termination. Q. Did Telenor ever suggest any changes to the agreement, the 2002 agreement? A. Not anything more than the actual technical issues, to my knowledge. Other
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04. Q. Could you just read for us the first sentence the first two sentences of the second paragraph? A. Okay. "We reviewed the New Shareholders Agreement, and there is no comment beyond what we've already discussed. Our firm position is that we do not want any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes but that we should be we should be looking at some kind of amendment on the termination. Q. Did Telenor ever suggest any changes to the agreement, the 2002 agreement? A. Not anything more than the actual technical issues, to my knowledge. Other than cleaning up factual matters, which have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	second e-mail in the chain. The one from Mr. Khyudyakov to it's actually to Mr. Ekhougen with a copy to you. Do you recall receiving that? A. Yes. Q. Look at the second paragraph. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Page 2 of 3? MR. SILLS: That's correct. Q. First, who is Mr. Khudyakov? A. He was the negotiator for Storm who Storm/Alfa who was handling the practicalities on the on the amendments that were done to the shareholders. The new shareholder's agreement, so to speak, which was done on the 30th of January '04. Q. Could you just read for us the first sentence the first two sentences of the second paragraph? A. Okay. "We reviewed the New Shareholders Agreement, and there is no comment beyond what we've already discussed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	year with small technical changes reflecting the Ericcson debt repayment and the third party to the Agreement." Q. And is the agreement referred to there the 2002 version of the agreement annexed to the voting agreement? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a time when Alfa requested, despite Mr. Khudyakov's statement, changes to the shareholder's agreement? A. They suggested a change to the termination provision, which Telenor found was was too much too much of an amendment. So we we wrote back to them and said that we did not want those changes but that we should be we should be looking at some kind of amendment on the termination. Q. Did Telenor ever suggest any changes to the agreement, the 2002 agreement? A. Not anything more than the actual technical issues, to my knowledge. Other

Page 195 Page 194 Lykke - Direct Lykke - Direct 2 here, the Ericsson matter. 2002 agreement to the 2004 agreement, other 3 than technical changes, were at the request 3 Did there come a time when an 4 agreement was reached on the change to the 4 of Storm; is that correct? termination provisions requested by Storm? 5 A. Yes. I should be clear about 6 A. Yes. that. The actual change -- the end result of 7 7 the change was based upon a compromise Ο. From the time Mr. Khudyakov said 8 suggestion from Telenor. That was -- that that Storm did not want any changes to the agreement, until the time an agreement was was a smaller change than that one that was 10 struck on the change to the termination 10 suggested by Alfa in the first place or 11 provisions, did anyone from Storm or Alfa 11 Storm. 12 suggest that there needed to be a new board 12 So would it be fair to say that Storm requested a change, Telenor agreed to 13 meeting or a new meeting of participants or 13 any other formality in order to execute the part of that change, and then it was executed 14 15 new agreement? 15 in that form? 16 A. No. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Look, if you would, at tab 15 in 17 Ο. Can you tell us why Telenor was vour witness book. willing to consider the change to the 2002 18 18 agreement proposed by Storm? 19 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Could you read 19 20 back the previous question and answer, 20 Well, I think that we were 21 interested in having the agreement signed up, 21 for me. putting the opening feuds behind us. From a 2.2 (Record read.) 23 Q. And just to go back for a moment business viewpoint, the change that was to that question, Mr. Lykke. The only agreed to between the two of us was, in our changes to the agreement, that is, from the view, not of any real importance. And we Page 196 Page 197 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct 1 resisted making any changes to the agreement, 2 felt that we have all the control we needed 3 anyway about having Storm trying to terminate 3 hadn't it? 4 the agreement because we have put in now a 4 A. Yes. The starting point was 5 reasonable materiality threshold, and we 5 probably that. 6 didn't consider it any risk for us, the 6 Q. Do you know whether or not any pressure was put on senior executives at 7 change. 8 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: When you say Telenor by senior executives at Alfa to "we," who are you talking about? engage in negotiations over the change 9 requested by Alfa? THE WITNESS: Sorry, Telenor. 10 10 11 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: What 11 A. No, I don't. Look, if you would, at tab 15. 12 individuals inside Telenor did you 12 consult on this question? 13 MR. SILLS: Which we have 13 THE WITNESS: Basically it was --14 distributed to the panel, Mr. Chairman. 14 15 MR. SILLS: Could you just speak 15 This is an e-mail from up a little, Mr. Lykke. Mr. Khudyakov to Mr. Divkovskiy with a copy 16 to Mr. Ekhougen. Have you seen this document 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sorry. 17 Sigmund Ekhougen and Kare Gustav were before? 18 18 19 mainly holding this matter from the 19 A. Yes. Telenor side. 20 20 О. And would you read for us the first two sentences. 21 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Ekhougen? 21 22 THE WITNESS: Ekhougen, yes. 22 "Oleksiy, Storm reviewed the MR. SILLS: That's the same 23 language of the New shareholder's agreement 23 Mr. Ekhougen who has already testified. that was introduced yesterday and agreed to 24 24 25 it. We are ready to sign tomorrow." 25 Q. Now, Telenor had originally

	Page 198		Page 199
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	Q. The Oleksiy is Oleksiy	2	A. Yes.
3	Divkovskiy, outside counsel for Telenor?	3	Q. Did anyone ever question his
4	A. That's correct.	4	authority to sign any of those documents?
5	Q. And the Alexey, spelled	5	A. No.
6	differently, who signed this agreement,	6	Q. Do you know what his title was at
7	that's Mr. Khudyakov?	7	Storm?
8	A. Who signed the e-mail?	8	A. He was general general
9	Q. Yes.	9	Q. Was it general director?
10	A. Yes. That's correct.	10	A. General director or general
11	Q. And when he said, "We are ready	11	manager.
12	to sign it tomorrow," did he say that there	12	Q. In addition to the document
13	was any need for any further formalities?	13	itself, the shareholder's agreement in 2004,
14	A. No.	14	were any documents attesting to Mr. Nilov's
15	Q. And was, in fact, the document	15	authority supplied to Telenor?
16	executed by Storm, as Mr. Khudyakov said it	16	A. Yes. We received two
17	would be?	17	certificates of incumbency dated the date on
18	A. Yes. That's right.	18	the agreement.
19	Q. Who executed that document?	19	Q. Look, if you would, at tabs 18
20	A. Mr. Nilov.	20	and 19. I'm sorry, 17 and 18.
21	Q. Had Mr. Nilov signed other	21	A. Yeah.
22	documents on behalf of Storm in the course of		Q. Are these the certificates that
23	your dealings?	23	you just referred to?
24	A. Yes. He signed most of them.	24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Did he sign the voting agreement?	25	Q. Do these reflect that Mr. Nilov,
23		23	
	Page 200		Page 201
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	quote, is duly authorized to sign the	2	had been any problem or deficiency in the
3	shareholder's agreement?	3	execution of the shareholder's agreement?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. No.
5	Q. Did anyone from the Alfa side	5	Q. Were changes to the Kyivstar
6	ever suggest to you that there was any	6	charter required by the shareholder's
7	limitation or qualification on the	7	agreement made with Alfa's consent in 2004?
8	representation made in these two documents?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. No.	9	Q. And in the year 2005, did anyone
10	Q. Look at the fax line at the top	10	from Alfa or Storm ever claim or contend that
11	of Exhibit 17, please.	11	there was any problem in the execution of the
12	A. Yes.	12	shareholder's agreement?
13	Q. What does that reflect?	13	A. Not to my knowledge, no.
14	A. That it stems from Alfa Capital	14	Q. Did anyone ever suggest, in the
15	in Moscow.	15	year 2005, that Mr. Nilov had not been fully
16	Q. And does Mr. Kosogov work for	16	and duly authorized to execute the
17	Alfa Capital?	17	shareholder's agreement?
18	A. I believe so. At least one of	18	A. No. Not to my knowledge.
19	the Alfa Group companies. And also I can	19	Q. Until Alfa put out a press
20	mention that both Mr. Kosogov and Mr. Tumanov	20	release in 2006 claiming that Mr. Nilov had
21	were well known to Telenor through our	21	not been duly authorized to execute the
22	partnership with them for a couple of years,	22	shareholder's agreement, did anyone from Alfa
23	also Kosogov with respect to other holdings.	23	or Storm or acting on their behalf ever
24	Q. At any time in the year 2004, did	24	suggest, publicly or privately, that there
25	anyone from Alfa or Storm ever suggest there	25	was any problem with Mr. Nilov's execution of

	Page 202		Page 203
	_		
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	the shareholder's agreement?	2	and the sort of term sheet.
3	A. No. Not to my knowledge.	3	Do you have those?
4	Q. If Alfa and Storm had not been	4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	willing to execute the shareholder's	5	ARBITRATOR JENTES: The letter,
6	agreement, would Telenor have allowed Alfa to	6 7	or the two forms of the letter that are
7	buy into Kyivstar? A. No. I'm rather certain about	8	attached, indicate that this is an
8 9		9	agreement among Alfa Bank and Storm and
10	that. That was not kind of my decision, but	10	Telenor. And I take it that you were
11	it was very clear to me that these that	11	the principal negotiator involved in this set of documents; is that correct?
12	the shareholder agreement was a very critical condition for letting Storm or Alfa increase	12	THE WITNESS: No. It was Egil
13	their ownership in Kyivstar.	13	Hansen, who left the negotiations.
14	MR. SILLS: Thank you,	14	ARBITRATOR JENTES: That was on
15	Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Lykke.	15	behalf of Telenor?
16	That's all I have.	16	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lykke, there	17	Yes.
18	may be some questions from the panel.	18	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And what was
19	Mr. Jentes.	19	your role in the negotiations?
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.	20	THE WITNESS: Well, I
21	ARBITRATOR JENTES: I'd like to	21	participated in some meetings with Alfa
22	go back to the first set of documents	22	personnel. I liaised a bit between
23	that you were shown that began with	23	Peter O'Driscoll and Egil Hansen. Was
24	Mr. O'Driscoll's e-mail, and then	24	part of a sparring partner with Egil
25	there's the attachments of the letter	25	Hansen on some of these meetings.
	Page 204		Page 205
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	However, there was a number of meetings	2	involved in that. Kind of in between,
3	that I was not involved in during the	3	in a way. Alfa counsel and Egil Hansen.
4	negotiations.	4	ARBITRATOR JENTES: All right.
5	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Who did you	5	If you turn over to page 13, under the
6	deal with from the Alfa Group?	6	section on governing law, there's a
7	THE WITNESS: Mainly, Kirill	7	sentence that I'd appreciate your
8	Stayn. I also met Mr. Tolchinsky once	8	explaining a little bit. It says, "To
9	and Alex Shtyrba.	9	aid shareholders' understanding of the
10	ARBITRATOR JENTES: What were	10	company's corporate governance and
11	their positions in the overall Alfa	11	thereby enhance the company's ability to
12	Group?	12	undertake an IPO in the United States,
13	THE WITNESS: Well, I think that	13	the shareholder's agreement and the
14	Tolchinsky was in a way head of their	14	registration rights agreement will be
15	M & A activities. Kirill Stayn was a	15	governed by the laws of the State of New
16	kind of an in-house counsel. And Alex	16	York, United States of America."
17	Shtyrba was was kind of a business	17	This sounds as though it was
18	negotiator on their team, I believe.	18	important to select the law of the State
19	ARBITRATOR JENTES: All right.	19	of New York for reasons of both sides to
20	When it got around to drafting the	20	be able to market the ultimate company
21	letter that ultimately became the	21	in a potential IPO. Was that am I
22	April 29, 2002 document, were you	22	correct about that?
23	actively involved in the drafting and	23	THE WITNESS: Yeah. An important
24	finalization of that document?	24	aspect for Telenor was the possibility
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I was	25	of being able to do an IPO in the United

	Page 206		Page 207
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	States. So that is correct. Everything	2	that was an issue. I cannot recall
3	that could help an IPO in the U.S. was	3	being involved in discussions whether it
4	important for Telenor.	4	should be ICT, whether it should be
5	ARBITRATOR JENTES: And I take	5	London, New York, English law or U.S.
6		6	law. And since I don't recall any
7	it, if there would be an IPO, it would also be important to the Alfa Group and	7	discussions about this, I draw the
		8	·
8 9	to Storm to have this same capability in the United States?	9	conclusion that the parties were happy with with this mechanism from the
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	10	
11		11	Alfa. It was also a typical selection
12	ARBITRATOR JENTES: With regard	12	in such a joint venture. ARBITRATOR JENTES: So if I
	to the arbitration clause in this	13	
13 14	letter, you've already explained that	14	understand, your testimony was that
	the shareholder's agreement would be	15	there simply was never any dispute
15	pursuant to Uncitral arbitration and	16	between the Alfa and Storm people on the
16	would take place in New York City as the	17	one side and the Telenor people on the
17	site of it.		other side that the Uncitral rules would
18	I was not clear from your	18	be used and that New York would be the
19	testimony, was this a subject of	19	situs of the arbitration?
20	discussion or negotiation between the	20	THE WITNESS: No.
21	Telenor side and the Storm side and the	21	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Okay. That's
22	Alfa side to arrive at the use of	22	all the questions I have.
23	Uncitral and the use of New York as	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Any, Craig?
24	being the place of the arbitration?	24	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Yes, I do.
25	THE WITNESS: No. I don't think	25	Looking at that same document,
	Page 208		Page 209
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	Mr. Lykke, where there's a cover e-mail	2	possible in a way. However, it was
3	that's dated April 27th, struck out	3	important for them to get above
4	April 29th, 2002. The first paragraph	4	40 percent. I don't really recollect
5	talks about Alfa Bank or one of its	5	why it ended on 43.5.
6	affiliates collectively and Storm being	6	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Well, you
7	able to acquire up to 43.5 percent of	7	mentioned earlier, I think, when you
8	the issued and outstanding shares of	8	were being questioned by Mr. Sills, of a
9	Kyivstar. Do you see that right at the	9	veto right. Do you remember that
10	first paragraph?	10	testimony?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And that was a	12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Was it your
13	negotiated number, was it not?	13	impression that it was important to Alfa
14	THE WITNESS: That's right.	14	and Storm, as a precondition of its
15	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And that was a	15	being involved in this venture with
16	number that Alfa Bank and Storm desired;	16	Telenor, that it have a veto right?
17	isn't that correct?	17	THE WITNESS: Well, I think in
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	general, as a large minority
19	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And they	19	shareholder, you want some protection
20	wanted over 40 percent. Do you know why	20	against decisions by the majority owner.
21	they wanted over 40 percent of the	21	So what we routinely see is that
22	issued and outstanding shares of	22	corporate governance structures are
23	Kyivstar?	23	tailored in order to cater to both
24	THE WITNESS: Well, I think	24	parties in an acceptable way. So we
25	basically they wanted as much as	25	made our kind of private veto right

	Page 210		Page 211
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	contractually whereby a certain number	2	certain issues; correct?
3	of directors were needed in order for	3	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
4	the company to validly resolve certain	4	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Is there an
5	issues, which was then negotiated	5	identification of what issue would
6	heavily.	6	require a super majority?
7	For instance	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Excuse me, go	8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And where is
9	ahead.	9	that to be found? If you want to look
10	THE WITNESS: For instance,	10	at the
11	certain big investments, debt uptakes,	11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	et cetera, et cetera, with thresholds.	12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: 2004
13		13	
	So that was kind of a veto right I was	13	agreement.
14	talking about. The right to		THE WITNESS: The 2004 agreement,
15	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Go ahead.	15	okay.
16	THE WITNESS: That was when I	16	MR. SILLS: That's tab 16 to the
17	say "veto right," I mean the ability	17	document you have before you.
18	to for the board members not to be	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	overruled in certain well-defined areas	19	MR. SILLS: Mr. Craig, I don't
20	which otherwise would be decided by a	20	want to testify for the witness, but we
21	simple majority, for instance.	21	could point to the provision.
22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And there	22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Fine. Help us
23	were there was agreement between the	23	out.
24	parties that in this arrangement that a	24	MR. SILLS: Could I direct your
25	super majority would be required for	25	attention to page 10 of the document,
	Page 212		Page 213
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	Mr. Lykke.	2	about the matters, about the thresholds,
3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: It's actually	3	et cetera. And, in general, I don't in
4	his document.	4	detail remember if there were any
5	MR. SILLS: I understand. It was	5	particular issues which they tried to
6	just he was paging through it.	6	negotiate into the detail catalog or
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, I have	7	which we should not succeed in or
8	it here.	8	whether we found compromises on most of
9	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Now, tell me,	9	them.
10	my question is, is this the list of	10	ARBITRATOR JENTES: In any event,
11	issues for which a super majority is	11	this what a debated negotiated list, and
12	required?	12	this is the list that was the result of
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.	13	that negotiation?
14	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And the super	14	THE WITNESS: Definitely. This
15	majority that is required here is seven	15	is always, in such joint ventures, is
16	of the nine?	16	always want to spend the kind of, I
17	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	17	don't know which percentage, but you
18		18	spend an awful lot of time on this
19	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Including at least one director from Storm?	19	•
		20	particular clause.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.	1	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Let me ask you
21	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Was there any	21	another question about this
22	effort to include other issues on this	22	shareholder's agreement. In the event
23	list that was rejected by Telenor?	23	the shareholder's agreement is silent on
24	THE WITNESS: Well, there were	24	a particular subject, but there is a
25	quite a bit of negotiation about	25	provision of Ukrainian law that imposes

	Page 214		Page 215
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	some requirement on the corporation, if	2	the law.
3	you are the lawyer advising the	3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And Kyivstar
4	corporation, would the corporation be	4	is headquartered in Ukraine; right?
5	required to comply with Ukrainian law?	5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	THE WITNESS: Say that again.	6	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And what
7	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: It's a	7	percentage of its operations are
8		8	conducted in Ukraine?
9	hypothetical. In the event the shareholder's agreement is silent, there	9	THE WITNESS: Almost all. I'm
10	is nothing in the shareholder's	10	not quite sure about percentage. It's
11	agreement that you can look to to	11	clearly Ukrainian.
12	consult as to what the corporation	12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: It's a
13	<u>*</u>	13	
14	should do, but there's a law in Ukraine	14	registered Ukrainian company? THE WITNESS: Yes. And I think
15	that requires the corporation to do	15	
16	something, whether it's to register,	16	most of its business, if not all of it, is in Ukraine.
17	whether it's to have no smoking in your	17	
	hallways, if it requires minimum wage,	18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Now, in the
18	if it requires child labor laws, in your		event there is a provision in the
19	judgment as a lawyer, what would be the	19 20	shareholder's agreement that conflicts
20	obligation of Kyivstar?	20	with Ukrainian law, as the lawyer to
21	THE WITNESS: Well, if there are	21	Kyivstar, how would you resolve the
22	no factors which can lead you to		question of what law or what provision
23	interpret within the contract, something	23	governs Kyivstar?
24	contradictory to that, Kyivstar, being a	24	THE WITNESS: Well, not being a
25	Ukrainian company, would have to follow	25	Ukrainian lawyer, but in general terms,
	Page 216		Page 217
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	since Kyivstar, they're a party to the	2	telling me that, are you?
3	agreement, to the shareholder's	3	THE WITNESS: No.
4	agreement, it would have to comply with	4	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Now, I have
5	the agreement, and then it would have to	5	another question which has to do with
6	consider the Ukrainian relevant law as	6	your knowledge as to the way in which
7	to whether that could be could be,	7	Storm or Alfa Group ratified the
8	what you call it, could be deviated from	8	agreement, if any ratification was done.
9	or whether it was kind of something you	9	That was negotiated in 2002. And I'm
10	mandatorily have to follow.	10	talking about the voting rights
11	To clear this up, if it was	11	agreement with the attached proposed
12	either criminal or something, but then	12	shareholder's agreement.
13	going through the chain, I guess, from	13	Are you aware of any actions that
14	civil law and more procedures and	14	Storm or Alfa Group actually, I'm
15	general law which could be agreed	15	asking about Storm here, that Storm took
16	around, you could deviate from it, of	16	to ratify the approval of that
17	the shareholder's agreement.	17	agreement?
18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: But what	18	THE WITNESS: No. Not other than
19	you're telling me is that it's not a	19	in practice of
20	blanket principle that whenever there is	20	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm sorry, I
21	a conflict between a provision in the	21	didn't understand you. At the time in
22	shareholder's agreement and a provision	22	2002, you had officials from Storm
23	in Ukrainian law, the provision in the	23	signing the agreement, did you not?
24	shareholder's agreement trumps the	24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	provision in Ukrainian law. You're not	25	MR. SILLS: You're talking about

	Page 218		Page 219
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	the voting agreement?	2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm talking	3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Is there
4	about the voting agreement.	4	anything else, other than the signature
5	MR. SILLS: And the resolutions	5	on the document, on the agreement
6	supporting that, is that what you're	6	itself, that you would point to that
7	asking?	7	says that Storm is obligated to comply
8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'm asking if	8	with the terms of the agreement?
9	there were any other actions taken by	9	THE WITNESS: Well, it's a seal
10	Storm officials that you're aware of	10	on it. We received important
11	associated with just signing the	11	documentation around it. Is that the
12	document, over and above that, that	12	kind of thing you're asking about?
13	Storm took to ratify that agreement?	13	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Yes. I'm
14	THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure	14	trying to find out what it is you
15	I understand the question as to what	15	understand was required in 2002 for
16	other actions they took except for	16	Storm to certify, if anything, other
17	complying with it.	17	than just a signature.
18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Well, you	18	THE WITNESS: Well, they have the
19	understand that a representative of	19	chairman approving it. They have an
20	Storm signed the agreement; correct?	20	internal process, which was referred to
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	in the certificates of incumbency.
22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And on that	22	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Were you aware
23	basis you believe Storm is obligated to	23	also that the participants ratified
24	comply with the terms of the agreement;	24	at a shareholders meeting ratified the
25	correct?	25	agreement?
	Page 220		Page 221
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.	2	incumbency when it was signed.
3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: You were aware	3	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And after the
4	of that?	4	signature was affixed to the document,
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	5	are you aware of any other actions taken
6	MR. O'DRISCOLL: Mr. Craig, sorry	6	by Storm shareholders, Storm directors,
7	to interrupt. I just want to clarify	7	or officials of Storm, participants of
8	one thing. It may be a language issue.	8	Storm, to ratify the approval or to
9	Ratification suggests post-signing	9	approve of that agreement?
10	approval. I think you mean presigning	10	THE WITNESS: No. I think that
11	approval, is that not correct?	11	was done in advance.
12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Well, I don't	12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I beg your
13	know. It could be either one to me.	13	pardon.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead.	14	THE WITNESS: I think that was
15	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I will ask you	15	done in advance.
16	about both. Were you aware of any	16	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: In advance?
17	actions taken by the shareholders of	17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	Storm or the directors of Storm prior to	18	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Okay. I think
19	the signature on the document	19	I'm done, for the time being.
20	authorizing the signature to be made?	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Anything else,
21	THE WITNESS: Yes. They have an	21	Mr. Sills?
22	internal process and attach certain	22	MR. SILLS: Can I just have one
23	corporate documents showing showing	23	moment. If I could just follow up on a
24	approval of the agreements which was	24	few of these questions.
	attached to the certificates of	25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Page 222 Page 223 1 Lykke - Direct Lykke - Direct 2 2 BY MR. SILLS: amend the charter to be consistent with the 3 shareholder agreement. 3 Q. I'd like to follow up first on 4 And let me give a concrete 4 Mr. Craig's questions concerning the relationship between Ukrainian law and its 5 example. At the time the shareholder's 6 requirements and the shareholder agreement agreement was negotiated and executed, were 7 you aware of any requirement of Ukrainian law 7 and its requirements. Could you turn to page 13. I'm sorry. Could you turn to that directors of a closed joint stock 9 section 6.03 of the shareholder's agreement. 9 company be shareholders? THE WITNESS: 602? 10 10 11 603. 11 Q. I'd like you to assume for the Q. 12 moment that sometime after the agreement was 12 Yes. Okay. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Entitled executed in 2004 that such a requirement came 13 "Amendment of Charter." into being under Ukrainian law, so that in 14 15 MR. SILLS: Right. 15 order to sit on the board of directors of Kyivstar, it was necessary to be a 16 Yes. A. 17 First, with reference to the 17 shareholder. first sentence of section 6.03A -- I'm sorry, 18 A. Okay. 18 19 with the first and second sentences. 19 O. And I'd also like you to assume 20 What was the business deal 20 that it would be possible for each of the 21 between the parties as to conforming any of economic owners in the company to create one or more subsidiaries holding one share each. the corporate documents to the shareholder's 22 22 agreement, in the event that there was a 23 So that each of those subsidiaries would be a problem under Ukrainian law? 24 shareholder. Do you understand the 25 Well, it was to -- it was to 25 hypothetical so far? Page 224 Page 225 1 Lykke - Direct 1 Lykke - Direct 2 A. Yup. fair to say that the parties agreed to work 3 within Ukrainian law to preserve and Under this provision that we're effectuate the governance structure agreed to looking at, 6.03, is it your opinion that the parties would be obligated to enter into an in the shareholder's agreement? 5 amendment to the charter making those new Absolutely. That was, for 6 instance, the reason for all the wording in 7 subsidiaries shareholders eligible to be 7 directors, in order to preserve the 8 the shareholder's agreement about Alfa and governance scheme that the parties agreed to 9 Storm being obligated to show up at the general meetings and board meetings when the 10 in the shareholder's agreement? 10 Before just going through the 11 board --11 12 details there, that would be kind of the 12 Q. Could you just repeat the last 13 obvious interpretation of the generality of part of your answer. the shareholder's agreements that the parties 14 They were obligated -- in the should do, use their best efforts to be able shareholder's agreement they undertook to 15 15 show up at board meetings and general 16 to conform with what have been agreed. 16 17 And if there came such changes in meetings. 17 law in Ukraine, then the parties were 18 And that brings me to my next 18 О. 19 obligated to make efforts to solve the 19 question. Mr. Craig was asking you about 20 problems so that the spirit of the agreement, veto rights. Other than the negotiated veto 20 21 and the agreement itself, for instance, this 21 rights that you and he were discussing 22 five, four number of directors, could be 22 calling for a super majority, did Storm ever suggest that it would have the right to veto 23 still worked, so to speak, in Ukraine between 23 24 the parties. 24 corporate action by boycotting board or

25

shareholders meetings?

25

Q.

As a general matter, would it be

Page 227 Page 226 Lykke - Direct Lykke - Direct 1 1 Well, what I heard from 2 by applicable law or the shareholders that conflict or are inconsistent with the terms 3 Mr. Hansen was that, and this was -- that was 4 something they indicated earlier in and conditions of this agreement"? 5 5 negotiations. We then said that on that Yes. 6 basis we are just stopping all negotiations, 6 Q. And look, if you would, at and then they kind of thought about it and 7 page 13, clause 2.05B-2. came back and agreed to not use those kinds 8 A. Yeah. That was -- that was, by 9 of tactics. 9 the way, an even clearer undertaking by Storm Continue, please. than the more general one just referred to. 10 0. And that was just because of this problem. 11 Yeah. Then they came back and 11 A. 12 said they have reconsidered and were willing You were also questioned by the 12 to waive those kind of legal rights under panel, Mr. Lykke, concerning the resolutions 13 14 Ukrainian law. And we then carefully drafted passed in 2002. Do you remember that? 14 15 their obligation to not use that legal right 15 Yes. 16 under Ukrainian law in the shareholder 16 Ο. And do you have a copy of those 17 agreements, and on that basis we proceeded 17 resolutions with you? 18 with the transaction. If they're in the kit, I do. 18 A. 19 Ο. And is that reflected in the 19 It was separately e-mailed to you О. 20 final section of section 6.03A which reads, last night. Did you bring that with you? 20 Yeah, yeah. Okay. Yeah, yeah. 21 "The shareholders also agree, to the extent 21 MR. SILLS: Mr. Chairman, this is 22 permitted by applicable law, to waive any 22 23 rights or privileges granted to them, 23 Exhibit L to the evidentiary brief. 24 including but not limited to redemption Look, if you would, you see that 24 25 rights, rights of first refusal and the like 25 there's a lot of Ukrainian language and then Page 228 Page 229 Lykke - Direct Lykke - Direct 1 1 there's, toward the end, there's something 2 A. Yes. that says, "Notice regarding resolutions 3 And other than the two adopted by written polling." 4 certificates of incumbency that you and I 4 were discussing a little while ago, did you 5 A. Uh-huh. 5 believe that, in light of that express 6 О. Do you have that before you? 6 No. Sorry. I don't have that in 7 7 authorization in 2002, it was necessary or front of me, actually. appropriate to obtain any further 8 documentation of Mr. Nilov's authority to 9 Could you turn to it. 9 Q. ARBITRATOR CRAIG: No. He execute the 2004 agreement? 10 10 No. Not really. 11 said ---11 12 12 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Does your Α. I don't have it. answer change, Mr. Lykke, if you thought 13 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: What number 13 that was a material change in the 2002 14 14 are we? MR. SILLS: L. 15 agreement that was negotiated? 15 THE WITNESS: Well, if -- if the 16 THE CHAIRMAN: He doesn't have 16 17 17 change would have been very, very it, Mr. Sills. material, it could have been out of the 18 ARBITRATOR JENTES: We're talking 18 19 about the same resolutions that we've 19 question whether one needed further -been through on the record. further resolutions. 20 20 ARBITRATOR CRAIG: But I'm giving 21 MR. SILLS: Right. 21 Q. And it was your understanding 22 22 you the question that it was a material that in 2002 Mr. Nilov was expressly 23 23 change. I was asking you to assume that it was a material change between 2002 24 authorized to execute the shareholder's 24 25 and 2004. If there was a material agreement; is that correct?

	Page 230		Page 231
1		1	
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	change between the shareholder's	2	those kinds of decisions. But,
3	agreement as drafted in 2002 and as	3	obviously, you come to a point where you
4	drafted in 2004, you would agree that	4	would need a kind of a new a new
5	further authorization or additional or	5	interim resolution. And in a way what
6	new authorization would be required,	6	happened in 2004 was that Telenor
7	wouldn't you?	7	obtained new certificates of incumbency
8	THE WITNESS: Not necessarily,	8	from the chairman of Storm confirming
9	no. It would depend on what you mean by	9	that he did have the authorization.
10	"material change."	10	ARBITRATOR JENTES: Would it also
11	I mean, Mr. Nilov	11	be relevant, in your viewpoint, if the
12	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: I'll accept	12	change that was material was adverse to
13	your definition. Whatever definition	13	Telenor and favorable to Storm, which I
14	you want to make, I'll accept your	14	think is the case here?
15	definition. And if there's a material	15	So that do you believe that if
16	change in the text of the agreement	16	Telenor is confronted with a situation
17	between 2002 and 2004, would you, as the	17	where the change is only going to be
18	lawyer for one of the parties, want to	18	adverse to it, that it needs to go back
19	seek new authorization or new authority	19	and obtain approval from the Storm side
20	to enter into that new agreement?	20	of the equation?
21	THE WITNESS: For Mr. Nilov as	21	THE WITNESS: If the change was
22	general manager, and based upon the old	22	detrimental to Storm?
23	2002 approvals, it would depend on what	23	ARBITRATOR JENTES: No. It's
24	is material. And I would give the	24	detrimental to Telenor, not to Storm.
25	general manager a rather big leeway in	25	THE WITNESS: Well, I would
	Page 232		Page 233
1	Lykke - Direct	1	Lykke - Direct
2	believe that the threshold for when you	2	else, Mr. Sills?
3	have to go back and seek new approvals	3	MR. SILLS: I think, other than
4	would be influenced by whether it was an	4	the schedule for going forward that the
5	advantage a positive amendment or	5	panel has set out, which is fully
6	negative one, so to speak. I think that	6	acceptable to us there is one very
7	would go into the legal equation.	7	small matter which is we had asked for a
8	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: Could I ask	8	correction of a small wording error in
9	again, Mr. Lykka, whether you would	9	the permanent final award.
10	consult Ukrainian law or New York law as	10	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: All those
11	to the definition of what is material?	11	corrections were adopted unanimously.
12	THE WITNESS: I would go to	12	THE CHAIRMAN: There are two
13	New York law.	13	errors which will be which are
14	ARBITRATOR CRAIG: And why's	14	corrected but which will be formally
15	that?	15	corrected in a redistributed order.
16	THE WITNESS: Because New York	16	MR. SILLS: Thank you. Other
17	law governs the agreements and that's	17	than it's always the corporate
18	how we have to measure whether it's	18	lawyers who call your attention to those
19	material or not.	19	details.
20		20	
21		21	·
			•
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24	•	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,	1	• • •
20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Anything else? Thank you very, very much. We are the beneficiaries of great technology. Thank you, and off the record. (Discussion off the record.) THE CHAIRMAN: Well, anything	20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: No, no. That's important. MR. SILLS: Other than that, I think we're done for the day. I would only thank the panel for being present today. And we're prepared to move

	T
Page 234	Page 235
1 Lykke - Direct 2 forward, and we look forward to getting 3 our papers in to you on the 19th. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Subject to 5 consulting with my fellow panel members, 6 the tribunal will promptly issue a 7 scheduling order, tomorrow morning, to 8 both you and to Storm highlighting the 9 January 19th date for submissions. 10 Anything else? We also thank our 11 witness for coming a great distance. 12 Thank you. And this arbitration hearing 13 is adjourned. 14 (Time noted: 3:22 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE STATE OF NEW YORK) : ss. COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, AMY E. SIKORA, CRR, CSR, RPR, a Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, and a Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me on December 18, 2006; That the within transcript is a true record of said proceedings; That I am not connected by blood or marriage with any of the parties herein nor interested directly or indirectly in the matter in controversy, nor am I in the employ of any of the counsel. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of December, 2006.
24 25	AMY E. SIKORA, CRR, CSR, RPR
	25
Page 236	
December 18, 2006 INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE TORSTEIN MOLAND MR. MUSOFF - Direct 41 MR. SILLS - Direct 140 FREDRIK LYKKE MR. SILLS - Direct 165 LEXHIBITS CLAIMANT I.D. EVID. I letter to Sigmund Ekhougen, 125 undated, signed by Vadim Klymenko, with cc to Robert Klymenko, with cc to Robert Klymenko, with cc to Robert Halverson's affidavit 127 Halverson's affidavit 127 Page 20 Lace Page 21 Lace Page 22 Lace Page 22 Lace Page 23 Lace Page 24 Lace P	

				Page 1
A	7:15 8:6 9:16 10:5,11	adversaries (1)	27:21 49:6,9,15,22	220:24 224:14
$\frac{A}{AAA(2)}$	11:7 12:6,10 15:12	21:12	50:20 53:20,21,24	226:17 232:17
146:22 151:13	15:20 26:16 81:4,12	adverse (2)	54:22 55:10,21	agrees (1)
ability (2)	100:7 111:11	231:12,18	56:11,24 57:6,14	25:10
205:11 210:17	225:24	advice (1)	58:16,17,19,22 59:8	ahead (4)
able (38)	actions (9)	124:24	59:15,16 60:6,18	20:22 210:9,15
22:5,14 71:22,24	84:25 85:6 111:15	advise (1)	66:4 67:11 74:13,21	220:14
76:12 80:2,7,13,18	129:20 217:13	167:24	75:9 77:17 78:7,13	aid (1)
80:24 81:24 82:3,5	218:9,16 220:17	adviser (3)	78:15 84:9 95:7	205:9
85:13,18 88:13	221:5	42:23 43:10 109:17	105:2 121:25 122:2	akin (1)
104:19 108:8,15,23	active (1)	advising (1)	122:4,6,11,15 123:8	15:10
111:3 113:21	33:8	214:3	123:10,15,18,25	Alex (2)
114:10 128:16	actively (1)	affect (1)	124:11,14,16	204:9,16
130:5,17 134:15,17	204:23	79:13	148:22 159:11,14	Alexei (3)
134:23 137:24	activities (3)	affidavit (22)	160:6 161:21 162:7	125:10,14 236:17
138:4 139:4 150:20	84:23 174:14 204:15	44:15,21 47:15 54:4	162:11,24 163:6,9	Alexey (1)
187:16 205:20,25	actual (4)	54:14,20 57:12,25	163:10,13 166:24	198:5
208:7 224:15	168:3 179:24 193:21	58:11 126:25 127:5	167:5,9,10 168:22	Alfa (134)
absence (1)	195:6	127:12,18 129:5	169:9,25 171:7,22	46:3,5,18,22 47:2,7
20:12	add (3)	166:5,8,12,16,18	174:9 175:8,12,24	47:21 48:18 49:5,16
absent (4)	32:8 156:7 162:3	182:15 185:19	175:25 177:4,9,19	49:19 50:5,19,22,23
24:25 110:15,23	addition (5)	236:18	179:11 180:11,12	51:2,5,6,10,15,17
125:18	43:25 45:18 81:17	affidavits (1)	180:19,22,25 181:3	52:8,18 53:17 55:5
absolute (1)	108:11 199:12	156:5	181:7,9 182:3,7,13	55:22 56:3,4,8,11
143:12	additional (4)	affiliated (1)	182:23 183:20,21	56:21 57:4,4,21
absolutely (6)	44:25 97:6 172:17	126:16	184:2,8,9,11,13,14	58:23 61:2,9,15
4:5,25 17:16 23:16	230:5	affiliates (5)	184:21,23 185:11	64:8 65:19 66:8,16
184:5 225:6	additions (1)	174:2,5,8,9 208:6	185:13,21 186:3,10 186:12,16,20,21	67:4 70:4 73:6 84:2
abusing (1)	151:10 address (6)	affixed (1) 221:4	187:5,5,6,11,13,17	84:4 91:5 92:6
23:25	148:7 155:7 159:3,9	afraid (1)	187:18,20,22,25	101:5,11,21 102:8 103:10 106:6 109:6
accept (2)	161:24 162:2	142:25	188:3,4,6,11 192:15	109:24 110:4,6
230:12,14	addressing (1)	agenda (17)	192:21,24 193:4,5,6	111:11,14,21,23
acceptable (2)	15:25	63:15 72:9 79:8,9,10	193:7,11,20,20	114:14 115:23
209:24 233:6	adequate (1)	96:12,14,16 97:7,20	194:4,9,9,15,25	116:16,16,24
accepted (1) 55:4	68:19	107:5,15,22,24	195:2,2,19,21 196:4	117:24 118:8
	adjourn (4)	108:5 111:2 114:11	197:2,23 198:6,25	129:21 135:25
accorded (1) 19:5	12:4 14:18 28:13	agendas (1)	199:13,18 200:3	136:8 140:18
account (1)	40:21	97:4	201:3,7,12,17,22	141:11 158:16
154:5	adjourned (1)	ago (5)	202:2,6,11 203:8	168:2 169:17,19,19
accountant (1)	234:13	114:5 141:17 153:24	205:13,14 206:14	169:20 171:4,23
189:21	adjournment (2)	164:4 229:5	210:23 211:13,14	172:4,10,18 173:13
accounting (2)	32:9 147:6	agree (5)	213:22,23 214:9,11	173:15,24 174:18
43:22 141:12	adjust (1)	44:19,22 92:16	215:19 216:3,4,5,17	174:23 175:13
achieve (2)	182:2	226:21 230:4	216:22,24 217:8,11	176:6,14 177:21,24
71:22 119:13	adjusted (1)	agreed (22)	217:12,17,23 218:2	178:5,12 179:15
acquire (1)	58:24	19:23,25 22:17 49:21	218:4,13,20,24	180:4 188:19 190:4
208:7	administration (2)	55:5,16 123:24	219:5,8,25 221:9	190:11 193:9
acquired (1)	63:13 81:11	172:13 176:15	222:6,9,23 223:3,6	194:11 195:10
50:25	adopted (3)	183:21 187:12	223:12 224:10,20	197:8,10 200:5,14
acquiring (2)	157:19 228:4 233:11	188:5 192:25	224:21 225:5,8,15	200:17,19,25
71:16 169:21	advance (6)	195:13,24 197:24	227:4 228:25	201:10,19,22 202:4
act (3)	72:21 144:4 149:25	216:15 224:9,16	229:10,15 230:3,16	202:6,12 203:8,21
107:14 114:10 187:23	221:11,15,16	225:2,4 226:8	230:20	204:6,11 205:3
acting (4)	advancing (1)	agreeing (1)	agreements (18)	206:7,22 207:10,15
98:7 100:24 189:21	33:6	183:19	50:8 102:18 103:2	208:5,16 209:13
201:23	advantage (1)	agreement (221)	168:4 174:2,6 176:8 184:17 185:25	217:7,14 225:8 Alfa's (7)
action (16)	232:5	13:2,19 19:3,7,11	190:8,20,24 191:3,9	47:23 48:2 50:10,12
	1	21:3,23 26:23 27:20	130.0,20,24 131.3,9	47.23 40.2 30:10,12

64:12 101:8 201:7	answer (17)	178:18	59:18 60:16 66:5	138:5,10,19 139:6
allegation (1)	6:4,4 16:3 30:11	applies (1)	121:18 122:14,24	139:10,16,22 140:9
77:18	31:18 55:25 75:6	156:18	158:10,14,21,23	143:2,6,12 144:2,14
allotted (1)	79:21 91:22 121:2	apply (8)	159:7 160:23 161:3	151:4,14,24 152:6,8
150:25	122:8 146:18 161:7	4:3 6:19 8:15 16:5	161:17 162:6,9,12	152:18,23 153:21
allowed (2)	164:9 194:20	173:14 178:3,8,9	162:19,19 163:10	155:9 156:7 159:5
90:12 202:6	225:13 229:13	applying (1)	163:11 187:4	162:3 178:21,24
alongside (1)	anticipated (3)	164:11	206:12,15,24	181:11 182:10,14
187:19	15:18 169:8 181:4	appoint (2)	207:19 234:12	182:19 183:2
Alpren (6)	Anybody (1)	57:7 100:5	arbitrator (307)	184:22 185:2,8,15
8:2 9:13 18:15 21:22	32:7	appointing (1)	1:19,20 3:23 4:6 7:10	186:23 191:13,18
28:20 51:8	anymore (1)	58:22	7:19 9:10 10:8	191:22 192:8
alternative (6)	110:4	appointment (1)	11:12,21 14:17 25:4	194:19 196:8,11,21
12:15,16 25:18 28:13	anyplace (1)	134:8	25:16,24 26:3,6,8	202:21 203:5,14,18
30:19 31:5	20:6	appreciate (3)	26:25 27:9,23 28:6	204:5,10,19 205:4
Alternatively (1)	anyway (1)	142:19 155:13 205:7	28:9 29:4,16 30:16	206:5,11 207:12,21
32:16	196:3	approach (6)	32:6 35:19,25 36:17	207:24 208:12,15
Altimo (8)	apologies (1)	5:5 25:6 91:15 145:14	37:3,19 39:11,17	208:19 209:6,12
7:25 9:12 16:7 18:16	183:10	147:5 160:17	41:12 45:11 46:6,10	210:8,15,22 211:4,8
21:22,24 28:20 51:8	apologize (2)	appropriate (5)	50:3,7,18 51:9,25	211:12,22 212:3,9
Altimo's (1)	36:19 191:17	21:5 34:16 118:11	52:5,8,16,17,20,25	212:14,18,21
16:7	apparent (1)	147:23 229:8	54:9,17,19,25 55:6	213:10,20 214:7
ambassador (1)	13:4	approval (22)	55:12,19 56:6 57:16	215:3,6,12,17
62:20	apparently (2)	70:14 71:7,8,9,10,14	58:8,13 59:21 60:3	216:18 217:4,20
ambition (1)	17:15 37:7	71:16 96:20 108:9	60:8,22 61:8,14,17	218:3,8,18,22 219:3
62:4	appeal (6)	131:13 132:2,20	63:24 64:6,18,23	219:13,22 220:3,12
ambitions (1)	5:13 15:5 117:14,22	133:11,16,19 155:3	65:2 66:18 67:18,25	220:15 221:3,12,16
64:7	118:7 157:25	217:16 220:10,11	68:3,7,14,18 69:10	221:18 222:13
amend (2)	appealed (3)	220:24 221:8	69:21 70:16,21 71:4	228:10,13,18
183:25 223:2	6:13 19:17,18	231:19	72:15,25 73:12,15	229:12,21 230:12
amendment (6)	Appeals (1)	approvals (2)	74:18 76:7,18 77:2	231:10,23 232:8,14
157:18 193:15,18	117:18	230:23 232:3	77:6,8,13,14,23	233:10
222:14 224:6 232:5	appear (7)	approve (3)	78:2,5,18,22,25	arbitrators (2)
amendments (4)	6:20 23:4 28:21 95:19	87:18 131:11 221:9	81:21 82:7,15,22,25	16:13,14
114:6 122:4 123:22	110:7,14 112:18	approved (11)	83:12,17 84:2 87:11	area (1)
192:13	appearance (1)	107:22 113:6,8	87:16,22 88:2,10,15	105:24
America (1)	117:13	132:16,23,25	88:24 89:5 91:13,14	areas (2)
205:16	appears (11)	133:18,23 134:4,4,9	91:18,23 92:3,8	81:10 210:19
American (5)	7:7 16:9 37:10 40:15	approving (1)	94:3,25 96:4 98:10	arguably (1)
7:20 43:16 136:10,20	75:20 94:25 96:24	219:19	98:15,19,25 99:6,10	18:10
140:8	110:21 121:9	April (26)	99:15 100:25	argued (3)
Amy (5)	126:18 185:12	54:2,3,21 55:3,22	101:14,17 102:3,14	31:12 134:22 162:25
1:25 41:15 165:4	appellate (11)	56:7 72:11 76:8	103:24 104:6,18	arguing (1)
235:7,24	6:6 18:21 30:10	77:14 78:12,20 79:5	105:6 108:19,25	31:11
analysis (6)	115:16 116:3,5,13	79:13 89:8 94:7,9	109:12 110:13,18	argument (10)
102:16 104:19 105:9	116:19 117:17	95:3,4,5,8 96:20	110:25 111:6,16	12:9 18:18 24:15
105:14 106:3,6	118:12 120:2	168:18,23 204:22	112:11,17 114:16	40:17 120:14
ANDERSON (1)	appendix (1)	208:3,4	114:20,25 115:7	122:19,21 145:20
2:25	146:2	arbitrate (7)	116:21 117:8	150:9 157:24
angry (1)	applicable (6)	13:2 26:24 27:22	120:24 121:13	arguments (2)
62:14	156:24 157:8 159:8	162:25 163:6,9,14	122:12 125:25	33:6 35:8
annex (2)	159:12 226:22	arbitration (58)	126:4,6,7,11 127:7	arising (1)
58:4 188:4	227:2	1:2,2 3:4,5,14 9:18,22	127:17,22 128:5,24	125:4
annexed (5)	application (11)	11:9 12:11 13:7,12	129:7,11,17 130:2	arrange (1)
57:11 168:20 184:8	12:3 16:25 17:8 18:9	13:22 18:7 19:3,6	131:9,18,24 132:4	40:2
184:13 193:7	24:4,15 31:21 38:5	20:2,3,4 21:8 22:18	132:12,18 133:2,5,9	arrangement (1)
announce (1)	124:20 176:15	23:19 24:3 26:14	133:12,14,22 134:2	210:24
97:24	177:21	27:16,17 30:21,25	135:17 136:4,24	arrangements (1)
	applications (1)	44:16 59:12,16,17	137:3,6,9,12,22	180:21
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Page 3

(1)	15.15 10.22 20 2	220.24	101.17	04.12 17 07 17
arrive (1)	15:15 19:22 29:2	228:24	181:17	94:13,17 97:15
206:22	121:25	authorizing (1)	Baksaas (1)	106:16 111:20
Article (5)	attacked (1)	220:20	106:13	117:3,14,17,23
6:19 33:2 37:21 151:2	140:19	automatically (2)	ballot (1)	118:24 128:3
151:15	attempt (4)	4:16 10:18	92:11	130:18 134:25
articles (2)	92:9 107:2 134:21	autonomy (3)	ballots (1)	135:10 141:16
181:5,10	136:8	157:22 158:20,24	91:20	146:25 151:22
articulated (2)	attempting (4)	available (6)	Bank (9)	182:16 191:20
27:4 102:5	9:15,21 11:5,6	40:3 41:11 94:14	43:5 46:5 51:5 52:18	200:18 204:18
ASA (4)	attempts (1)	142:21,24 143:22	55:5,23 203:8 208:5	218:23 229:6
137:8,8,14 165:17	106:3	Aven (2)	208:16	231:15 232:2
aside (2)	attend (31)	46:4 51:19	banks (1)	believes (2)
17:9 119:11	70:4 71:21 72:6,17	Avenue (3)	135:10	23:18 36:10
asked (9)	73:4,25 74:21,22	1:10 2:4,17	barred (2)	bench (1)
5:24 9:23 44:10 80:15	75:2 76:21,23 77:9	avoid (1)	35:6,11	155:6
94:18 153:2 154:17	78:11 79:4,12,15,20	20:8	barring (1)	beneficiaries (1)
167:19 233:7	85:22 87:13 93:7,10	Avon (1)	7:3	232:22
asking (13)	93:12 97:13 98:8	46:10	based (6)	benefit (2)
5:5 25:19 31:25 36:8	99:2,12,16,22	award (20)	44:18 62:5 124:23	87:3 145:8
36:9 79:19 162:2	101:12,23 109:21	13:3 22:21,22 26:11	188:19 195:7	best (4)
217:15 218:7,8	attendance (2)	26:21 27:6,25 151:6	230:22	3:8 106:17 158:11
219:12 225:19	93:21 112:8	151:8,21 152:2,3,9	basic (1)	224:15
229:23	attended (2)	152:13,13,16 153:7	55:15	better (2)
asks (1)	97:9 102:21	153:11 154:16	basically (13)	85:13,16
40:18	attendees (1)	233:9	108:24 169:12 172:25	beyond (2)
aspect (2)	100:3	aware (13)	173:25 179:8	15:20 192:22
178:9 205:24	attending (9)	13:11 27:15 63:21	184:10,17 187:11	big (6)
aspects (2)	65:10 74:12 75:12,14	109:19 166:18	188:3 189:9,13	43:16 47:4 52:14
144:5 178:14	76:4 100:21 102:12	171:4 217:13	196:14 208:25	131:3 210:11
assets (3)	111:12 131:23	218:10 219:22	basis (6)	230:25
21:24 22:4 23:14	attention (9)	220:3,16 221:5	12:25 14:11 67:4	Bill (2)
assist (1)	11:16 45:3 65:12 96:6	223:7	218:23 226:6,17	133:13 151:25
167:19	106:22 113:25	awful (1)	Bear (2)	Bill's (1)
assistance (2)	142:10 211:25	213:18	121:10 168:5	11:3
61:2 80:21	233:18	A-V-E-N (1)	becoming (1)	binder (3)
assisting (1)	attesting (1)	46:12	169:4	41:10 69:24 128:3
48:9	199:14	A-V-O-N (1)	bed (1)	binding (2)
associated (1)	attorney (4)	46:8	143:11	10:18 190:21
218:11	164:5 166:21,22	a.m (2)	beg (1)	binds (3)
	167:2	1:12 3:18	221:12	4:16 8:12 18:24
assume (8) 20:11 34:8 94:7 154:9	attorneys (1)	1.14 3.10		bit (9)
		B	began (4)	42:3 130:2 135:2,19
162:5 223:11,19	156:6		104:3,4,21 202:23	
229:23	audited (3)	B (3)	begs (1)	160:11 173:2
assumed (1)	71:8 131:12,14	1:17,20 236:12	160:10	203:22 205:8
39:5	auditors (1)	back (27)	behalf (7)	212:25
assuming (1)	140:25	17:15 22:6 25:5 29:8	3:9 169:20 171:24	blame (1) 126:10
159:25	August (2)	29:13 38:15 79:17	189:13 198:22	
attach (1)	106:11,11	92:17,19 103:21,25	201:23 203:15	blanket (1)
220:22	authorities (2)	115:14 118:20	behaved (1)	216:20
attached (8)	136:11,21	123:3 126:2 127:8	50:23	blockage (1)
54:10,13 55:7 135:11	authority (8)	135:5,14 189:14	behavior (1)	135:25
182:15 203:7	131:6 158:12 159:13	193:15 194:20,23	106:19	blocked (1)
217:11 220:25	190:7 199:4,15	202:22 226:8,11	believe (45)	129:8
attachment (2)	229:9 230:19	231:18 232:3	18:9 19:20,21 20:23	blocking (1)
184:24 188:2	authorization (5)	background (1)	40:12 43:14 54:23	49:23
attachments (1)	229:7 230:5,6,19	42:4	56:18 57:11 58:3	blood (1)
202:25	231:9	backs (1)	61:6,20 62:3 63:19	235:16
attack (4)	authorized (4)	120:22	64:19 66:8 68:11	board (118)
	200:2 201:16,21	backup (1)	90:9 91:9 92:4	44:11 45:16 58:23
	I	1	1	<u> </u>

	_	_		_
62:22 63:2,3,7,12	88:6	built (1)	carveout (1)	86:3,10 89:10,16,19
63:16,21 65:8,10,16	boycotting (2)	69:6	172:14	90:3,6,15,16,21
65:21 67:5 70:3	65:20 225:24	burden (1)	case (19)	92:22 93:14,15
		33:5	6:10 16:19 17:24 18:3	
71:21 72:6,9,10	branch (1)			97:14,21,23,25 98:3
73:14,23,25 76:5	83:5	business (30)	20:24 24:12 33:4	98:6,8,8 100:24
79:5,13,18,20 80:20	brand (1)	44:7 52:15 70:8,15	34:17 36:21,24	102:2 109:18
85:14,16,17 87:2,12	103:4	71:7 84:16,20 91:21	38:14,16 80:12 82:6	111:17,19 120:9,12
87:14,18,21,23,25	branding (1)	103:11 106:7,18	88:21 118:5 122:22	120:13 121:11
88:16,22 89:2 91:20	130:21	108:11 131:8	163:24 231:14	125:6,17,21 126:24
93:2,7,10,13,21	breach (2)	132:20 135:7	cases (2)	127:3 128:22
97:6,6,23 98:4,4	78:14 172:15	141:11 167:13	23:6 157:15	129:16 140:2,11
100:6,21,24 101:12	break (7)	168:2,25 170:4,6,17	cash (1)	141:15 142:6,12,15
101:22 103:22	57:15 106:8 121:12	179:17 183:16	22:3	142:22 143:14
104:14 105:3 107:3	142:17,19 145:6	186:9,11 195:23	catalog (1)	145:4 147:4 148:8
107:5,14,21 108:5,6	149:20	204:17 215:15	213:6	149:2,7,12 150:2,11
108:16 109:21,23	bridge (2)	222:20	cater (1)	150:14,18,22
110:7,9 111:4,4,12	162:21 163:13	businesses (2)	209:23	152:22 153:15
112:9 113:11,17	brief (19)	52:13 71:2	cause (9)	164:16,20,22 168:6
114:9,23 115:5,25	3:17,22 24:23 32:8	buttressed (2)	6:16,21,25 7:2 9:15	168:7 183:5,11
116:14 118:2 119:6	35:21 42:16 57:13	4:19 13:18	11:5,6 15:5 87:7	185:6 188:23
119:15,20,21 120:5	120:25 145:8	buy (1)	caused (2)	197:14 202:15,17
121:7,23 123:6	150:13 153:23	202:7	86:25 105:8	207:23 219:19
124:9 126:15,20	154:7,24,24 157:14	buying (2)	cc (3)	220:14 221:20
128:12,15,25	158:7 161:7 164:6	47:7 50:22	125:9,13 236:16	227:22 228:16
129:13,15,16,22	227:23	17.7 50.22	Central (1)	231:8 232:20,25
131:11,13 132:2,23	briefed (2)		43:5	233:12,20 234:4
134:10 141:4 170:8	158:4,5	C (4)	CEO (1)	challenge (1)
170:14,19 194:12	briefer (1)	2:2 185:18 235:3,3	53:10	134:19
210:18 223:15	143:19	calendar (1)	certain (10)	challenging (1)
225:10,11,16,24	briefing (1)	149:9	157:4 172:7 188:6	141:7
Bob (1)	148:5	call (10)	202:8 210:2,4,11,19	CHANG (1)
19:16	briefly (7)	39:23 62:9 72:8 75:3	211:2 220:22	2:20
bonuses (1)	79:2 84:6 96:8 116:23	114:23 153:20	certainly (2)	change (26)
108:20	128:10 165:21	164:20 173:4 216:8	147:17 150:19	6:9 8:17 180:16
book (2)	167:12	233:18	certificates (6)	193:12 194:4,10
191:14 194:18	briefs (1)	called (8)		195:6,7,9,13,14,18
books (1)	148:12		199:17,22 219:21 220:25 229:4 231:7	195:23 196:7 197:9
140:20		36:24 41:14 63:7		229:13,14,17,23,24
	bring (4)	74:25 117:17,23	Certified (2)	
born (3)	10:5 58:2 124:21	165:3 172:11	235:8,8	230:2,10,16 231:12 231:17,21
52:3,25 53:3	227:20	calling (2)	certify (2)	
borrow (2)	bringing (4)	11:15 225:22	219:16 235:11	changed (1)
71:12 82:3	9:14,15 46:22 112:16	capability (1)	cetera (6)	158:2
borrowed (1)	brings (1)	206:8	35:9 137:17 171:12	changes (14)
88:18	225:18	capable (1)	210:12,12 213:3	167:8 181:5,8 187:14
borrowing (3)	Broad (1)	36:7	CFO (8)	193:2,11,16,20
88:17 89:24 90:13	2:10	capital (7)	43:4,7,13,15 44:2,6,7	194:8,25 195:3
bottom (3)	broader (4)	81:19,23 134:25	45:16	197:2 201:5 224:17
58:6,7 59:6	103:8 105:4,18,20	135:3,16 200:14,17	chain (2)	characterized (1)
bought (1)	Brothers (1)	caption (1)	192:2 216:13	154:11
47:2	167:21	21:13	chairman (116)	chart (1)
bound (4)	brought (5)	care (1)	1:18 3:2,22 10:22	121:9
7:11 21:22 36:4	16:19 111:22 117:2	143:9	11:2,22 12:14 13:23	charter (15)
173:23	142:9,9	career (1)	14:4 15:22,24 19:15	67:14 77:18,19 114:6
boycott (13)	Brundtland (1)	53:5	20:9,15 26:2 28:12	121:21 122:5
67:5 85:22 87:2 89:13	42:24	carefully (2)	32:7,13 33:22 34:3	123:12,14 180:17
89:22 90:5,18	budget (6)	10:4 226:14	34:18 35:12 37:4	183:24,25 201:6
101:21 102:6 104:2	104:17 107:11 108:13	carry (1)	39:12,16 40:10,20	222:14 223:2 224:6
104:20,25 116:6	133:11,16,20	175:7	40:23,25 41:3 73:22	check (1)
boycotted (1)			75:23 76:3 85:20	152:19
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	Ī	İ	Ī	Ī
Chicago (1)	27:13 29:9,14,19	153:25	223:9,21	145:9,15,19 148:13
143:2	clarify (4)	collectively (1)	company's (2)	150:7,10 154:18,21
chief (7)	29:10 47:12 90:14	208:6	205:10,11	155:4
45:14 68:23,24,25	220:7	collusive (5)	comparable (1)	concrete (2)
69:2,4 189:21	clarifying (1)	17:18 19:21 23:5	151:12	92:13 223:4
child (1)	116:13	163:18 164:14	compete (1)	condition (1)
214:18	clarity (3)	come (15)	173:16	202:12
children (2)	39:4 90:22 115:18	18:25 38:15 57:18	competent (1)	conditions (1)
143:10,10	clause (16)	65:9 72:21 79:17	5:17	227:4
choice (4)	13:22 27:16 30:25	92:19 157:3,7	competing (1)	conducted (2)
157:24 159:15 161:2	59:16 84:9,12	159:20 160:2,3	171:18	161:4 215:8
176:24	162:19 171:21	193:9 194:3 231:3	competition (3)	conference (1)
choose (3)	172:8,17 174:13	comes (5)	83:2 86:11,24	24:9
20:5 124:21 160:13	184:17 186:3	80:6 130:13,15	competitor (4)	conferred (1)
chose (2)	206:12 213:19	138:16 153:5	83:5 84:24 86:13	32:14
5:20 160:14	227:7	comfortable (1)	173:8	confidence (1)
chosen (1)	cleaning (1)	41:24	competitors (4)	153:7
160:21	193:23	coming (8)	84:7,18,21 87:3	confident (1)
chronological (1)	clear (27)	30:23 69:17 102:7	completely (2)	22:4
91:15	14:9 17:17 23:16	114:21,22 141:25	57:20 180:8	confidential (1)
chronologically (3)	26:19 27:2,14 33:24	142:13 234:11	complicated (1)	173:4
91:11 92:20 106:21	34:10,20 37:22	comment (3)	115:10	confirm (1)
chronology (4)	38:11,23 39:7 57:20	33:10,23 192:22	complied (3)	189:20
126:3,8,14 127:25	64:10,16 76:17	commercial (7)	151:9 190:19 191:8	confirmation (2)
Circuit (1)	94:22 95:22 125:23	60:6 117:24 118:4,13	comply (8)	19:8 119:12
18:22	149:22 161:8 164:9	118:15 167:17,18	114:7 136:19 151:16	confirmed (2)
circulation (1)	195:5 202:10	Commission (1)	178:17 214:5 216:4	115:20 189:14
146:20	206:18 216:11	135:23	218:24 219:7	confirming (1)
circumstances (3)	cleared (1)	communicated (2)	complying (2)	231:8
37:22 38:7 172:9	23:21	76:15 140:24	135:20 218:17	conflict (3)
circumvent (1)	clearer (1)	communication (3)	component (1)	106:15 216:21 227:3
174:13	227:9	43:23 68:13 171:9	100:10	conflicts (2)
cite (1)	clearly (1)	communications (4)	composition (1)	189:25 215:19
157:15	215:11	1:3 3:6 57:21 100:16	170:19	conform (3)
cited (1)	clerical (1)	companies (8)	compromise (3)	122:5 123:13 224:16
6:17	95:20	47:11 51:8 83:9	120:10,19 195:7	conforming (1)
citizen (1)	close (12)	138:25 174:12,14	compromises (1)	222:21
124:5	31:11,20 34:7 36:12	174:15 200:19	213:8	confronted (1)
citizens (1)	36:13 37:23 40:19	company (68)	conceive (1)	231:16
142:3	48:3,14 51:22 85:11	43:16 46:21 47:22	6:25	connected (1)
City (3)	155:5	48:4,8,9 51:14,17	concept (3)	235:16
59:19 117:18 206:16	closed (4)	52:10,12 56:4 63:4	7:20 13:13 185:23	connections (1)
civil (1)	34:13 36:6 37:13	65:22 67:8,23 68:10	concern (1)	69:9
216:14	223:8	68:20 69:7 71:12	22:25	consent (3)
claim (7)	CLR (1)	75:4 79:25 81:7,16	concerned (2)	90:12 123:22 201:7
22:19 38:14,24 112:2	1:25	82:2,5 83:13,18,20	31:20 68:20	consenting (1)
121:10 190:17	cognizance (1)	84:15 87:7 93:4	concerning (6)	187:21
201:10	125:2	96:18,21 98:14,16	3:14 89:24 171:6	consequence (1)
claimant (8)	collateral (1)	100:8 101:25 107:9	173:12 222:4	189:15
1:4 2:3 3:7 125:11	19:22	109:16,17,25	227:13	consequences (1)
127:4 146:10,12	collaterally (1)	117:21 119:17	conclude (1)	13:20
236:13	29:2	123:7 124:19 130:8	159:10	consider (6)
claiming (2)	colleague (2)	130:10,13,24 131:5	concluded (2)	13:6 29:12 35:13
125:19 201:20	41:5 125:18	135:6,8 163:22	49:4 53:15	195:18 196:6 216:6
claims (1)	colleagues (1)	164:3 172:5,11,20 173:6 179:19	conclusion (4)	consideration (1)
17:18	153:2	173:6 179:19 181:24,24,25	9:11 11:4 156:19	33:12
clarification (7)	collected (1) 41:8	205:20 210:4	207:8	considered (1)
12:21 13:10 25:23		214:25 215:13	conclusions (9)	50:2
	collection (1)	214.23 213.13		

	ı	1	ı	1
consistent (1)	contrary (1)	28:15 35:23 62:23	7:4,8 8:15 9:5 12:5	109:12 110:13,18
223:2	123:11	77:25 97:16,17	12:13,22 15:3 16:7	110:25 111:6,16,20
consolidate (5)	contribution (1)	98:17 107:15 129:4	17:8 23:5 25:8	112:11,17 114:16
134:16,17,23 140:20	153:22	146:16 152:13	27:14,18 28:21,24	114:20,25 115:7,17
141:8	control (6)	186:24 191:24	29:8 30:7 31:3	116:21 117:8
consolidated (1)	65:22 67:8 80:24	192:9 195:4 198:4	71:20 113:16 114:7	120:24 121:13
132:10	138:17,18 196:2	198:10 199:24	115:2,4,8,13,18,21	122:12 126:4,7
consolidation (1)	controlled (5)	203:11,16 205:22	116:3,5,8,13 117:4	127:17,22 128:5
140:15	50:24 51:18 55:22	206:2 208:17 211:2	117:15,18,24,25	133:12 137:9
consult (4)	56:8,21	211:3 212:17	118:4,9,13,16 121:4	138:10,19 139:6,10
32:10 196:13 214:12	controllers (1)	218:20,25 220:11	128:14,17 141:23	139:16 140:9
232:10	81:3	228:25	154:22 155:6	143:12 151:4,24
consultants (2)	controlling (8)	corrected (2)	157:22 163:19	152:8,18,23 153:21
106:15 136:17	4:9 43:22 51:3 56:5	233:14,15	179:7	155:9 156:8 178:21
consulted (2)	57:22 130:15	correction (2)	courts (18)	178:24 181:11
145:6 158:12	156:13 170:7	151:10 233:8	3:25 6:2 13:6,11,14	182:10,14,19 183:2
consulting (1)	controversy (2)	corrections (2)	21:7 23:23 24:2	184:22 185:2,8,10
234:5	157:9 235:19	151:11 233:11	27:15 28:14,17	185:15 186:23
contained (1)	convenience (1)	correctly (1)	30:10 120:2 124:21	191:13,18,21,22
27:22	120:23	154:11	124:23,25 146:4	192:8 194:19 196:8
	convenient (1)	correspondence (2)	162:10	196:11,21 207:23
contains (1) 90:15	73:11	24:7 95:25	court's (2)	207:24 208:12,15
90:15 contempt (2)	conversation (2)	Coudert (1)	18:19 26:13	207.24 208.12,13
18:10 23:13	65:3 153:18	167:21	cover (3)	210:8,15,22 211:4,8
contend (1)		counsel (20)	174:4,19 208:2	211:12,19,22 212:3
` /	conversations (2)	5:17 8:4 61:2,5,9,15	covered (2)	212:9,14,18,21
201:10	63:25 93:11	124:24 165:17,23	127:11 181:12	213:20 214:7 215:3
content (3)	Conversely (1) 156:21	167:14,20,22,23	covering (1)	215:6,12,17 216:18
23:17 49:8 78:12		167.14,20,22,23	174:7	217:4,20 218:3,8,18
contentions (1) 155:8	convince (1) 109:20	198:3 204:16 205:3	covers (1)	218:22 219:3,13,22
	cooperation (1)	235:20	174:2	220:3,6,12,15 221:3
contents (1) 49:7	105:23	counsels (1)	co-headquarters (1)	221:12,16,18
contested (1)	copies (1)	75:6	22:2	222:13 225:19
20:19	41:11	counterparty (1)	CPLR (1)	228:10,13 229:12
context (3)	copy (15)	169:15	153:3	229:21 230:12
16:19 94:23 95:22	30:4 56:24 77:3,15	countries (4)	Craig (209)	232:8,14 233:10
	94:18,21,24 95:16	139:7,9,11,17	1:20 7:10,19,23 26:6	Craig's (3)
continuation (1) 3:3	96:3 147:2 153:3	country (3)	29:4,16 35:25 36:14	37:20 84:5 222:4
continue (10)	182:7 192:4 197:16	7:5 77:12 139:14	36:17,21 37:3 41:12	Cravath (1)
15:20 106:20 135:18	227:16	COUNTY (1)	45:11 46:6,10 52:17	16:9
148:2 155:15	copying (1)	235:5	52:20,25 54:9,18,19	create (1)
	183:11	couple (6)	54:25 55:6,12,19	223:21
156:10 159:23 176:25 177:2	core (1)	58:2 68:10 87:12	56:6,16,23 57:16	created (1)
226:10	156:12	92:14 114:4 200:22	58:12,13 61:14,17	134:5
continued (2)	corporate (19)	coupled (1)	63:24 64:6,18,23	creditors (1)
68:15 116:7	18:5 21:18 23:9,24	145:16	65:2 66:18 70:16,21	43:24
continuing (2)	50:13 91:21 117:6	course (27)	71:4 72:15 74:18	criminal (1)
30:18 35:8	117:20 125:5	5:23 12:6 14:20 38:9	76:7 77:8,14,23	216:12
contract (7)	170:10,14 179:23	43:21 78:24 81:15	78:2,5,18,22,25	critical (3)
31:3 134:3,9 177:14	181:17 205:10	86:20 91:17 103:18	82:7,15,22,25 83:12	157:17 160:24 202:11
178:3 189:24	209:22 220:23	123:19 136:22	83:17 84:2 85:8	cross (1)
214:23	222:22 225:24	155:7 156:17,23	87:11,16,22 88:10	172:23
contracts (2)	233:17	157:2 158:7 161:8	88:15,24 89:5 91:14	crossed (2)
134:7 165:25	corporation (5)	166:17 173:11	91:18,23 92:3,8	162:21 163:12
contractually (1)	214:2,4,4,12,14	174:12,17,24 178:6	94:3,16,25 95:12	cross-examination (
210:2	corporations (1)	178:23 188:9	96:4 98:10,15,19	155:23
contradictory (1)	173:16	198:22	100:25 101:14,17	cross-examine (2)
214:24	correct (30)	court (55)	102:3,14 103:24	38:17 156:3
211.21		4:11,12 5:12,15,20	104:6,18 108:19,25	CRR (3)
			l í	l `´

		1	1	ı
1:25 235:7,24	20:8 22:17 39:19,22	defendant (4)	155:20,22	64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1
CSR (3)	57:2 106:5 139:22	10:7,12,24,25	designating (1)	68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1
1:25 235:7,24	139:23 140:5 153:8	defendants (1)	161:21	72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1
curious (2)	188:12 204:6	21:14	designing (1)	76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1
102:4 116:22	222:20	defended (1)	154:4	80:1,6 81:1 82:1
current (5)	dealing (1)	112:24	desired (1)	83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1
23:4 61:24 81:11 82:8	51:12	defense (2)	208:16	87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1
135:24	dealings (2)	22:18 38:16	desires (1)	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1
currently (3)	190:3 198:23		32:23	95:1 96:1,6 97:1
	debate (1)	deferring (1)		98:1 99:1 100:1
42:9,11,13	24:8	14:5	despite (2) 116:18 193:10	101:1 102:1 103:1
customary (4)		deficiency (1)		
158:9 161:15,16	debated (1)	201:2	destroy (1)	104:1 105:1 106:1
162:18	213:11	Definitely (1)	84:25	106:21 107:1 108:1
customer (1)	debates (1)	213:14	detail (2)	109:1 110:1 111:1
130:19	7:7	definition (6)	213:4,6	112:1 113:1 114:1
customers (1)	debt (2)	119:22 174:4 230:13	detailed (1)	115:1 116:1 117:1
81:8	193:3 210:11	230:13,15 232:11	180:10	118:1 119:1 120:1
Cypress (2)	December (26)	degree (1)	details (2)	121:1 122:1 123:1
163:22 164:2	1:12 3:19 4:10,21 5:3	80:25	224:12 233:19	124:1 125:1 126:1
	5:7,10,21 6:11 8:22	delay (7)	deterioration (1)	127:1 128:1 129:1
D	8:25 9:6,7 12:12	9:17,22 11:8 16:25	66:10	130:1 131:1 132:1
D (14)	24:5 25:13 26:2	18:6 21:8 36:23	detrimental (2)	133:1 134:1 135:1
2:24 41:13 54:10,21	32:5 33:15 35:7	delays (2)	231:22,24	136:1 137:1 138:1
55:2 58:10 60:9,24	104:16 127:13	16:21,22	developed (1)	139:1 140:1,16
165:2 185:5,6,7,8	165:16 235:13,22	deliberations (1)	6:7	141:1 142:1 143:1
236:3	236:2	146:6	developing (2)	143:24 144:1 145:1
damage (3)	decide (6)	demand (2)	49:13 84:15	165:7 166:1 167:1
84:19 86:25 87:7	31:3 34:16 38:19	31:17 95:16	development (1)	168:1 169:1 170:1
damaged (1)	63:12 161:12	demonstrate (1)	71:15	171:1 172:1 173:1
85:6	181:19	67:7	deviate (1)	174:1 175:1 176:1
date (18)	decided (11)	denied (3)	216:16	177:1 178:1 179:1
28:25 56:17,20 70:5	6:14 29:7 37:6 73:25	17:6 32:16,19	deviated (1)	180:1 181:1 182:1
89:6,9 96:24 104:2	85:22 88:8 104:17	department (1)	216:8	183:1 184:1 185:1
115:7 121:3 125:15	160:9 171:24	42:7	differ (1)	186:1 187:1 188:1
126:19 127:6	176:25 210:20	departments (1)	186:18	189:1 190:1 191:1
146:14 148:10	decides (1)	81:3	difference (3)	192:1 193:1 194:1
149:13 199:17	34:15	depend (2)	28:19 181:22 187:3	195:1 196:1 197:1
234:9	decision (19)	230:9,23	different (8)	198:1 199:1 200:1
dated (7)	37:18 38:21 70:13	depending (1)	3:25 21:15 51:4 52:13	201:1 202:1 203:1
54:2 55:3 95:8 168:18	71:18 80:20 108:13	150:23	69:18 79:25 81:10	204:1 205:1 206:1
168:23 199:17	113:17 114:8	depends (1)	159:21	207:1 208:1 209:1
208:3	116:12 117:15	117:10	differently (1)	210:1 211:1,24
dates (2)	118:17 122:23	deputy (5)	198:6	212:1 213:1 214:1
25:22 63:22	128:14 147:19	97:14,21 98:3 111:17	difficult (13)	215:1 216:1 217:1
David (3)	156:14 161:11	111:19	40:5 44:10 120:18	218:1 219:1 220:1
185:9 188:12 189:13	163:5,7 202:9	describe (7)	131:6 136:16	221:1 222:1 223:1
day (9)	decisions (17)	63:10 116:10 165:21	138:16,22 139:15	224:1 225:1 226:1
19:17 72:22 113:5,7,9	70:25 71:25 75:5	167:12 168:19	139:20,24 140:4,7	227:1 228:1 229:1
153:14,14 233:23	97:10 102:23 108:8	170:3 183:15	139:20,24 140:4,7	230:1 231:1 232:1
235:22	108:16,23 110:9	describes (2)	difficulty (3)	233:1 234:1 236:6,7
days (2)	111:4,5 113:8 130:6	58:25 126:23	139:12,18 145:18	236:10
•	164:15 170:14			directing (1)
5:13 146:23	209:20 231:2	describing (1)	direct (185)	113:24
deadline (5)		96:2	41:18 42:1 43:1 44:1	direction (2)
15:4 149:14,17	decision-making (1)	design (1)	45:1,3 46:1 47:1	160:22 161:19
151:12 153:6	116:19	18:5	48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1	directly (4)
deadlines (1)	declaration (2)	designate (2)	52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1	44:9 73:7 140:24
151:9	112:7 117:5	157:23 158:25	56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1	235:18
deal (13)	default (2)	designated (2)	60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1	235:18 director (7)
	6:18 32:25			unrector (7)
•				

				1 8.5 8
57.7.70.10.100.5	44.0.024.11	20.22.22.10	.0040 1 (4)	16.21
57:7 73:19 100:5	44:8 234:11	30:22 32:18	effectively (1)	16:21
111:25 199:9,10	distributed (2)	draw (2)	5:6	endorses (1)
212:19	191:16 197:14	31:25 207:7	effectuate (1)	157:21
directors (14)	district (8)	driving (1)	225:4	enforce (8)
58:23 115:23 117:7	8:6 17:6,22,22 19:5,9	171:24	effort (5)	10:10,13,21 20:14
118:18 141:4	19:24 21:2	due (5)	16:13 38:12 129:2	22:5,15 23:10,11
172:18 173:2 210:3	disturb (1)	19:2 35:17 63:17	147:16 212:22	enforcement (6)
220:18 221:6 223:8	9:8	187:14 193:24	efforts (5)	9:16 10:19,20 11:6,7
223:15 224:8,22	dividend (4)	duly (5)	21:7 80:8 109:19	21:4
directorships (1)	80:2 81:25 88:7 130:6	41:15 165:4 200:2	224:15,19	engage (2)
172:24	dividends (5)	201:16,21	Egil (13)	159:6 197:9
	71:10 87:14,19 96:18		44:15 45:18 47:15	
disagree (3)	*	duties (1)		English (4)
14:12 166:16,19	108:10	165:22	54:11,20 60:13	41:25 176:18,21
disagreement (1)	division (1)	DX (1)	166:12 167:19,25	207:5
106:14	166:3	2:11	203:12,23,24 205:3	enhance (1)
disagreements (1)	Divkovskiy (2)	dynamic (1)	eight (1)	205:11
47:9	197:16 198:3	174:4	143:8	enjoin (2)
discuss (1)	DLA (1)		either (9)	8:10 16:13
96:22	165:14	E	10:12 69:16 99:17	enjoined (2)
discussed (7)	document (25)	E (18)	108:23 129:9	9:14 11:5
47:14 64:7 76:4 142:7	60:9,23 76:19 94:19	1:17,17,25 2:2,2	176:18 186:19	ensure (1)
149:19 176:20	125:20 146:11	41:13,15 145:2,2	216:12 220:13	174:12
192:22	168:15,19 182:13	165:2,2,4 235:3,3,7	Ekhougen (13)	entail (1)
discussing (3)	183:9 189:2 197:17	235:24 236:3,12	77:4,8 95:3 100:15	13:20
			1	
141:20 225:21 229:5	198:15,19 199:12	earlier (10)	125:8,12 192:4	enter (8)
discussion (7)	204:22,24 207:25	33:14 46:17 108:8	196:18,21,22,24	28:14 49:21 53:21
60:15 106:10 144:17	211:17,25 212:4	113:7 115:21	197:17 236:14	58:16 171:15 188:5
159:7 181:12	218:12 219:5	134:24 171:22	elect (4)	224:5 230:20
206:20 232:24	220:19 221:4	177:3 209:7 226:4	97:14 98:3 100:24	entered (4)
discussions (15)	documentary (1)	early (3)	114:9	22:21,22 44:5 63:4
47:6 103:9,12,14	149:21	45:7 82:13 118:20	elected (4)	entering (1)
171:3 172:10	documentation (2)	ease (1)	93:13 109:23 129:15	56:4
173:12 174:24	219:11 229:9	145:17	129:15	entertain (1)
176:5,10 181:15	documents (13)	easier (2)	electing (1)	32:25
188:10 190:10	95:17 112:3 168:13	93:19 139:20	102:2	entire (1)
207:3,7	188:25 191:12	easiest (1)	election (1)	174:20
dismiss (5)		39:21	97:21	
	198:22 199:4,14			entirely (2)
17:7 28:8,10 30:20	200:8 202:22	easily (3)	eligibility (2)	50:5 123:4
32:17	203:11 220:23	84:25 150:20 173:7	118:2 124:9	entities (3)
dismissed (1)	222:22	economic (3)	eligible (1)	50:14 51:4 166:2
112:14	doing (14)	42:23 119:17 223:21	224:7	entitled (2)
dismissing (1)	6:17 11:13,18 14:6	economics (1)	eminent (1)	31:15 222:13
26:14	23:9 35:7,11 68:12	42:7	18:16	equal (3)
disposed (1)	92:14 102:9 103:10	economist (1)	emphasis (1)	62:16 119:7,13
169:11	135:24 136:17	42:5	43:11	equation (2)
dispute (12)	179:16	EC2N (1)	emphasize (1)	231:20 232:7
35:2,15 38:10 118:21	double-checked (1)	2:10	38:3	ERIC (1)
121:18,21 141:17	187:7	educational (1)	employ (1)	2:20
141:20,23 148:21	doubt (2)	42:4	235:19	Ericcson (1)
				` /
187:2 207:14	63:23 66:2	effect (16)	employed (5)	193:3
disregard (2)	draft (3)	8:9,24 9:3 10:17	42:9,12,13 165:12,16	Ericsson (1)
14:16 17:10	60:7 75:20 168:21	13:25 22:23 24:5	employees (2)	194:2
disregarded (1)	drafted (7)	38:14,17 51:3 77:7	130:23 142:4	error (3)
14:8	60:10,12 95:23 156:5	115:14 144:4	employment (1)	95:20 183:11 233:8
disrupt (2)	226:14 230:3,4	149:16 163:20	165:19	errors (1)
9:17 11:8	drafting (5)	166:9	ended (1)	233:13
Dissatisfied (1)	167:3,8 168:3 204:20	effective (1)	209:5	Espin (2)
17:14	204:23	22:10	endless (1)	99:9 100:17
distance (2)	Drake (2)			77.7 100.17
aistairee (2)	(-)		1	

Page 9

	I	I	I	1
ESQ (8)	116:2 183:18 223:5	expanded (1)	125:18 127:20	18:5 21:18 23:24
2:6,6,12,19,20,20,24	exception (1)	60:24	168:17 189:7,19	famous (1)
2:25	39:8	expat (1)	190:13 191:19	51:21
ESQS (1)	exceptionally (2)	134:6	192:2 197:15 198:8	far (15)
2:17	17:21 20:23	expected (3)	202:24 208:2	52:3 53:4,13 56:10
establishing (1)	exceptions (1)	8:20 91:8 97:23	e-mailed (1)	68:19 81:19 88:21
105:23	69:3		227:19	
		expedited (1)		89:3 113:16 114:5
estimate (1)	exchange (2)	5:13	E-N (1)	118:20 122:24
143:15	24:6 135:22	experience (2)	46:13	123:2 148:16
et (6)	excluded (1)	42:17 158:15		223:25
35:9 137:17 171:12	189:25	expert (4)	F	fast (2)
210:12,12 213:3	Excuse (1)	4:20 5:2 13:17 47:7	F (7)	81:5,12
Eurobond (1)	210:8	expertise (5)	1:17 126:18 127:4	fathers (4)
88:20	execute (7)	49:12 69:7 81:10	145:2 165:2 235:3	67:21 93:4 109:16,21
European (1)	190:7 194:14 201:16	130:11,11	236:18	fault (1)
83:9	201:21 202:5	experts (1)	FAA (1)	126:5
evening (1)	228:24 229:10	80:17	35:17	favor (4)
127:21	executed (10)	explain (6)	face (2)	20:25 102:25 103:7
event (12)	108:24 109:8 177:20	44:4 50:11 104:19	16:4 57:2	128:14
25:3 27:6 38:7 104:20	182:13 185:21	135:2 136:5,6	fact (20)	favorable (3)
116:20 127:9	195:14 198:16,19	explained (1)	19:25 20:5,21 39:7	86:17 106:6 231:13
164:13 213:10,22	223:6,13	206:13	56:8 64:15 111:15	fax (1)
214:8 215:18	execution (6)	explaining (3)	119:11 120:16	200:10
214:8 215:18		3:13 7:18 205:8		
	166:23 167:4 188:11		145:9,14,19 148:12	feared (1)
events (2)	201:3,11,25	explicitly (3)	154:2,5 159:10	110:6
44:20 188:6	executive (3)	181:3,6 183:19	163:24 186:8	February (3)
everybody (1)	43:7 45:15 68:24	exploit (1)	187:15 198:15	16:20 19:13 165:20
3:3	executives (2)	171:17	factor (1)	federal (3)
EVID (1)	197:7,8	exploiting (1)	160:24	154:22 155:6 162:16
236:13	exercised (1)	49:16	factors (1)	feel (1)
evidence (20)	156:2	express (3)	214:22	3:16
4:20 13:17 21:11	exhibit (52)	158:18 159:2 229:6	facts (1)	feels (1)
24:24 30:24 31:14	54:10,21 55:2 58:9,10	expressed (2)	166:18	33:15
34:25 35:10 37:9,24	59:5 60:9,24 69:24	161:13 170:12	factual (4)	Feinberg (2)
56:18 57:10 92:13	69:25 70:19 73:16	expressly (4)	172:9 189:15 193:23	1:18 142:23
127:6 149:21 155:5	74:16 75:17,18	21:19 157:21 163:11	193:24	fellow (4)
163:16,21,25	77:22,24 78:3,8,19	228:23	failed (4)	3:9 23:24 57:9 234:5
164:13	79:3 87:9 92:18,19	extensive (1)	71:21 92:15 100:23	felt (2)
evidentiary (5)	93:20 94:4 96:23	147:11	112:15	110:3 196:2
34:12 57:12 147:16	97:5,18,19 107:17		fails (1)	feuds (1)
149:23 227:23	110:24 113:4 125:7	extensively (1)	6:20	195:22
		158:6		
ex (1)	125:11 126:18	extent (10)	failure (6)	fewer (1)
30:2	127:4 128:3,4	31:25 87:5 90:19	6:22 79:3,12 87:12	83:15
exact (4)	168:10,12 169:24	123:16 124:12	88:16 128:25	Fifth (2)
26:16 55:25 82:11	170:17 175:7 182:5	136:17 144:13	fair (4)	1:10 2:4
89:9	184:20 185:5,18	158:5 161:15	30:17 139:13 195:12	fight (1)
exactly (12)	188:24 191:21	226:21	225:2	28:22
3:17 11:19 34:2 43:8	200:11 227:23	external (4)	fairness (1)	figure (1)
67:18 95:13 129:12	exhibits (11)	71:11 133:17,23	122:19	95:9
148:17 156:13	41:8 96:7 104:3	188:15	faith (1)	filed (6)
161:19 187:8,9	106:22,24 109:10	extraordinary (2)	120:7	31:16 95:21 126:17
EXAMINATION (4)	110:10 144:11	38:6 129:3	fall (3)	152:2,4 153:24
41:18 140:16 165:7	168:8 184:25 185:3	extremely (1)	84:17,21 104:15	files (1)
236:4	existence (2)	81:7	falls (1)	95:14
examine (2)	13:7 27:16	eyes (1)	84:23	final (8)
40:9 41:5	existing (1)	53:12	familiar (2)	28:14 75:10,21
examined (2)	187:19	e-mail (15)	63:6 109:10	118:17 141:15
41:16 165:5	expand (2)	3:12 8:23 11:24	family (3)	154:16 226:20
	131:8 135:7	3.12 0.23 11:24	rainiy (3)	154.10 440.40
example (3)	131.0 133./]
l				

				Page 10
	l	1	l	1
233:9	five-day (2)	formation (1)	228:8	generated (1)
finalization (1)	14:20 18:23	31:4	frustrate (1)	17:10
204:24	fix (3)	former (1)	18:6	gentleman (1)
finally (5)	118:21 123:18 148:10	60:17	fulfill (3)	61:10
88:8 104:16 118:23	fixed (1)	forms (1)	137:25 139:2,4	gentlemen (2)
119:12 168:23	152:14	203:6	full (8)	4:8 5:8
finance (2)	floating (1)	forth (4)	8:9 33:5 100:9,10	getting (9)
42:22 134:16	89:25	24:11 44:20 115:14	104:25 146:7	31:11,20 93:9 145:18
financial (24)	flow (1)	175:3	157:22 158:24	152:12,13 153:11
43:21,22 48:6 52:10	13:21	forum (1)	fullest (2)	153:13 234:2
52:12 53:17 69:5	flowed (1)	20:2	18:2,25	give (16)
70:11 71:9 80:24	174:16	forward (23)	fully (6)	18:15 30:12 38:17
81:2 108:9 130:15	flows (1)	4:17 7:3 8:13,18 12:9	12:20 32:23 38:21	42:16 55:24 62:15
131:12,14 132:2,5,8	11:17	20:10 23:19,21	136:19 201:15	80:21 85:18,18 86:8
132:9,10,13,16	flying (2)	24:17,22 25:3 30:23	233:5	86:21 130:10
135:11 138:17	73:6,7	33:4,8,17 39:6	functionary (1)	147:13 184:2 223:4
financing (4)	focus (2)	135:4,7 169:3 175:7	111:23	230:24
43:23 71:11 133:17	9:13 79:22	233:4 234:2,2	functioning (2)	given (6)
133:23	follow (11)	forwarded (1)	85:13,16	23:22 29:23 74:3,8
find (10)	43:18 72:8 101:9	16:11	fundamental (1)	102:5 135:14
13:25 26:11 27:3 32:2	112:15 140:14	found (8)	35:17	giving (5)
54:6 76:12 94:17	150:15 179:17	7:25 13:19 21:17	further (18)	18:2 29:12 53:24
102:6 159:25	214:25 216:10	26:21 121:4 193:13	18:11 87:10 101:24	170:9 229:21
219:14	221:23 222:3	211:9 213:8	105:23 116:12	go (42)
finding (2)	followed (1)	foundation (1)	117:22 118:7	5:11 6:6 8:13,18 12:9
14:10 29:6	158:22	189:6	126:12 128:22	12:17 15:3,16 20:10
findings (11)	following (2)	founding (4)	130:20 135:19	23:19,20 24:10,17
145:14,19 148:12	134:13 147:5	67:21 93:4 109:15,21	148:16 192:24	24:22 25:3 28:17,25
150:7,9 154:2,4,18	follows (4)	four (5)	198:13 229:8,19,20	29:8 33:4,7,17 38:8
154:21 155:4	41:17 157:2 163:8	42:25 53:12 58:24	230:5	42:15 74:7 86:7
163:19	165:6	116:15 224:22	future (5)	87:9 103:21 106:4
fine (6)	forbidden (1)	frame (1)	53:25 112:7 131:4	107:17 143:8
37:3 57:16 150:11,18	141:24	150:25	169:8 174:5	144:12,15 153:2
155:9 211:22	force (1)	frankly (1)		194:23 202:22
finish (1)	171:24	95:18	G	210:8,15 220:14
127:25	forecasts (1)	Freddie (1)	G(2)	231:18 232:3,7,12
firm (7)	107:10	106:13	182:15,18	goal (4)
16:9 18:16,17 61:11	foregoing (1)	Frederick (1)	game (1)	64:13 119:13 120:7
158:15,17 192:23	235:12	164:20	141:13	138:24
first (46)	foregone (1)	Fredrik (2)	gamesmanship (1)	goes (8)
4:8 17:11 28:25 41:6	156:19	165:11 236:9	38:12	10:18 12:18 70:14
41:14 42:19,21,24	forgot (1)	free (1)	gather (2)	87:6 122:21,24,25
51:5 67:22 69:24,25	61:11	173:15	51:13 125:25	148:17
70:3 75:12 78:16,16	form (8)	freely (1)	general (29)	going (35)
79:25 80:11 83:8	78:9 126:19 150:6,16	174:16	50:9 57:7 73:19,24	4:17 7:3 13:14 15:12
94:4 108:12 117:4	156:5 170:10,13	frequently (1)	75:4 81:6 94:21	17:17 20:13 29:13
117:14,16 118:15	195:15	176:11	111:25 130:22	33:13 34:7 36:4
121:4,15 160:6	formal (10)	Friday (6)	132:17 157:20	39:6 41:9 54:20
162:15 165:3	78:17 121:22 122:7	127:21 149:7,11,12	164:5 172:15	72:5,17 73:5 91:11
177:18 183:3	123:5,17 124:8	149:17 165:15	181:18 199:8,8,9,10	105:20 110:2 112:6
189:19 192:10,18	125:4 144:13	Fridman (14)	199:10 209:18	133:10 135:4,7
192:18 195:10	149:20 190:18	45:23,25 46:18 51:20	213:3 215:25	136:19 142:16
197:21 202:22	formalities (2)	52:21 53:9,13 61:21	216:15 224:25	144:3 151:19 154:6
208:4,10 222:3,17	189:10 198:13	61:25 62:13 64:2	225:10,16 227:10	155:11 160:2 169:3
222:18,19 226:25	formality (2)	65:4 106:12,14	230:22,25	216:13 224:11
five (7)	191:7 194:14	friends (2)	generality (1)	231:17 233:4
17:23 58:23 82:20	formally (2)	68:10 83:25	224:13	Golden (3)
99:24 100:3 116:15	181:18 233:14	front (1)	generally (4)	172:11,20 173:9
224:22	101.10 233.14	11 OHt (1)	58:14 63:9,11 129:18	GONZALO (1)
227.22			JO.14 UJ.7,11 147.18	JOILLALO (1)

2-20 growth (1) 8.218 bard (3) c.25 959 3 6:14 d.244 b.244 b.245 b.2148 23:25 b.225 b.218 b.226 b.218 b.226 b.218 b.227 b.218 b.228 b.218 b.228 b.218 b.228 b.2213			I	I	I
32.616 14:23 15:52 33:54 15:18 158:10 216:13 33:14 14:14 1	2:20	growth (1)	hard (3)	hesitate (1)	hypothetical (2)
30.15 31.8 48.16 77.3 153.24 155.18 33.14 harm (3) 79.23 110.6 136.23 hat (1) 124.6 135.16 144.9 90.12 guided (1) 124.6 147.23 118.4,13.15 50.9 163.11 17.23 118.4,13.15 163.11 176.8 178.13 196.18 140.11 180.21,24 205.10 180.21 2.13 176.2 179.3 180.7 180.24 205.15 200.15 20.35 99.7 60.15 124.18 156.14 180.22 2.35 99.7 60.15 124.18 156.14 181.57.23 158.25 164.10 175.22 157.23 158.25 164.10 175.22 157.23 158.25 164.10 175.22 157.23 158.25 164.20 175.22 136.23 160.23 171.5 174.6 140.23 171.5 174.5 140	good (13)	82:18	6:25 95:9 136:14	14:24	214:8 223:25
30.15 31.8 48.16 77.3 153.24 155.18 33.14 harm (3) 79.23 110.6 136.23 hat (1) 124.6 135.16 144.9 90.12 guided (1) 124.6 147.23 118.4,13.15 50.9 163.11 17.23 118.4,13.15 163.11 176.8 178.13 196.18 140.11 180.21,24 205.10 180.21 2.13 176.2 179.3 180.7 180.24 205.15 200.15 20.35 99.7 60.15 124.18 156.14 180.22 2.35 99.7 60.15 124.18 156.14 181.57.23 158.25 164.10 175.22 157.23 158.25 164.10 175.22 157.23 158.25 164.10 175.22 157.23 158.25 164.20 175.22 136.23 160.23 171.5 174.6 140.23 171.5 174.5 140		guess (5)	hare (1)	he'll (1)	
191:15 102:20					I
103:13 120:7 205tex (2) 2014 (44) 2015 (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (1					ICT (1)
135:16 144-9 gotten (2)					
gotten (2) 63 : 118:22 govern (3) 161:10 176:8 178:13 governace (11) 57:5 117:20 123:14 118:021,24 205:10 209:22 2249 225:44 governed (8) 601:8 121:18 122:13 176:2 179:3 180:7 189:24 205:15 governing (13) 203:3 59:7 60:15 124:18 156:14 1157:23 188:24 1157:23 188:25 177:15 178:19 186:3 203:56 42 51 193:156:16 42:10 194:18 194:18 157:23 188:2 177:15 178:19 186:3 203:56 42 51 193:156:16 42 193:18 194 164:10 175:22 203:18 23:19 166:10 175:22 government (2) 42:20,25 177:15 178:19 186:3 203:56 42 51 193:156:16 164:20 171:5 178:19 186:3 203:56 42 51 193:156:16 164:20 171:5 178:19 186:3 203:56 42 51 193:156:16 164:20 171:5 178:19 186:3 203:56 42 51 193:156:16 164:20 171:5 178:19 186:3 203:56 42 51 193:18 65:14 184:18 157:22 government (2) 42:20,25 government (2) 43:5 governo (1) 43:5 governo (1) 43:5 governo (2) 192:12 30:18 136:16 232:22 234:11 GREGORY (1) 26:12 26:12 26:12 26:12 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:17 19 79:24 30:21 27:18 184:15 15:22 17:21 184:17 117:2 30:21 27:18 184:15 15:24 30:3 41:19 18:62 42:17 118:41:18 185:23 184:18 15:23 24:11 39:18 65:14 184:18 185:23 24:11 30:18 184:15 185:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:23 184:18 15:19 186:20 189:18 22 11:9 18:6 52:18 22 11:9					
Table Tabl					
govern (3) 161:10 176:8 178:13 196:18 196:18 196:18 196:18 196:18 196:18 196:18 196:18 196:18 196:29 196:					
Table 10					` ,
decid deci		, ,			
S7:51 H7:20 123:14		196:18			
123:23 170:11 180:21,24 205:10 236:12 236:12 169:25 170:24 175:21 180:14 170:21 43:20,25 100:2 143:20,25 100:2 143:20,25 126:16 127:18 124:18 156:14 157:23 158:25 124:17 125:15 17:5 100:2 143:20,25 164:10 175:22 236:18 144:11 139:18 65:14 157:23 158:25 126:25 17:5 129:5 164:10 175:22 236:18 144:13 17:24 24:4 25:7 164:10 175:22 236:18 140:23 171:5 174:6 164:23 171:15 174:6 168:37 125:15 23:15 168:7 124:18 153:18 168:7 124:16 123 19:14 168:7 124:16 123 19:14 168:7 124:16 123 19:14 168:7 124:16 123 19:14 168:7 124:16 123 19:14 163:23 23:21 166:12 167:19,25 166:12 167:19,25 166:12 167:19,25 136:16 166:12 167:19,25 136:16 166:12 167:19,25 136:16 166:12 167:19,25 136:16 166:12 167:19,25 136:16 136:16 136:16 120:22 138:20 13:11 152:2 138:16 136:16 23:22 23:21 181:10 181:11 181:1					
1802.1,24 205.10 236.12 hadf (4) 215.4 130.14 130.14 130.14 144.11 130.14	57:5 117:20 123:14		headed (3)		identification (2)
209:22 224:9 2254 half (4)	123:23 170:11	H (1)	169:25 170:24 175:21		125:15 211:5
209:22 224:9 2254 half (4)	180:21,24 205:10	236:12	headquartered (1)	high-quality (1)	identifying (1)
1002 143:20,25 185:18 185:18 185:18 185:18 185:18 185:18 185:18 185:18 185:18 185:18 185:18 186:3 196:21 197:3 180:7 189:24 205:15 124:17 184verson (2) 121:15 124:18 156:14 126:16 127:18 126:16 127:18 126:15 126:16 127:18 126:25 127:5 129:5 164:10 175:22 236:18 186:3 205:6 236:18 186:3 205:6 24:21 139:18 25:14	209:22 224:9 225:4	half (4)	215:4		144:11
Signate Sign	governed (8)		headquarters (6)	hinder (5)	ignore (1)
176:2 179:3 180:7 214:17 214:17 21:7 21:7 20:3 59:7 60:15 126:16 127:18 126:25 127:5 129:5 126:16 127:18 126:25 127:5 129:5 126:25 127					
189:24 205:15 214:17					
governing (13) Halverson (2) 7:21 123:20 122:22 imagine (1) 124:18 156:14 157:23 158:25 126:16 127:18 hear (3) hired (2) imagine (1) 157:23 158:25 126:25 127:5 129:5 236:18 heard (16) hiring (1) imagine (1) 177:15 178:19 hand (9) 42:5 119:3 156:16 65:17,24 66:14 67:4 121:14 liot7 government (2) 42:20,25 anded (1) 65:17,24 66:14 67:4 listory (2) immediately (1) 83:7 governor (1) handling (1) 29:12 (2 46:23 19:14 29:29:21 listory (2) may (1) 134:10 179:7,19 impact (3) 83:7 graduation (1) hang (1) 20:19 21:10 34:12 hit (1) impediments (1) 135:23 42:20 30:8 17:23 147:17 163:25 234:12 hearings (2) hold (2) impediments (1) 42:20 41:15 45:18 60:13 166:12 167:19,25 17:21 9210:6 48:19 117:15 119:8 80:8 136:14 42:20 126:23 20:313,23,25 205:3 20:313,23,25 205:3 20:31 14:19 23:12 57:4 <					
20.3 59.7 60.15					
124:18 156:14 157:23 158:25 164:10 175:22 177:15 178:19 186:3 205:6 government (2) 42:20,25 government-owned 83:7 governor (1) 43:5 168:7 168:8 168:7 17:24 16:32 19:14 20:19 21:10 34:12 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 30:8 17:23 147:17 180ing (1) 180ing (1) 10:17 10:11 10:17 10:11 10:17 10:11 10:17 10:11 10:17 10:11 10:17 10:11 10:17 10:11 10					
157:23 158:25 126:25 127:5 129:5 236:18 hand (9) 166:3 205:6 4:25 19:3 156:16 164:23 171:15 178:19 168:3 205:6 4:25 19:3 156:16 164:23 171:5 174:6 164:23 171:5 174:6 168:7 176:6 180:4 235:22 176:6 180:4 235:22 handed (1) 12:4 16:23 19:11 168:7 168:				` '	
164:10 175:22 236:18 hand (9) 42:5 119:3 156:16 65:17.24 66:14 67:4 67:14 66:14 67:14 67:4 188:15 66:12 167:19.25 61:12 14:17 117:2 17:15 118:9 64:18 84:3,4 102:8 116:4 and (9) 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 116:4 and (9) 120:19 200:19 204:6,12 200:		. ,			
177:15 178:19 186:3 205:6 4:25 119:3 156:16 65:17,24 66:14 67:4 121:14 10:17 impact (3)					
186:3 205:6 government (2)					
government (2) 42:20.25 42:21.23 42:20.25 42:22 42:20.25 42:22 42:20 43:5: 46:22.23 48:19 117:15 119:8 48:19					
42:20,25 government-owned handed (1) hearing (14) 12:4 16:23 19:14 29:22 138:6 138:6 138:6 138:6 138:6 138:6 138:23 138:4 157:5 192:12 163:25 234:13 166:12 167:19,25 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:6 172:19 210:5 172:19			•		
government-owned handed (1) hearing (14) hit (1) impacts (1) 83:7 handling (1) 12:4 16:23 19:14 29:22 138:6 governor (1) handling (1) 20:19 21:10 34:12 hold (2) impediments (1) 43:5 192:12 35:8 36:24 37:23 37:16 78:23 135:23 graduation (1) hang (1) hang (1) hearings (2) 170:18 172:14 mplement (2) 42:20 30:8 17:23 147:17 196:19 223:22 holdings (5) 140:7 26:12 44:15 45:18 60:13 172:19 210:6 47:20,25 53:16 58:25 166:12 167:19,25 held (12) 200:23 122:9 124:10,13 34:9 226:23 203:13,23,25 205:3 19:4 21:19 23:12 57:4 home (2) mplicating (3) 32:26:3 226:3 73:2 113:19 115:22 home (2) mplicating (3) 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 57:11,25 58:11 152:9 hope (1) implying (1) 120 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 57:11,25 58:11 52:9 145:17 21:11,6 44:24 45:2 40:227:3 32:17 180:15					
83:7 governor (1) 43:5 governs (2) 12:12 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 35:8 36:24 37:23 37:16 78:23 48:19 117:15 119:8 49:15 119:21 119:21 47:20,25 53:16		176:6 180:4 235:22	174:22 226:2	36:22 115:10	134:10 179:7,19
governor (1) 43:5 governs (2) 192:12 192:12 35:8 36:24 37:23 88:23 118:4 157:5 163:25 234:12 grant (1) 42:20 grant (1) 42:20 grant (1) 44:15 45:18 60:13 great (2) 166:12 167:19.25 136:16 232:22 234:11 1:20 ground (3) 1:20 ground (3) 1:20 ground (4) 1:20 ground (5) 1:20 ground (8) 1:20 ground (9) 1:20 ground (9) 1:20 1:21:17 17:9 27:24 12:17 17:9 27:24 12:17 17:9 27:24 12:18 19:12 12:19 18:15 160:12 160:12 167:19.25 160:12 160:12 167:19.25 160:1		handed (1)	hearing (14)	hit (1)	impacts (1)
33:5 36:24 37:23 37:16 78:24 37:10 17:19 10:10 30:18 37:19 10:10 30:18 37:19 10:10 30:18 37:19 10:10 37:19 10:19 37:19	83:7	168:7	12:4 16:23 19:14	29:22	138:6
33:5 36:24 37:23 37:16 78:24 37:10 17:19 10:10 30:18 37:19 10:10 30:18 37:19 10:10 30:18 37:19 10:10 37:19 10:19 37:19	governor (1)	handling (1)	20:19 21:10 34:12	hold (2)	impediments (1)
governs (2) hands (4) 89:23 118:4 157:5 holding (7) implement (2) 215:23 232:17 33:16 84:18,21,24 hearings (2) 48:19 117:15 119:8 80:8 136:14 42:20 30:8 17:23 147:17 196:19 223:22 80:5 136:9 139:12 grant (1) Hansen (11) heavily (2) holdings (5) 140:7 26:12 44:15 45:18 60:13 172:19 210:6 47:20,25 53:16 58:25 mplicating (3) great (3) 226:3 203:13,23,25 205:3 226:3 117:19 118:9 hope (1) 122:9 124:10,13 GREGORY (1) 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 57:11,25 58:11 152:9 hope (1) implied (1) ground (3) happen (1) 180:15 47:5 146:5 206:3 p3:5 75:24 76:3 195:25 grounds (4) 180:15 47:5 146:5 206:3 145:17 importance (3) ground (3) 12:17 17:9 27:24 30:21 12:15 231:6 hopeful (2) hopefully (1) important (33) ground (8) 169:8 193:24 169:8 193:24 hope (1) 145:17 79:17 86:16 108:7 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
215:23 232:17 graduation (1) 42:20 30:8 grant (1) 44:15 45:18 60:13 granted (2) 34:9 226:23 great (3) 136:16 232:22 234:11 GREGORY (1) 1:20 ground (3) 26:22 77:3 32:17 grounds (4) 12:17 17:9 27:24 30:21 12:17 17:9 27:24 30:21 16:18 155:14 16:25 234:12 hangen (1) 180:15 172:19 210:6 held (12) 172:19 23:12 57:4 hope (1) 172:19 118:9 hope (1) 31:18 128:18 hoped (1) 31:18 hoped (1) 47:10,17,18 49:6 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 51:2	governs (2)				
graduation (1) hang (1) hearings (2) 170:8 172:14 implementing (4) 42:20 30:8 17:23 147:17 196:19 223:22 80:5 136:9 139:12 grant (1) Hansen (11) heavily (2) holdings (5) 140:7 26:12 44:15 45:18 60:13 172:19 210:6 47:20,25 53:16 58:25 implicating (3) grant (2) 166:12 167:19,25 held (12) 19:4 21:19 23:12 57:4 home (2) implied (1) great (3) 226:3 17:19 118:9 home (2) implied (1) 36:16 232:22 234:11 Hansen's (8) 117:19 118:9 hope (1) 31:18 1:20 57:11,25 58:11 152:9 hope (1) importance (3) ground (3) happen (1) help (4) 93:5 75:24 76:3 195:25 grounds (4) happened (5) 121:12 214:17 117:2 help (4) 145:17 141:17 143:20,25 group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 71:2,18,25 76:14 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 hereunto (1) huge (1) 10:8 111:5 139:5 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
42:20 30:8 17:23 147:17 196:19 223:22 80:5 136:9 139:12 grant (1) 26:12 44:15 45:18 60:13 172:19 210:6 47:20,25 53:16 58:25 140:7 granted (2) 34:9 226:23 203:13,23,25 205:3 19:4 21:19 23:12 57:4 200:23 122:9 124:10,13 great (3) 226:3 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 19:4 21:19 23:12 57:4 home (2) implicating (3) great (3) 226:3 17:19 118:9 home (2) implicating (3) 136:16 232:22 234:11 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 128:20 131:11 31:18 128:18 1:20 57:11,25 58:11 152:9 hope (1) implying (1) 1:20 57:11,25 58:11 152:9 hope (1) importance (3) grounds (4) 12:17 17:9 27:24 180:15 47:5 146:5 206:3 hopefully (1) 12:12 14:417 117:2 group (18) happened (5) 121:12 14:17 117:2 helpful (2) 145:17 141:17 143:20,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 group (18) happenings (2) 16:18 155:14 141:17 143:20,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 group (18) happens (1) 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 169:8 193:24 herbert (1) hereunto (1) hereunto (1) hereunto (1) <					
grant (1) Hansen (11) heavily (2) holdings (5) 140:7 26:12 44:15 45:18 60:13 172:19 210:6 47:20,25 53:16 58:25 implicating (3) 34:9 226:23 203:13,23,25 205:3 19:4 21:19 23:12 57:4 home (2) implied (1) great (3) 226:3 73:2 113:19 115:22 hope (1) implied (1) GREGORY (1) 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 128:20 131:11 31:18 128:18 1:20 57:11,25 58:11 152:9 hope (1) importance (3) ground (3) happen (1) 47:5 146:5 206:3 p3:5 75:24 76:3 195:25 grounds (4) happened (5) 21:12:22 hour (3) 21:11,16 44:24 45:2 12:17 17:9 27:24 30:21 121:15 231:6 happenings (2) helpful (2) hour (3) 47:10,17,18 49:6 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 169:8 193:24 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 166:2 169:19 132:6 happening (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 10:8 111:5 139:5 174:12,15 188:19 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 happily (1) <					
26:12					
granted (2) 166:12 167:19,25 held (12) 200:23 122:9 124:10,13 34:9 226:23 great (3) 136:16 232:22 234:11 Hansen's (8) 117:19 118:9 hope (1) implied (1) GREGORY (1) 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 128:20 131:11 128:18 hope (1) implying (1) 1:20 57:11,25 58:11 happen (1) 128:29 hoped (1) importance (3) ground (3) 26:22 27:3 32:17 happen (1) happen (5) 12:12 145:17 hopefully (1) importance (3) grounds (4) 12:17 17:9 27:24 30:21 12:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) hour (3) 47:10,17,18 49:6 30:21 12:12 13:23:6 happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 71:2,18,25 76:14 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) 132:6 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 imp	0 , ,			0 , ,	
34:9 226:23 great (3) 136:16 232:22 234:11 GREGORY (1) 1:20 ground (3) grounds (4) 12:17 17:9 27:24 30:21 30:21 30:21 30:21 30:21 30:21 30:21 30:31 30:32 30:31 30:421:19 23:12 57:4 47:4143:9 40pet (1) 31:18 410pet (1) 47:24 76:3 195:25 47:5 146:5 206:3 47:5 146:5 206:3 47:5 146:5 206:3 47:5 146:5 206:3 47:5 146:5 206:3 47:10,17,18 49:6 47:10,18 40:4 47:10,18 40:4 47:10,18 40:4 47:10,18 40:4 47:10,17,18 49:6 47:10,18 40:4 47:					
great (3) 226:3 73:2 113:19 115:22 7:4 143:9 36:18 136:16 232:22 234:11 Hansen's (8) 117:19 118:9 hope (1) implying (1) 1:20 57:11,25 58:11 152:9 hoped (1) importance (3) ground (3) happen (1) help (4) 93:5 75:24 76:3 195:25 grounds (4) happened (5) 112:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) hour (3) 21:11,16 44:24 45:2 group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 79:17 86:16 108:7 16:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 174:12,15 188:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 HERRINGTON (2) 87:2 importantly (1)					
136:16 232:22 234:11 Hansen's (8) 117:19 118:9 hope (1) implying (1) GREGORY (1) 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 128:20 131:11 31:18 128:18 1:20 57:11,25 58:11 152:9 hoped (1) importance (3) ground (3) happen (1) help (4) 93:5 75:24 76:3 195:25 grounds (4) happened (5) 211:22 hopefully (1) important (33) 12:17 17:9 27:24 112:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) hour (3) 47:10,17,18 49:6 30:21 12:15 231:6 16:18 155:14 141:17 143:20,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 79:17 86:16 108:7 116:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 HERRINGTON (2) 87:2 importantly (1) <					
GREGORY (1) 47:15 54:4,11,14,20 128:20 131:11 31:18 128:18 ground (3) happen (1) help (4) 93:5 75:24 76:3 195:25 26:22 27:3 32:17 happened (5) 47:5 146:5 206:3 hopefully (1) important (33) grounds (4) happened (5) 211:22 hour (3) 21:11,16 44:24 45:2 12:17 17:9 27:24 112:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) hour (3) 47:10,17,18 49:6 30:21 12:15 231:6 happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 71:2,18,25 76:14 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 16:24 158:14,16 happens (1) 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 importantly (1) grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)	0 ,				
1:20 57:11,25 58:11 hoped (1) importance (3) ground (3) happen (1) 180:15 help (4) 93:5 hopefully (1) importance (3) 75:24 76:3 195:25 important (33) 11:21 11:22 hopefully (1) 145:17 hopefully (1) 11:1,16 44:24 45:2 145:17 hopefully (1) 47:10,17,18 49:6 16:18 155:14 hour (3) 141:17 143:20,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 71:2,18,25 76:14 79:17 86:16 108:7 79:17 86:16 108:7 10:8 11:5 139:5 158:16 10:210 10:21					100
ground (3) happen (1) help (4) 93:5 75:24 76:3 195:25 26:22 27:3 32:17 180:15 47:5 146:5 206:3 hopefully (1) important (33) grounds (4) happened (5) 211:22 hour (3) 21:11,16 44:24 45:2 12:17 17:9 27:24 112:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) hour (3) 47:10,17,18 49:6 30:21 121:15 231:6 16:18 155:14 141:17 143:20,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 79:17 86:16 108:7 116:24 158:14,16 169:8 193:24 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 174:12,15 188:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 important (33)	` ′				
26:22 27:3 32:17 180:15 47:5 146:5 206:3 hopefully (1) important (33) grounds (4) 12:17 17:9 27:24 112:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) 145:17 21:11,16 44:24 45:2 30:21 121:15 231:6 16:18 155:14 hour (3) 47:10,17,18 49:6 group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 71:2,18,25 76:14 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 169:8 193:24 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 116:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) 45:15 hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)		T			
grounds (4) happened (5) 211:22 145:17 21:11,16 44:24 45:2 12:17 17:9 27:24 112:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) 47:10,17,18 49:6 30:21 121:15 231:6 16:18 155:14 141:17 143:20,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 71:2,18,25 76:14 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 169:8 193:24 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 116:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) 45:15 hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)		happen (1)			75:24 76:3 195:25
grounds (4) happened (5) 211:22 145:17 21:11,16 44:24 45:2 12:17 17:9 27:24 112:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) hour (3) 47:10,17,18 49:6 30:21 12:15 231:6 16:18 155:14 141:17 143:20,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 71:2,18,25 76:14 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 169:8 193:24 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 116:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)	26:22 27:3 32:17	180:15	47:5 146:5 206:3	hopefully (1)	important (33)
12:17 17:9 27:24 112:12 114:17 117:2 helpful (2) hour (3) 47:10,17,18 49:6 30:21 12:15 231:6 16:18 155:14 141:17 143:20,25 51:20 69:8 70:13,25 group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 71:2,18,25 76:14 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 169:8 193:24 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 116:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)	grounds (4)	happened (5)	211:22		
30:21					
group (18) happenings (2) Herbert (1) HQ (1) 71:2,18,25 76:14 64:8 84:3,4 102:8 169:8 193:24 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 116:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)					
64:8 84:3,4 102:8 169:8 193:24 158:16 2:10 79:17 86:16 108:7 116:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The state of the s
116:24 158:14,16 happens (1) hereunto (1) huge (1) 110:8 111:5 139:5 166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)			, ,		
166:2 169:19 132:6 235:21 135:11 159:16 161:6 164:7 174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)	The state of the s				
174:12,15 188:19 happily (1) Hermaster (1) hump (1) 205:18,23 206:4,7 200:19 204:6,12 30:3 45:15 162:23 209:3,13 219:10 206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)					
200:19 204:6,12 206:7 217:7,14 grown (1) 30:3 45:15 HERRINGTON (2) 233:21 importantly (1)					
206:7 217:7,14 happy (2) HERRINGTON (2) hurting (1) 233:21 importantly (1)					
grown (1) 28:17 207:8 2:4,9 87:2 importantly (1)					
81:/		28:17 207:8	2:4,9	87:2	importantly (1)
	81:7				
					1

				Page 1
30:14	inform (1)	internal (3)	190:14	81:21 88:2 91:13
impose (1)	74:10	138:18 219:20 220:22	issue (39)	98:25 99:6,10,15
124:8	information (9)	internally (1)	9:5 16:16 31:19 57:18	100:2 105:6 125:25
imposes (1)	36:8,10 87:6 145:13	167:25	88:20 122:7,16	126:6,11 127:7
213:25	146:16 171:14,17	international (8)	123:2 125:4 132:5,7	128:24 129:7,11,17
impossible (1)	173:4 174:16	69:20 158:10,21,23	132:13,15 140:15	130:2 131:9,18,24
74:7	informed (2)	160:23 161:16,17	145:23 149:14,15	130:2 131:9,18,24
impression (1)	38:21 76:3	162:18	150:17,24 151:6,8	133:9,14,22 134:2
209:13	initial (3)	interpret (1)	150:17,24 151:0,8	134:14 135:17
	89:22 90:5,18	214:23	156:12 159:15,16	136:4,24 137:3,6,12
improper (2) 4:14 5:9	initially (1)	interpretation (2)	159:22 160:8,9,14	137:22 138:5
4.14 3.9 inclined (1)	117:3	150:23 224:13	161:6 164:7 181:20	139:22 143:2,6
148:9	initiated (1)	interpretations (1)	190:16 207:2 211:5	144:2,14 146:21
include (4)	116:23	151:11	220:8 234:6	151:14 152:6 156:7
96:7 145:25 150:5	injunction (17)	interrupt (3)	issued (6)	159:5 162:3,14
		50:4 57:17 220:7	4:15 29:6 141:6 152:2	202:19,21 203:5,14
212:22	7:2,4,5,16 8:3,8 9:21		208:8,22	202.19,21 203.3,14 203:18 204:5,10,19
included (3)	12:12 15:11,15,17	intervene (1)		
94:11 169:7,9	15:18,21 21:21 23:7	15:5	issues (16)	205:4 206:5,11 207:12,21 213:10
includes (1)	62:8 112:5	introduced (2)	20:20 29:19 31:4	*
35:20	inquire (1)	103:3 197:24	105:2,8,10 124:22	228:18 231:10,23
including (5)	146:19	invalid (3)	135:3 154:11	Jmak (9)
57:6 87:3 104:15	inside (3)	31:2 67:11 74:14	183:23 193:22	109:11,14,20 111:11 111:21 112:24
212:18 226:24	64:8 83:2 196:12	invalidity (1)	210:5 211:2 212:11	
inconsistent (1)	instance (14)	112:8	212:22 213:5	114:17 131:17,23
227:3	80:9 84:23 117:4	investment (1)	item (2)	job (3) 68:19 69:20 92:25
incorporating (1)	121:4 181:17,19,20	107:11	70:13 97:21	
54:12	183:24 193:25	investments (1)	items (19)	joining (1) 42:18
increase (3)	210:7,10,21 224:21	210:11	47:10 54:7 63:15 70:14 71:19 72:2	
86:16 101:24 202:12	225:7	investor (3)		joint (6) 165:25 176:17 179:8
increasing (1)	instructed (2)	48:6 53:17,18	75:24 76:4 97:7,20	
119:7	101:11,21	invite (2)	107:15,23 108:2,4,7 109:4 111:5 114:5	207:11 213:15 223:8
incumbency (5)	instructions (2)	32:22 147:6	114:11	judge (24)
199:17 219:21 221:2	101:4,10	invited (1)	IV (1)	7:24 8:21 9:4,6,25
229:4 231:7	intend (2)	37:5	1:5	11:3 13:24 14:8,14
incumbent (1)	33:25 119:3	invoke (1)	I.D (1)	15:19 17:11,22
83:6	intended (1) 34:2	21:6	236:13	18:12 20:18 21:17
independent (4)		invoked (1)	230.13	23:15 29:18 31:12
60:20 119:15,20,21	intent (1)	17:5	J	31:16 148:23 158:6
indicate (2)	37:10	involve (1)		161:12 162:17
4:2 203:7	intentionally (2)	157:16	January (6) 149:4,8,13 188:8	164:2
indicated (1)	78:4 128:8	involved (12)	′ ′	judging (1)
226:4	interest (7)	44:9 52:12 167:7	192:16 234:9	29:11
indication (2)	57:3 119:18 121:14	172:19,20 188:16	Jay (2) 2:6 41:5	judgment (3)
132:19 133:10	152:12,16 153:11	203:10 204:3,23		21:2 22:22 214:19
indirectly (1)	163:24	205:2 207:3 209:15	Jentes (123) 1:19 3:23 4:6 9:10	judicial (1)
235:18	interested (5)	involves (1)		153:12
individual (2)	141:12 156:11 159:24	148:21	10:8 11:12,21 14:17	
91:4 188:12	195:21 235:18	involving (1)	15:25 25:4,16,24	Julian (1) 158:11
individuals (1)	interesting (1)	51:13	26:3,8,25 27:9,23	July (4)
196:12	119:21	in-house (7)	28:6,9 30:16 32:6	
industrial (3)	interests (3)	92:22 165:16,23	35:19,24 37:19	106:23 107:6 128:12
48:7 53:18 171:9	84:15 117:24 118:8	166:22 167:2,20	39:11,17 50:3,7	128:16
ineffective (2)	interfere (1)	204:16	51:9,25 52:5,8,16	June (11)
4:13 29:25	24:2	IPO (9)	54:17 58:8 59:21	96:5,11 97:7,14 98:4
influence (3)	interim (1)	47:16 53:15 82:5	60:3,8,22 61:8	98:16,24 99:7 101:2
16:22 103:6 105:16	231:5	181:19 205:12,21	67:18,25 68:3,7,14	101:3 106:11
influenced (1)	intermediate (1)	205:25 206:3,6	68:18 69:10,21	JUNTES (1)
232:4	116:13	irrelevant (1)	72:25 73:12,15	50:18
			76:18 77:2,6,13	jurisdiction (18)
	1	1	1	1

7:9 8:11 12:18,25	knew (1)	103:2,6,17 104:24	123:6,12 124:2,7	230:25
	93:3	105.2,0,17 104.24		left (3)
17:5 18:19 19:23,24			125:5 145:10,15,19	
21:6 26:22 27:4	knot (2)	114:2 115:5,23	148:13 151:18,20	118:14 167:19 203:13
28:5,21,24 29:7	160:19 163:4	117:9 129:19 132:9	156:13,18,24,24	legal (13)
32:2 171:19 178:8	know (64)	132:12 134:16	157:8,17,20,23,24	9:16 11:7 13:20 23:20
jurisdictional (2)	5:16,19 8:12 10:24	135:2,4 136:9,13,22	158:9,25 159:8,15	51:4 74:19 75:6
31:22 150:16	44:23 52:4 55:15	137:11,16,24	160:9,10,13,20,21	109:16 120:17
jury (2)	56:7,10,15 60:9	138:22 139:2,14,24	160:23,25 161:2,8	167:20 226:13,15
31:15,17	61:4,8 65:25 75:5	140:3,7 159:12	161:16,21,23,24	232:7
	75:21,22 76:8 79:15	169:4 173:3 177:4	162:5,16,17,17,18	legislation (1)
K	79:19 83:23 84:22	178:18 179:13,24	164:10,11,15	49:3
K (4)	84:25 85:24 86:2,3	180:24 201:5 202:7	175:22 176:7,11,12	legislature (1)
2:6 165:2,2,2	87:5 92:9,24 93:6	202:13 208:9,23	176:16,19,21,22,25	158:2
Kare (1)	95:18 99:11,19	214:20,24 215:3,21	177:5,10,12,15,15	legitimate (1)
196:18	101:20,25 102:4	215:23 216:2	177:22 178:2,2,7,13	141:10
KAREN (1)	103:20 104:9,22,25	223:16	179:3,6,12,13,23	letter (39)
2:24	105:3,17 118:19	Kyivstar's (2)	180:7,12,17,23	25:17 30:17 53:24
keep (2)	124:6 137:12	83:2 140:20	181:13 183:22	54:22 55:3,20 73:18
15:11 38:4	140:18,21,23 144:8		186:3,5,7 189:23,24	74:4 75:18,21 76:8
keeping (2)	145:11 153:6	L	190:2,6,14,19 191:8	76:12,14 77:15 78:9
5:4 36:8	155:10 157:12	L (5)	205:6,18 207:5,6	78:9 92:21 94:6,8,8
KENNETH (1)	160:20,22 161:10	2:6 41:13 165:2	213:25 214:5,13	94:11,12,14,16,23
1:18	161:11 171:11,14	227:23 228:15	215:2,20,22 216:6	95:2,5,8,11,14
kept (1)	197:6 199:6 208:20	labeled (2)	216:14,15,23,25	125:7,11 168:21
36:6	213:17 220:13	59:6 128:4	222:5,24 223:7,14	202:25 203:5,6
key (3)	knowledge (13)	labor (2)	224:18 225:3	204:21 206:13
158:20,20 163:3	44:19 74:11 76:13	134:3 214:18	226:14,16,22 227:2	236:14
Khudyakov (5)	166:19 171:14	laboring (1)	232:10,10,13,17	letting (1)
192:10 194:7 197:16	173:7 175:15 178:4	89:21	laws (5)	202:12
198:7,16	193:22 201:13,18	lack (3)	59:9 135:21 176:2	let's (12)
Khudyakov's (1)	202:3 217:6	81:9 130:12 131:2	205:15 214:18	9:13 20:10,11 32:8
193:10	known (1)	ladder (1)	lawsuit (5)	75:16 77:21 87:9
Khudykov (1)	200:21	115:16	111:21 112:12,14,18	92:17 96:5 106:20
155:24	Konenko (1)	laid (2)	117:2	107:17 110:10
Khyudyakov (1)	57:9	12:20 34:2	lawsuits (2)	level (7)
192:3	Kosogov (3)	landed (2)	112:10,22	2:9 47:7,11 48:23
Kiev (5)	200:16,20,23	176:11,13	lawyer (7)	103:8 117:17
73:9 98:14,20 117:5	Kremlin (2)	language (6)	16:8 92:22 214:3,19	141:18
188:20	51:24 86:23	10:4 41:22 183:16	215:20,25 230:18	Lew's (2)
kind (22)	Kulikov (4)	197:23 220:8	lawyers (4)	158:11 161:18
37:24 80:19 122:16	73:19 76:21 111:22	227:25	7:20 59:23 176:23	liaise (1)
174:3 175:2 176:24	155:24	large (1)	233:18	168:2
181:21 183:23	Kyiv (2)	209:18	lay (4)	liaised (1)
193:18 202:9	104:23 117:18	larger (1)	8:24 26:18 157:6	203:22
204:16,17 205:2	Kyivstar (111)	21:18	189:6	licenses (1)
209:25 210:13	43:11 44:3,11 45:17	last-minute (3)	lead (2)	68:12
213:16 216:9	46:19,23 47:5,17,25	16:24 24:14,14	169:3 214:22	Lien (1)
219:12 224:12	48:13,19 49:11,11	late (3)	leading (3)	100:16
226:7,13 231:4	53:19 61:22 62:2,22	3:11 45:6 102:19	154:16 169:6 188:10	light (3)
kinds (4)	63:2,7 64:8,14,15	latest (1)	leads (1)	14:20 27:12 229:6
16:22 176:17 226:8	65:8,19 66:20 67:21	3:13	4:7	likelihood (1)
231:2	73:8 79:14,24 80:4	law (139)	learned (1)	21:20
	80:13,17,22 81:13	5:2 13:16 14:8,16,25	72:5	limit (1)
Kirill (2)	81:18 82:9,19 83:15		leave (5)	175:2
204:7,15	84:7,17,20 85:5,9	17:10 19:7 20:4,4	33:19,19 147:23,25	
kit (1)		26:12 30:2 31:2	159:14	limitation (2)
227:18	85:12,15,19 86:17	49:17 59:7 60:15,19		14:21 200:7
Klymenko (3)	86:19 87:2,6,8	60:20,21 67:15	led (1)	limitations (1)
125:9,13 236:16	88:17 92:5,22 93:5	100:4 117:6 121:5	8:19	18:23
	98:6,7,22 102:20	121:23 122:7,17	leeway (1)	limited (1)
	•	-	-	•

				1 490 1.
226:24	2:10 22:2 207:5	168:1,8,13 169:1	maintain (1)	MAYSIE (1)
line (3)		170:1 171:1 172:1	22:3	2:25
31:24 140:14 200:10	London/City (1)			
	2:11	173:1 174:1 175:1	major (4)	mean (29)
link (1)	long (8)	176:1 177:1 178:1	43:4 58:20 83:2,4	20:7 36:13 44:4 57:17
34:6	24:11 62:25 103:12	179:1,21 180:1	majority (11)	64:21 94:22 104:11
list (5)	143:16,22 146:16	181:1 182:1 183:1	85:4 169:4 170:8,13	117:11 120:20
138:25 212:10,23	146:19 158:15	183:13 184:1 185:1	209:20 210:21,25	121:6 124:3 131:13
213:11,12	longer (1)	185:7,21 186:1	211:6 212:11,15	140:2,4 143:3
listed (3)	40:7	187:1 188:1,9 189:1	225:22	151:23 153:10
43:17 136:25 137:10	look (23)	190:1 191:1 192:1	making (9)	154:10,23 161:5
listing (4)	44:7 80:9 92:18 99:25	193:1 194:1,24	14:6 18:9 38:21 64:14	163:15 176:14,17
137:4,7 138:7 146:2	155:16 159:18	195:1 196:1,16	103:25 135:9	177:8 179:22
literally (1)	170:21 175:16,21	197:1 198:1 199:1	172:18 197:2 224:6	210:17 220:10
24:14	182:6,22 191:11,25	200:1 201:1 202:1	management (3)	230:9,11
litigate (1)	192:7 194:17	202:15,17 203:1	69:12 108:20 134:8	meaning (2)
15:16	197:12 199:19	204:1 205:1 206:1	manager (4)	29:20 86:22
litigated (1)	200:10 211:9	207:1 208:1,2 209:1	77:12 199:11 230:22	meaningful (2)
163:19	214:11 227:6,24	210:1 211:1 212:1,2	230:25	120:19 163:21
litigation (3)	234:2	213:1 214:1 215:1	mandatorily (1)	meaningless (1)
112:3 116:23 122:25	looked (1)	216:1 217:1 218:1	216:10	163:17
litigations (2)	95:13	219:1 220:1 221:1	manifest (1)	means (3)
17:3,19	looking (22)	222:1 223:1 224:1	17:9	31:2 81:15 173:6
little (10)	54:18,19 70:18 98:23	225:1 226:1 227:1	manifestly (2)	meant (1)
42:3 97:22 130:2	109:25 123:3	227:13 228:1 229:1	14:7,15	27:19
135:2,19 160:11	133:13,14 155:11	229:13 230:1 231:1	March (12)	measure (1)
164:13 196:16	155:12 170:17	232:1 233:1 234:1	65:11 70:6 72:6 74:23	232:18
205:8 229:5	175:7 182:11,12	236:9	76:22 77:9 78:12	mechanism (1)
live (4)	183:8,17 184:7	Lykke's (1)	79:10,13 96:19	207:9
31:19 39:18 41:20,21	185:20 190:13	185:18	104:4,21	meet (2)
lively (1)	193:17 207:25	Lynch (18)	marked (1)	38:6 150:21
24:8	224:4	7:24 9:4,6,25 13:24	125:14	meeting (137)
LLC (2)	looks (1)	14:14 15:19 18:13	market (4)	44:24,24 45:2,4,5,6,8
1:6 3:7	104:3	20:18 21:17 23:15	69:20 84:23 171:11	45:10,13,21,22
LLP (2)	lose (2)	31:13,16 148:23	205:20	46:15,16,25 47:11
2:4,9	22:19 66:5	158:6 161:12	marketing (5)	47:14 49:4 50:16
loan (1)	losing (1)	162:17 164:2	69:3 70:11 80:8	53:7,9,12,23 54:8
89:25	25:22	Lynch's (3)	130:11,16	55:17 59:3,22 60:2
	lost (3)	8:21 11:3 17:11	marriage (1)	60:4,6,7 61:21
local (2) 171:16 183:23	18:20 142:23 163:2	Lytovchenko (7)	235:17	62:11 63:7,22 65:6
	lot (14)	65:18 66:2,21 67:3,19		65:16 70:3,3,8 71:3
locate (4)	52:12 68:22 70:13	92:5 109:5	material (11)	71:19,21 72:6,9,10
94:14,24 95:15 96:3	79:23 85:2,9 120:6	L-Y-T-O-C-H-E-N	229:14,18,22,24,25 230:10,15,24	72:17,19,22 73:2,5
located (1)	130:7 134:6 135:9	67:2	230:10,15,24 231:12 232:11,19	73:24 74:2,23,25
98:12	147:9 173:3 213:18	07.2	-	75:24 74:2,25,25
location (1)	227:25		materiality (1)	
126:21	LOVELLS (1)	M (6)	196:5	76:19,22,24 77:9 78:21 79:5,8,9,11
locations (1)	2:17	41:13 45:19 47:7	materials (1)	79:13 87:21,23,25
63:22	loyalty (4)	60:14 165:24	156:22	88:13,16 90:10,25
logistic (1)		204:15	matter (17)	88:13,16 90:10,25 91:3,6 93:7,10,13
73:10	93:5 109:24 110:3	machine (1)	1:2 3:4 31:2 117:6	
logistical (1)	131:2		118:12 121:14	93:16,21 96:11 97:3
144:5	lunch (1)	135:8 Madiaan (1)	124:8 158:9 162:15	97:6,7,8,13 98:4,22
logistics (1)	145:6	Madison (1)	166:8,13 171:20	98:24 99:3,6,17,23
39:20	Luncheon (1)	2:17	194:2 196:19	100:3 101:12,23,24
Logush (3)	144:18	Magnus (1)	224:25 233:7	104:16 107:3,6,8,10
4:20 7:17 8:7	Lykka (1)	155:23	235:18	107:22 108:5,6,12
Logush's (1)	232:9	mail (1)	matters (7)	108:16 110:7
10:14	Lykke (90)	127:18	5:18 103:4 149:21	111:18 113:6,10,12
London (3)	40:2 164:21,22 165:9	main (2)	167:25 189:15	113:12,24 114:2,4
	165:11 166:1 167:1	29:23 53:15	193:23 213:2	114:24 126:21,22
	1	1	1	1

1110110101		l	1	1
114:24 126:21,22	met (3)	44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1	14:6 17:7 26:5,7,16	needs (3)
127:13 128:12,15	31:15 64:4 204:8	48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1	28:3 32:14,17 34:9	80:20 157:6 231:18
128:17,20 129:3,23	methodology (1)	52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1	37:17 40:19	negative (1)
131:16 132:17	161:2	56:1 57:1 58:1,14	motions (1)	232:6
133:19 134:11	microeconomics (1)	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1	33:13	negotiate (1)
181:18 194:13,13	42:8	63:1 64:1 65:1,7	motivation (1)	213:6
219:24	middle (2)	66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1	86:16	negotiated (8)
meetings (53)	149:4,8	70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1	move (3)	172:4 208:13 210:5
63:12,17,18 64:5	Mikhail (10)	74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1	34:7 126:25 233:25	213:11 217:9 223:6
65:10,21 67:5 73:14	45:23,25 46:17 51:20	78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1	moving (1)	225:20 229:15
76:5 78:11 79:16,20	52:21 53:13 62:13	82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1	144:11	negotiating (4)
85:23 88:7 91:9	63:25 106:12,13	86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1	MTS (1)	106:5 169:13,19
93:2 96:9,13,20	million (5)	90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1	83:11	177:13
97:5,9 98:9,16	81:8 82:7,12,13 85:11	94:1 95:1 96:1,5	Musoff (24)	negotiation (7)
102:21 104:14	mind (2)	97:1 98:1 99:1	2:6 41:5,7,19 54:12	61:18 86:7 166:23
107:5 108:9 109:21	34:20 153:5	100:1,13 101:1	54:23 56:16 58:10	167:8 206:20
111:12 112:6,9		102:1 103:1 104:1		
	minimum (1)		61:19 64:19 66:25	212:25 213:13
113:15,18,19,21	214:17	105:1 106:1 107:1	70:20 77:25 78:24	negotiations (20)
115:6,25 116:10,14	minister (5)	108:1 109:1 110:1	89:18 91:7,17 94:13	167:15,16,17,18
124:6 126:15,21	42:23 62:10,11,12	111:1 112:1 113:1	99:8 110:17,21	169:16 173:12,22
127:10 129:22	142:9	114:1 115:1 116:1	128:7 134:12 236:6	174:18,25 176:6
131:10 203:21,25	ministerial (1)	117:1 118:1 119:1		177:25 178:7
204:2 225:10,10,16	95:20	120:1 121:1 122:1	N	188:10 192:24
225:17,25	ministers (2)	123:1 124:1 125:1	N (7)	197:9 203:13,19
member (9)	141:19 142:7	126:1 127:1 128:1,2	2:2 41:13,13 145:2,2	204:4 226:5,6
18:17 44:11 45:16	minister's (1)	129:1 130:1 131:1	145:2 236:3	negotiator (3)
62:22 63:2,3 65:8	142:10	132:1 133:1 134:1	name (6)	192:11 203:10 204:18
109:23 129:15	Ministry (1)	135:1 136:1 137:1	46:7 66:19,23 80:10	network (1)
members (20)	42:21	138:1 139:1 140:1	110:13 165:10	107:8
3:10 18:4 23:24 25:15		141:1 142:1 143:1		never (10)
41:7 63:21 65:9	minority (2)	144:1 145:1 236:5	named (5)	
	169:5 209:18		58:18 109:11 112:20	20:18 49:25 118:22
87:13,18 88:25	minute (3)	moment (5)	113:3 188:12	160:5,14 162:11
91:21 101:22 114:9	30:8 89:10 184:22	121:10 182:6 194:23	narrow (2)	173:18 174:22
119:15,20,22 120:5	minutes (2)	221:23 223:12	26:22 27:3	190:15 207:14
121:6 210:18 234:5	40:21 78:20	momentarily (1)	NASDAQ (5)	new (107)
mention (4)	misapprehension (1)	153:20	43:17 137:5,18,20	1:10,10 2:5,5,18,18
67:9 121:24 136:7	89:21	Monday (1)	138:7	7:19 13:8 19:4,6
200:20	misheard (1)	165:13	native (2)	20:3,4 22:4 23:13
mentioned (10)	152:24	money (10)	41:22 68:5	26:12 27:17 34:5
44:2 45:20 69:11 85:8	misleading (1)	71:13 81:16 82:3	natural (2)	35:10 51:7,7 53:21
85:10 97:12 134:24	30:6	88:17,19 135:6,8,9	135:12 176:24	58:16 59:10,18 66:5
171:22 193:25	missed (1)	135:10,14	nature (1)	72:8,10 96:12,13,15
209:7	15:4	month (1)	146:8	97:14 98:3 107:23
merely (2)	MIT (1)	118:24	necessarily (2)	108:2 114:9 119:5
14:11 38:2	42:7	months (5)	36:12 230:8	123:25 128:17
merger (1)		5:24 38:15 46:16		136:15 143:3
64:13	mobile (35)	145:12 164:4	necessary (4)	151:20 156:18
meritorious (1)	1:3 3:6 4:24 5:9,14		40:7 123:23 223:16	
` ,	6:13 7:6,7,9,11,12	morning (6)	229:7	157:16,19 158:3
24:16	7:14,16 8:10,16	3:2,9,15,16,19 234:7	need (15)	160:9,13 161:9,21
merits (23)	15:2,14 28:23 33:3	morning's (1)	31:24 47:10 81:2	161:22,23 162:8,12
12:10,17 15:16 19:14	45:17 46:20 68:12	162:22	87:20,22,24,25	162:15 163:10,12
20:24 24:12 31:12	69:18 71:17 78:10	Moscow (21)	144:12 148:14	163:14,20 167:22
31:21 32:3,21,23	80:10 83:5,7,11	44:24 45:4 46:15,25	157:4 159:9,17	169:9 176:2,12,19
33:5,17 34:21,23,25	86:19 100:15	50:16 52:19,24 53:4	160:15 198:13	176:22 177:13,14
35:9,15 36:25 39:14	103:11 105:24	53:6,7 59:23 61:11	231:4	177:22 178:2,8
118:10 156:15	130:21 166:3	64:15 73:8 86:21	needed (8)	179:3,12 180:7,9,12
157:8	Moland (112)	103:16 188:19,21	135:6 189:12,16	183:22 186:7
message (1)	39:24 41:20 42:1 43:1	188:22,23 200:15	190:19 194:12	187:12,23 188:2,5
46:17	37.21 11.20 72.1 73.1	motion (11)	196:2 210:3 229:19	192:14,20 194:12
	I	l `´	170.2 210.3 227.17	

		 I		
194:13,15 197:23	notebook (1)	obstruct (1)	211:15 221:18	4:20 7:18 8:8 10:15
205:15,19 206:16	127:11	49:24	222:12 223:18	13:18 17:11,13 29:6
206:23 207:5,18	noted (2)	obtain (4)	227:21	29:10 85:21 146:21
224:6 230:6,19,19	125:21 234:14	48:18,20 229:8	old (7)	156:12 224:4
230:20 231:4,4,7	notice (11)	231:19	2:10 108:2 133:6,8	opinions (1)
232:3,10,13,16	18:15 29:12,23 30:12	obtained (4)	171:21 187:16	23:18
235:4,5,11	72:16,19 78:17	68:12 85:3 120:22	230:22	opportunity (5)
night (2)	99:16 113:2 131:9	231:7	Oleksiy (3)	18:3 19:2 44:14
3:12 227:20	228:3	obvious (3)	197:22 198:2,2	147:13,23
Nilov (9)	notify (1)	101:19 152:15 224:13	oligarch (2)	oppose (4)
57:9 198:20,21	78:10	obviously (8)	83:22 86:22	102:24 147:15,18,18
199:25 201:15,20	notionally (1)	37:18 142:17 147:25	oligarchs (1)	opposed (3)
228:23 230:11,21	164:3	148:3 160:18 161:6	51:21	4:4 153:13,14
Nilov's (3)	November (15)	161:9 231:3	omitted (1)	opposite (1)
199:14 201:25 229:9	25:17,22 26:4 30:17	occasion (7)	128:8	13:25
nine (1)	35:22 91:10 110:12	89:12,24 90:18,23	omnibus (1)	opposition (2)
212:16	111:7,8 113:11	111:17 148:4	146:11	34:9 42:24
Nobody's (1)	118:25 131:17,18	164:11	once (9)	optimal (1)
			20:20 37:8 63:11	
114:22	131:20,22	occasions (1) 5:23		81:19
nominal (1)	null (2)		143:10 146:13	option (1)
111:23	13:19,22	occur (1)	156:25 163:5,12	20:7
nominated (3)	nullity (1)	84:20	204:8	orally (1)
65:9 68:7 172:19	23:3	occurred (1)	ones (2)	34:24
nonattendance (1)	number (15)	59:23	17:4 175:3	order (49)
96:13	5:12 45:17 51:18	October (8)	one's (1)	4:9,13 7:12 8:22
noncash (1)	82:11,20,24 154:25	12:23 13:3 26:11,21	191:22	10:21 11:14 12:23
22:3	187:15 191:19	27:25 32:15 108:4	one-day (1)	13:10 15:10 18:11
noncompete (16)	204:2 208:13,16	110:19	73:4	18:24 20:14,21 22:5
84:8 170:25 171:6,10	210:2 224:22	offer (2)	one-on-one (2)	22:11,15 23:22 25:8
171:15,21 172:3,16	228:13	5:15 34:24	53:9,11	25:14,23 26:13 27:6
173:2,13,23 174:19	numbered (4)	office (2)	open (9)	27:13 32:15 35:14
175:2,14 186:15,19	76:20 150:7 154:3,19	98:13 191:4	36:6,9 37:17 38:4	115:2,4,8,18 118:14
nonparticipation (1)	numbers (1)	officer (3)	57:25 80:15 146:7,9	141:23 145:22
155:15	168:11	57:8 68:25 69:5	147:23	149:15 150:25
nonshareholder (1)		offices (2)	opening (2)	160:7 171:13,16
120:5	0	80:16 98:11	147:5 195:22	183:25 187:19
non-Ukrainan (2)	O (6)	official (1)	operates (1)	189:10 190:20
23:14 134:7	1:17 41:13,13 145:2,2	102:7	7:6	191:8 194:14
Normally (1)	145:2	officials (3)	operating (2)	209:23 210:3
171:8	object (1)	217:22 218:10 221:7	49:10 68:20	223:15 224:8
Norway (6)	175:13	Oh (10)	operation (5)	233:15 234:7
34:6 41:21 42:22	objecting (1)	52:5 63:8,23,23 76:6	49:13 81:13 105:13	orderly (1)
62:10 139:25	125:19	77:10 85:7,7 107:25	107:8 130:8	147:10
141:19	objection (5)	139:8	operational (2)	orders (14)
Norway's (1)	39:5 125:6,24 127:3	oil (2)	80:3 103:5	3:24 4:3 16:3,4,15
43:4	177:17	52:13,14	operationally (1)	17:21 19:18 23:2,10
Norwegian (6)	obligated (6)	okay (40)	80:3	23:12 115:13
41:23 62:20 69:17	218:23 219:7 224:5	4:6 11:21 27:23 32:6	operations (8)	118:16 146:3
142:3,8 181:25	224:19 225:9,14	39:11 46:13 52:16	44:10 48:10 49:24	163:17
Norwegians (2)	obligates (1)	60:8,22 69:21 73:15	53:19 69:18 80:7	ordinarily (1)
69:13,16	123:13	77:13 78:2,25 92:3	139:7 215:7	171:15
noses (1)	obligation (4)	96:4 107:13 129:17	operator (4)	ordinary (1)
22:20	78:14 151:5 214:20	137:22 138:5	82:20 83:8,11 86:20	70:10
Notary (3)	226:15	141:15 149:18	operators (2)	organization (2)
41:15 165:4 235:10	obligations (2)	150:4,15 170:21	71:17 80:11	71:15 81:4
note (8)	122:14 157:20	171:3 183:5,7	opine (1)	original (1)
5:22 32:24 125:16	obliged (1)	184:19 185:16	14:24	76:12
155:18,25 157:12	180:11	186:2 189:4 192:20	opinion (13)	originally (2)
157:14 158:13	*****	202:20 207:21	(1 0)	177:3 196:25
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
-				

Ouriels (4)	122.15 160.22	nauticinantia (3)	nout time (2)	nomana (2)
Orrick (4)	133:15 169:23 170:21 175:16	participant's (3)	part-time (2)	persons (3)
2:4,9,24,25		56:24 57:6,14	42:14 43:9	68:23 168:2 189:12
Oslo (4)	182:23 183:3,4,12	participate (11)	passed (2)	persuade (1)
42:6 73:6 143:8	192:8 205:5 211:25	29:17 32:22 88:25	162:17 227:14	109:6
188:20	222:8 227:7 236:4	89:14 90:20 92:6	path (1)	persuaded (1)
ought (5)	pages (1)	93:2 112:10 114:14	23:21	92:6
23:19 24:23 119:4	16:10	122:23 147:15	Paul (1)	Peter (5)
126:2 155:10	paging (1)	participated (6)	100:16	2:12 46:3 51:19
outdated (1)	212:6	5:18 90:2 104:8,14	pause (1)	168:17 203:23
193:24	paid (3)	131:17 203:21	91:16	ph (1)
outset (1)	80:18,21 130:9	participating (5)	pay (1)	45:15
16:2	panel (34)	34:21 118:5 129:22	136:17	phone (4)
outside (11)	3:10,12 4:4 16:5 17:6	148:3 164:4	paying (1)	39:20 62:9 80:10
21:25 105:5,6,7,11,12	20:24 24:4,10,21	participation (2)	119:8	130:21
140:25 167:14,22	25:11 32:9 33:18	24:20 33:9	payouts (1)	physical (1)
179:13 198:3	34:13 37:25 38:2	particular (16)	71:10	91:3
outstanding (3)	41:8 50:8 85:9	56:22 122:25 123:20	penetration (1)	physically (1)
25:7 208:8,22	126:12 141:25	130:14 152:14	82:19	34:5
overall (1)	143:23 148:10	153:4 166:3 169:24	people (15)	picking (1)
204:11	153:8 154:17 157:6	170:14 171:19	22:12 50:13 51:11	11:2
204:11 overriding (1)	159:25 168:9 170:3	176:14 171:19		
182:2	197:14 202:18	181:15 213:5,19,24	69:11 73:5,7,9 99:2	Pieter (9)
			106:8 130:14 136:2	2:19 3:11,20 11:24
overruled (1)	227:13 233:5,24	particularly (4)	159:12 173:8	13:24 19:16 29:5
210:19	234:5	17:20 119:10 135:21	207:15,16	34:19,21
overturn (2)	panel's (2)	145:9	percent (23)	Piper (1)
5:6 9:7	17:12 22:15	parties (47)	47:3 48:3,14,21,23	165:15
overturned (2)	paper (1)	4:4 8:4,5 19:7,12 20:8	49:2,5,17,21 50:22	pitch (1)
116:4 117:16	43:4	21:4,12 33:10 47:22	56:12 57:3 61:22	18:20
owned (6)	papers (6)	53:16 59:14 60:21	62:15,18 64:11	place (18)
62:17 83:8,10,20	33:20 35:4 66:24	66:11 158:24	83:10 86:8 169:5	3:8 15:12 56:14 66:11
86:12 87:7	147:20,24 234:3	160:12,21 169:10	208:7,20,21 209:4	91:10 98:21 118:16
owner (3)	paragraph (11)	170:18 174:3	percentage (5)	160:6 161:2 162:6,8
83:24 131:5 209:20	58:18 59:11 74:5 94:5	178:10,15 180:3,3,4	48:17 49:20 213:17	162:12 188:7,7
owners (2)	94:5 154:25 189:19	180:11,15,20 181:7	215:7,10	189:11 195:10
135:13 223:21	192:7,19 208:4,10	183:19,22,24 184:4	period (9)	206:16,24
ownership (2)	paragraphs (3)	186:6 187:21 207:8	68:25 79:19 115:12	places (1)
172:11 202:13	150:8 154:3,20	209:24 210:24	116:3,7 151:6,17	40:16
o'clock (2)	pardon (1)	222:21 224:5,9,14	152:10,14	plagued (2)
142:25 143:8	221:13	224:18,24 225:2	permanent (2)	16:20 36:23
O'Driscoll (18)	parents (1)	230:18 235:17	15:18 233:9	plaintiff (1)
2:12 90:3,21 112:13	23:9	partly (3)	permission (1)	111:24
112:19,23 127:20	parsed (1)	83:8,20 188:14	41:4	plaintiffs (1)
142:22 143:4,7	10:3	partner (8)	permitted (1)	21:14
153:19 168:18	part (20)	46:2 48:7,16 49:10	226:22	
	8:2 18:5 21:18 75:7	69:18 158:14		plan (8)
182:18,20,25	101:20 105:13	171:16 203:24	permitting (1) 129:23	23:3 39:18 70:15 71:7
186:24 203:23				108:11 132:21,22
220:6	106:18 119:3	partners (4)	persisted (1)	133:23
O'Driscoll's (1)	127:22 132:8 134:7	46:20 51:18 171:10	116:18	planned (1)
202:24	138:15 141:13	171:18	person (8)	84:23
	177:20 178:16	partnership (1)	39:20 60:14 66:19	planning (2)
<u>P</u>	184:3 185:12	200:22	69:2,3,4 110:14	154:12 157:10
P (2)	195:14 203:24	parts (1)	174:23	plans (3)
2:2,2	225:13	169:22	personal (1)	11:11 23:4 173:5
package (1)	parte (1)	party (15)	44:18	player (1)
187:12	30:2	6:20 7:13,15,16 22:17	personally (2)	171:9
page (21)	partial (3)	112:20 113:3 148:2	64:3 148:14	pleading (1)
55:4 58:3,5 59:5	27:6 83:23 154:16	157:22 158:19	personnel (2)	154:13
70:10,20 71:3	participants (3)	174:10 179:14	167:14 203:22	please (10)
	194:13 219:23 221:7	183:23 193:4 216:2		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				<u> </u>
42:4 48:16 164:23	155:24	43:7,10 65:19 66:19	226:23	71:15
169:24 177:2	post (2)	67:22,23 68:8,16	pro (1)	producer (1)
184:20 189:18	89:22 90:18	92:5,10 106:12	58:24	43:4
191:12 200:11	post-evidentiary (1)	109:5,17	probably (1)	Professional (1)
226:10	157:5	presigning (1)	197:5	235:9
pleasure (4)	post-hearing (3)	220:10	problem (10)	Professor (3)
39:23 142:18,20	145:8 146:15 148:12	press (3)	22:24,24 88:3 129:19	7:17 8:7 10:14
149:3	post-signing (1)	141:6 148:15 201:19	135:5 201:2,11,25	prohibits (2)
plus (1)	220:9	pressure (3)	222:24 227:11	4:17 23:8
142:18	post-trial (1)	92:25 93:6 197:7	problems (5)	promoting (1)
point (41)	148:11	presumably (1)	134:6 136:22 137:15	31:6
4:8 8:20 11:3,12 12:7	potential (2)	189:23	137:20 224:20	prompt (1)
24:9 29:23 34:7	142:2 205:21	pretending (1)	procedural (3)	152:16
37:15 39:18 47:17	power (1)	21:13	112:15 115:10 160:25	promptly (2)
55:14 71:3 74:12	164:5	pretty (1)	procedurally (1)	153:8 234:6
81:20 103:19,25	practical (1)	37:22	4:23	pronounced (1)
122:20 130:23	99:14	prevail (1)	procedure (4)	109:13
154:13,13 156:18	practicalities (1)	20:11	29:25 59:2 72:8	proof (1)
157:14 158:4,8,18	192:13	prevent (6)	118:12	33:6
159:6 160:21	practice (3)	80:4 87:13 111:12	procedures (2)	proper (3)
161:19,25 172:2,16	106:8 165:14 217:19	112:6 116:24	136:10 216:14	4:22 19:20 143:13
177:25 178:20	practices (1)	124:17	proceed (16)	properly (1)
179:16 182:5,24	141:12	prevented (1)	23:13 24:19,24 25:9	95:21
197:4 211:21 219:6	precisely (1)	49:15	25:11 32:20 33:25	proposal (2)
231:3	163:20	preventing (2)	34:2,16 37:2,5,6	103:20 120:3
pointed (1)	preclude (1)	113:17 115:5	39:14 40:8 41:2	proposals (1)
20:18	88:16	prevents (2)	129:9	63:14
pointing (1)	precondition (1)	23:22,23	proceeded (1)	propose (5)
37:20	209:14	previous (3)	226:17	3:15 11:23,24 12:2
points (9)	pregnancy (1)	154:15 185:19 194:20	proceeding (11)	119:14
14:2 22:7 44:25 47:18	40:5	previously (1)	9:19,23 11:17 12:10	proposed (14)
54:7 58:2,20,20	prehearing (4)	166:4	19:10 28:10 29:17	91:7 114:11 118:19
76:14	35:20,21 153:23	price (1)	106:20 147:22	126:22 150:6,9
policies (1)	154:7	138:9	148:23 166:5	153:25 154:4,18,21
96:19	10		proceedings (67)	155:3 177:19
policy (2)	preliminary (5)	primacy (1) 180:20	3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1,25	195:19 217:11
42:23 71:16	15:11,15,21 21:21 161:13		8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1	
polling (1)		primarily (1)	12:1 13:1 14:1,19	protect (1)
228:4	premarked (4)	167:18		171:13
	168:8,13 188:25	prime (8)		protection (2)
position (26)	191:11	42:23 62:10,10,12	18:12,14 19:1 20:1	170:9 209:19
3:13 8:16 9:25 30:25	prepared (6)	141:18 142:6,9,10	21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1	protocol (12)
31:7 34:23 35:3	25:3 36:25 37:8 60:25	principal (3)	25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1	70:2 96:10,23,25
38:11,18,23,24	120:21 233:25	45:21 100:8 203:10	29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1	100:2,12,14 107:20
42:14 43:8 47:24	present (15)	principals (3)	33:1,19 34:1 35:1	110:19 111:3 113:4
48:2,11 49:23 67:10	2:23 17:25 33:4 34:4	45:14,21 55:17	36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1	113:8
96:20 115:24	34:5 38:13 39:24	principle (3)	40:1 41:1 146:1	protocols (4)
121:17 147:14	40:17 59:24 81:25	157:21 158:21 216:20	147:1 148:1 149:1	106:24 110:11,22
155:17 156:17	93:24 94:2 100:9	prior (7)	150:1 151:1 152:1	113:6
190:12 192:23	138:23 233:24	35:14 42:17 44:13	153:1 154:1,15	prove (2)
positions (3)	presentation (1)	46:16 157:17	155:1 156:1 157:1	65:21 66:6
42:16 52:14 204:11	21:10	165:15 220:18	158:1 159:1 160:1	proved (1)
positive (3)	presented (2)	priority (2)	161:1 162:1 163:1	136:15
46:21 102:22 232:5	37:9,12	143:13 181:2	164:1 165:1 235:12	provide (5)
possibility (3)	presenting (1)	private (2)	235:15	56:19 69:12 145:13
47:16 48:13 205:24	35:10	165:14 209:25	process (9)	146:11 147:10
possible (5)	preserve (2)	privately (1)	19:2 22:13 35:17 56:2	provided (3)
18:2 48:3 110:8 209:2	224:8 225:3	201:24	113:2 169:2,21	4:25 30:4 56:25
223:20	president (13)	privileges (1)	219:20 220:22	provides (1)
possibly (1)			procurement (1)	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	1

163:11	31:9,19,23 34:19	58:24	166:4 175:5 176:20	reference (5)
providing (2)	59:22 74:19,19	ratification (2)	189:2 192:5 207:2,6	25:14 54:13 77:16
59:17 149:20	91:19 121:16	217:8 220:9	receive (2)	94:6 222:17
provision (19)	127:15 134:14	ratified (3)	112:25 173:3	referenced (1)
122:15 123:10 171:6	139:17 140:25	217:7 219:23,24	received (6)	111:25
171:16 172:3 175:6	141:16 146:18	ratify (3)	37:25 125:17 127:5	referencing (1)
184:6 186:16,19	147:21 148:7 160:5	217:16 218:13 221:8	127:17 199:16	78:6
193:13 211:21	160:11,17 178:22	reach (3)	219:10	referred (8)
213:25 215:18,22	179:6 180:18	82:13 159:13 160:8	receiving (2)	54:4 56:23 57:13,24
216:21,22,23,25	189:11 190:6,14	reached (3)	189:2 192:5	193:5 199:23
224:3	194:20,24 196:13	146:14 159:11 194:4	recess (5)	219:20 227:10
provisions (13)	199:3 212:10	reaching (1)	24:24 32:12 39:13	referring (12)
6:18 121:19 122:3	213:21 215:22	122:16	40:24 144:18	41:9 44:23 45:5 53:8
123:11,11,14,24	217:5 218:15	read (13)	recognition (1)	58:12 74:4 94:3
124:2,17 175:14	225:19 229:19,22	9:11 11:3 12:24,24	26:12	99:8 100:18 105:10
187:4 194:5,11	questioned (2)	58:19 156:21	recognize (1)	115:19 131:20
public (4)	209:8 227:12	166:11 189:4,17	13:15	reflect (7)
41:15 119:18 165:4	questioning (2)	192:17 194:19,22	recollect (1)	49:9 79:3 93:20
235:10	130:25 140:14	197:20	209:4	170:17 175:24
publicly (1)	questions (15)	readily (1)	recollection (3)	199:25 200:13
201:24	30:4,6 84:6 87:10	176:15	44:19 76:17 112:23	reflected (8)
pulling (1)	120:25 126:13	reading (2)	reconsider (6)	55:18 73:17 100:13
147:10	128:23 140:12	25:12 55:8	26:10 27:12,24 28:2	107:19 128:10,11
purchase (1)	143:21,23 162:14	reads (1)	32:15 147:14	129:4 226:19
59:15	202:18 207:22	226:20	reconsideration (2)	reflecting (2)
purely (3)	221:24 222:4	ready (7)	26:7,20	53:22 193:2
121:21 122:6 125:4	quick (1)	24:17 32:20 39:25	reconsidered (2)	reflection (1)
purpose (14)	120:24	143:14 164:17	118:14 226:12	66:9
13:9 46:14 84:12,13	quite (7)	197:25 198:11	reconstruct (1)	reflects (4)
98:2 168:25 170:4,6	64:9,10 79:23 176:11	real (6)	95:24	55:10 111:3 113:5
174:11 179:11	212:25 215:10	21:9,10,11 88:2	reconvene (1)	177:14
183:16 186:14	218:14	163:19 195:25	12:7	refusal (2)
189:7 190:2	quorum (12)	reality (1)	record (45)	116:17 226:25
purposes (2)	59:2,3 71:22 88:3,6	58:20	13:5 16:7 17:25 31:10	refused (1)
8:23 99:14	93:8 97:11 107:14	really (17)	31:13 34:8,13 36:3	85:21
pursuant (3)	110:8 111:4 113:22	8:9,12,23 12:18,19	36:5,9,12,13 37:13	regard (7)
146:13 181:8 206:15	114:12	78:17 85:2 105:15	37:17 38:23 40:19	23:2 50:4 77:21
pursue (1)	quotation (1)	126:2 144:12	41:2 56:18 57:10	124:10,12 180:23
135:18	158:19	147:24 157:6 164:8	89:17 90:10,14,14	206:11
pursuing (2)	quote (4)	187:13 190:22	91:4 93:20 119:4	regarded (5)
22:8 120:8	6:20 30:23,24 200:2	209:4 229:11	125:23 127:2	48:5,6 57:22 60:19
put (10)		Realtime (1)	137:14 144:16,16	124:15
16:18 38:16 51:22	R	235:8	144:17 145:4	regarding (3)
85:25 104:2 147:20	R (9)	reason (14)	147:12 149:23	5:3 127:13 228:3
171:7 196:4 197:7	1:17,18,19 2:2 41:13	6:8 23:20 37:16 43:18	155:19,25 157:12	regime (1)
201:19	145:2 165:2,2 235:3	74:3,6,8,11 99:21	164:14 165:10	187:23
putting (3)	raise (2)	102:5,11 141:11	194:22 228:20	register (1)
93:6 94:19 195:22	22:18 164:23	172:22 225:7	232:23,24 235:15	214:15
puzzled (2)	raised (3)	reasonable (1)	record's (1)	registered (5)
159:18,19	16:2 24:6 190:15	196:5	6:7	152:3,4 191:3 215:13
p.m (3)	raises (1)	reasons (9)	redemption (1)	235:9
144:18 145:3 234:14	162:13	25:2 27:5 29:9 30:12	226:24	registration (1)
	raising (1)	37:7 73:10 119:16	redistributed (1)	205:14
Q	140:25	152:15 205:19	233:15	regulations (2)
qualification (1)	range (1)	rebuttal (1)	reduced (1)	43:18 80:5
200:7	143:20	38:18	20:25	regulatory (1)
question (44)	ranking (1) 138:25	recall (15)	refer (4)	140:5
13:24 14:24 15:25		24:8 53:8 56:17 61:15	78:12 106:10 157:18	rehearse (2)
20:10 29:5 30:11	rata (1)	67:6 91:3,24 154:14	161:18	
L				

				Page 20
26.22.462.46	1,000	l	1.25.24.25.24	l
36:22 163:16	193:3	214:2 223:7,13	135:24 136:21	66:9 84:16 135:11
reinstated (1)	repeat (4)	requirements (10)	156:20 195:6	196:6
117:25	70:24 96:12 97:3	121:22 123:5,17	213:12	Robert (4)
rejected (1)	225:12	136:20 137:25	resulted (1)	2:6 125:9,13 236:16
212:23	repeated (1)	138:11 139:2	167:10	role (7)
relate (1)	97:8	180:22 222:6,7	results (2)	50:10,12 65:7 129:13
173:21	rephrase (2)	requires (4)	106:17 140:20	167:13,24 203:19
related (5)	169:14 180:17	138:21 214:14,17,18	retained (1)	roles (3)
54:7 103:5 105:8	report (1)	requiring (2)	167:21	47:22 53:17 62:22
173:19 174:10	43:21	170:13 172:23	return (2)	Rolfe (1)
relating (2)	Reported (1)	resist (3)	147:19,22	16:9
106:23 135:21	1:24	38:12 117:9,11	reversed (2)	Ron (1)
relation (1)	reporter (4)	resisted (1)	118:3,6	16:8
66:10	142:18 235:8,9,10	197:2	review (4)	room (1)
relationship (11)	reporting (2)	resolution (5)	19:8 44:14 107:7	40:11
51:13 102:20 103:13	43:22 96:17	88:23 89:2 109:7	161:10	round (2)
105:18,21 178:10	reports (1)	187:3 231:5	reviewed (3)	90:12 148:4
178:14,19 179:2	70:11	resolutions (6)	166:11 192:20 197:22	routinely (1)
180:6 222:5		218:5 227:13,17	Reznikovich (3)	209:21
	representation (2)	228:3,19 229:20	` /	
relationships (1)	16:6 200:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	125:10,14 236:17	routines (1)
51:23	representative (7)	resolve (2)	right (84)	136:15
relative (1)	77:7 79:4 90:24 100:8	210:4 215:21	10:16 11:10,20 12:24	RPR (3)
47:19	101:8 109:24	respect (16)	19:16 27:8 32:13	1:25 235:7,24
relatively (1)	218:19	6:11 14:7 33:18 37:14	38:15 40:13 46:9	rule (2)
51:7	representatives (10)	39:8 58:15 62:2	49:25 54:5,15 57:7	13:25 20:25
release (1)	65:16 70:4 91:2 93:24	71:25 78:6 79:21	62:19,24 71:4 73:12	ruled (1)
201:20	94:2 100:11 116:15	86:24 91:8 113:23	76:10,24,25 77:5,20	116:5
releases (1)	116:16 169:18	172:9 187:2 200:23	77:24 78:18 88:5	rules (20)
141:7	190:11	respectfully (2)	89:15 90:4,7 95:13	1:2 3:5 6:19 29:20
relevance (1)	represented (2)	26:9 30:19	100:20 101:13,13	33:2 37:21 38:3
190:6	92:23 117:12	respond (1)	104:6,8,11 107:16	112:15 140:8
relevant (6)	representing (5)	154:12	110:21,25 120:13	146:13,17,22 147:2
115:12 176:19 181:8	100:15 158:16 171:4	responded (1)	127:8,24 129:10,11	150:24 159:3
190:2 216:6 231:11	174:23 190:4	95:4	131:6,7 132:3	162:16 179:18,23
reliable (1)	represents (1)	respondent (3)	136:24 138:19	189:25 207:17
66:7	16:12	1:7 2:15 3:7	140:19 141:5,7,9	ruling (29)
relief (3)	request (3)	responding (2)	148:17 151:23	4:10,12,21 5:3,7,10
25:18,19 123:20	3:10 37:25 195:3	94:11 147:24	152:7,11,17,20,22	5:11,21 6:11 8:14
rely (1)	requested (4)	response (7)	153:15 156:2 157:3	8:25 9:2,6,7,9 10:16
157:16	193:10 194:5 195:13	75:17 84:5 94:8,20	160:12 164:16,23	14:12 24:10 25:13
relying (1)	197:10	95:7 118:23 147:7	182:17 186:13	32:5 33:15 35:7
8:21	requesting (1)	responses (1)	198:18 204:19	39:9 116:9 117:25
remember (19)	25:18	148:14	205:4 208:9,14	120:3,22 128:18
44:25 45:9 53:14	requests (3)	responsibilities (6)	209:9,16,25 210:13	162:22
54:16 60:13 61:12	26:9 30:20 94:19	43:13,15,20 44:2,3	210:14,17 215:4	run (3)
72:20 75:22 76:11	require (6)	165:22	222:15 225:23	12:6 64:15 69:7
88:22 89:4,8 101:5	120:25 122:4 123:18	responsibility (1)	226:15 228:21	running (2)
*	123:21 171:10	53:19	rights (24)	48:8 129:19
113:16 114:5 121:3 209:9 213:4 227:14	211:6		18:21 39:10 49:3,16	runs (1)
		responsible (3)	57:5 62:16 106:2	14:19
reminder (2)	required (14)	48:8 166:22 167:3		
12:19,21	30:13 33:3 87:17	rest (5)	119:7,9,13 122:9	Russia (5)
reopen (2)	121:5,6 151:18	31:9 33:20 37:8,9	124:10,13 166:24	21:25 46:20,21 66:12
38:5 147:16	189:22 201:6	51:2	170:10 184:9	172:4
reopened (1)	210:25 212:12,15	restore (1)	205:14 217:10	Russian (9)
37:24	214:5 219:15 230:6	123:23	225:20,21 226:13	51:14 52:2,9,11 64:14
repair (2)	requirement (11)	result (10)	226:23,25,25	83:11,21 86:19,22
75:11,13	59:2 116:6 120:17	21:15 62:7 105:4	risen (1)	
repayment (1)	124:4 151:17	129:20 130:24	141:18	S
	180:16 190:18,18		risk (4)	
	1	ı		ı

S (7)
2:2;20 41:13 145:2;2
145:2 236:12 43:19 137:21 138:2,3 138:11 10:16 severability (2) 214:9,10 215:1 216:3,17,22,24 214:9,10 215:1 216:3,17,22,24 214:9,10 215:1 216:3,17,22,24 214:9,10 215:1 216:3,17,22,24 217:12 222:9, 2 217:12 222:9, 2 217:12 222:9, 2 217:12 222:9, 2 223:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 30:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 30:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 30:2 32:5 224:10,1 225:5,8,15 228 230:2 30:2 32:1 38:10
safer (1) 138:11 10:16 severability (2) 214:9,10 215:1 sake (1) 18:22 49:14 70:12,20 18:22 49:14 70:12,20 17:2 severable (2) 217:12 22:9,2 sales (1) 75:14 76:7 78:23 48:15 86:18 share (10) 225:5,8,15 228 80:16 114:8 121:16 sending (1) 47:20,25 48:18 53:16 230:2 sanction (1) 133:15 136:12 65:15 58:24 59:14 119:8 share (10) shares (13) sandbagged (1) 189:5,18,22 192:2,7 63:16 sends (1) 138:8 170:7 223:22 share (10) share (13) Sanders (1) second-to-last (1) 18:17 43:7 46:2 68:22 60:17 64:11 82:2 86:9 105:16 16 18:12 22 55:3,5 52:3 55:2,5 56:3 50:2 55:3 18:12 0208:8,2 Sarbanes-Oxley (5) 43:19 80:5 138:12,15 secret (1) sense (3) 114:3 126:15,20 18:12 0208:8,2 43:19 80:5 138:12,15 secretary (1) sensible (1) 18:5 169:5 178:19 179:2 55:16 58:415,20 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) senible (1) 223:17,24 226:16 177:14,18 203: 59:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 </td
173:2 sake (1)
Sake (1)
90:22
Sales (1)
80:16 114:8 121:16 sending (1) 47:20,25 48:18 53:16 230:2 shares (13) 159:2 148:4 168:6 185:17 189:5,18,22 192:2,7 189:5,18,22 192:2,7 189:5,18,22 192:2,7 63:16 shareholder (24) 50:23 51:2 56: Sanders (1) second-to-last (1) 59:5 18:17 43:7 46:2 68:22 60:17 64:11 82:2 86:9 105:16 16 Sarbanes-Oxley (5) 43:19 80:5 138:12,15 84:17 120:19 147:9 148:5 85:5 88:7 113:21 sheet (19) 139:12 secretary (1) sensible (1) 188:5 202:11 59:7,13 60:25 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 223:17,24 226:16 59:7,13 60:25 50:12 170:24 175:21 section (12) 70:12 157:19 169:25 59:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 56:22 saying (11) 18:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 168:22 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 5hell (1) 4:13 8:8,15 11:24 92:10 sentence (3) 118:17 119:18,23 5hops (1) 9:12 10:16 11:
sanction (1) 133:15 136:12 65:15 sends (1) 58:24 59:14 119:8 shares (13) 159:2 sandbagged (1) 189:5,18,22 192:2,7 192:19 63:16 senior (7) searcholder (24) 46:19,22 47:4,20 86:9 105:16 16 60:17 64:11 82:2 shareholder (24) 46:19,22 47:4,20 86:9 105:16 16 16 60:17 64:11 82:2 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16 60:17 64:11 82:2 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16 16:19 shareholder (24) 46:19,22 47:4,20 86:9 105:16 16 60:17 64:11 82:2 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16 16:14 19:16 18:17 43:7 46:2 68:22 shareholder (24) 46:19,22 47:4,20 86:9 105:16 16 60:17 64:11 82:2 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16:12 40:10 14:13 12:1 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16:12 40:10 14:13 12:1 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16:12 40:10 14:13 12:1 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16:12 40:10 14:13 12:1 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16:12 40:10 14:13 12:1 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16:12 40:10 14:13 12:1 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16 16:12 40:10 14:13 12:1 sheet (19) 86:9 105:16 16:14 14:14 1
159:2
sandbagged (1) 189:5,18,22 192:2,7 63:16 shareholder (24) 50:23 51:2 56: 86:9 105:16 16 Sanders (1) second-to-last (1) 18:17 43:7 46:2 68:22 60:17 64:11 82:2 86:9 105:16 16 Sarbanes-Oxley (5) secret (1) sense (3) 114:3 126:15,20 55:15 58:4,15; 43:19 80:5 138:12,15 84:17 120:19 147:9 148:5 169:5 178:19 179:2 55:16 58:4,15; 139:12 secretary (1) sensible (1) 188:5 202:11 59:7,13 60:25 satisfied (2) 63:16 149:5 209:19 222:6 223:3 168:25 175:4,1 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 section (12) 70:12 157:19 169:25 95:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 56:22 swing (11) 18:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 Ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 Ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:24 secure (1) sentence (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 95:6,10 128:18 secure
39:2 192:19 222:19 senior (7) 18:17 43:7 46:2 68:22 60:17 64:11 82:2 181:20 208:8,2 158:14 197:7,8 85:5 88:7 113:21 14:3 126:15,20 53:25 54:3 55:7, 120:19 147:9 148:5 169:5 178:19 179:2 55:16 58:4,15,3 169:5 178:19 179:2 55:16 58:4,15,3 169:25 175:4,1 17:14,18 203:
Sanders (1) second-to-last (1) 18:17 43:7 46:2 68:22 60:17 64:11 82:2 181:20 208:8,2 Sarbanes-Oxley (5) secret (1) sense (3) 114:3 126:15,20 53:25 54:3 55:7, 43:19 80:5 138:12,15 84:17 120:19 147:9 148:5 169:5 178:19 179:2 55:16 58:4,15,2 satisfied (2) 63:16 149:5 209:19 222:6 223:3 168:25 175:4,1 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 223:17,24 226:16 177:14,18 203: Saturday (1) 84:20 171:11 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 section (12) 70:12 157:19 169:25 95:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 56:36 61:17 63:14 saw (1) 70:12 157:19 169:25 95:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 56:32 saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 81:15 84:14 88:11 163:22 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 152:17,21,22 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 </td
61:19 59:5 158:14 197:7,8 85:5 88:7 113:21 sheet (19) Sarbanes-Oxley (5) 43:19 80:5 138:12,15 84:17 120:19 147:9 148:5 169:5 178:19 179:2 53:25 54:3 55:7, 139:12 secretary (1) sensible (1) 188:5 202:11 59:7,13 60:25 satisfied (2) 63:16 149:5 209:19 222:6 223:3 168:25 175:4,1 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 223:17,24 226:16 177:14,18 203: Saturday (1) 84:20 171:11 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 section (12) 77:4,11 94:9,23 43:24 50:20,21 54:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 57:21,21 22:17:21 57:21,21 22:18 56:3 60:17 63:14 56:3 60:17 63:14
Sarbanes-Oxley (5) secret (1) sense (3) 114:3 126:15,20 53:25 54:3 55:7, 43:19 80:5 138:12,15 84:17 120:19 147:9 148:5 169:5 178:19 179:2 55:16 58:4,15,2 139:12 secretary (1) sensible (1) 188:5 202:11 59:7,13 60:25 satisfied (2) 63:16 149:5 209:19 222:6 223:3 168:25 175:4,1 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 223:17,24 226:16 177:14,18 203: saturday (1) 84:20 171:11 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 section (12) 77:4,11 94:9,23 43:24 50:20,21 54:8 168:22 saw (1) 70:12 157:19 169:25 95:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 Shell (1) 50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 163:22 saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 152:17,21,22 95:6,10 128:18 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 sho
43:19 80:5 138:12,15 84:17 120:19 147:9 148:5 169:5 178:19 179:2 55:16 58:4,15,7 139:12 secretary (1) sensible (1) 188:5 202:11 59:7,13 60:25 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 223:17,24 226:16 177:14,18 203: Saturday (1) 84:20 171:11 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 section (12) 77:4,11 94:9,23 43:24 50:20,21 54:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 168:22 saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 152:17,21,22 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
139:12 secretary (1) sensible (1) 188:5 202:11 59:7,13 60:25 satisfied (2) 63:16 149:5 209:19 222:6 223:3 168:25 175:4,1 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 223:17,24 226:16 177:14,18 203: Saturday (1) 84:20 171:11 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 section (12) 77:4,11 94:9,23 43:24 50:20,21 54:8 5heets (1) 50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 5hell (1) 50:12 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 ship (3) 15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 19:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
satisfied (2) 63:16 149:5 209:19 222:6 223:3 168:25 175:4,1 30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 223:17,24 226:16 177:14,18 203: Saturday (1) 84:20 171:11 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 section (12) 77:4,11 94:9,23 43:24 50:20,21 54:8 sheets (1) 50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 Shell (1) saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 ship (3) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 95:6,10 128:18 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) 141:24 92:10 separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
30:22 32:18 secrets (2) sent (11) 223:17,24 226:16 177:14,18 203: Saturday (1) 84:20 171:11 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 section (12) 77:4,11 94:9,23 43:24 50:20,21 54:8 168:22 saw (1) 70:12 157:19 169:25 95:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 Shell (1) 50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 163:22 saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 ship (3) 15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) 141:24 92:10 separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
Saturday (1) 84:20 171:11 75:21,23 76:9,20 77:3 shareholders (44) sheets (1) 3:12 70:12 157:19 169:25 77:4,11 94:9,23 43:24 50:20,21 54:8 168:22 50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 163:22 saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 ship (3) 15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) 141:24 92:10 secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
3:12 section (12) 77:4,11 94:9,23 43:24 50:20,21 54:8 168:22 saw (1) 70:12 157:19 169:25 95:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 Shell (1) 50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 163:22 saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 ship (3) 15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
saw (1) 70:12 157:19 169:25 95:23 189:8 56:3 60:17 63:14 Shell (1) 50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 163:22 saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 152:17,21,22 15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
50:12 170:24 175:21 sentence (6) 66:7 75:3,15 79:24 163:22 saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 152:17,21,22 15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
saying (11) 182:22 183:17 30:18 189:5,18 81:15 84:14 88:11 ship (3) 4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 152:17,21,22 15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
4:13 8:8,15 11:13 205:6 222:9,18 192:18 205:7 88:12 113:24 114:2 152:17,21,22 15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) 141:24 92:10 separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
15:6 70:23 89:12 226:20,20 222:18 115:22 117:7 shoes (1) 95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
95:6,10 128:18 secure (1) sentences (3) 118:17 119:18,23 15:7 141:24 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
141:24 92:10 192:18 197:21 222:19 121:6,7 123:13 shops (1) says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
says (14) secured (1) separable (1) 124:22 129:3,23 130:20 9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
9:12 10:16 11:4 19:16 120:2 162:20 134:10 135:15 Shorthand (1)
23.6 20.6 26.24 Securities (1) Separate (1) 130.23 109.11 233.9
37:5 100:14 151:15 135:22 150:12 187:15 192:14,21 shortly (5)
156:8 205:8 219:7 see (26) separately (1) 205:9 219:24 46:24,25 60:12 9
scanty (1) 55:9 70:9 74:17 sequence (1) 224:7 225:25 show (13) 94:20 79:25 80:10 97:4 95:25 226:21 227:2 13:10 21:21 50:1
** - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
""
2000
10012
5002 (2)
120110,20 10010,12
107.2 176.6 100.20
season (1) selected (1) 202:22 203:11 187:6,13,16,20 200:5 206:21,2
146:8 186:5 233:5 235:22 188:2 192:15 207:16,17 231:
seat (4) selection (1) settlement (1) 193:11 197:23 sides (4) 190:13 200:3 201:3 50.24 157 4 150
207:10 199:13 200:3 201:3 59:24 157:4 159:
<u> </u>

Signoud (5) 185:10.17 186:25 180:14 125:7.12 196:18 236:14 125:7.12 196:18 236:14 125:7.12 196:18 236:14 126:18 196:18 236:14 126:18 126:1		_		_	_
Sigmand (5)	205:10	185:10 17 186:25	158-17	1/18:0 156:0 150:23	53.5 68.10 82.21 90.5
190:14 225.71.2 196:15.23 197:13 196:15.23 197:13 196:15.23 197:13 196:16 236:14 209:8 211:16.19.24 212:5 198:12.25 199:4 200:2 200:					
19618 236:14 202:14 209:8 56ft (1) 236:14 236:15 237:22 238:15 236:16 237:22 238:15 238:12 238:22 2		* *	O , ,		
sign (7) 211:16:19.24 212:5 30064 43:11.43.37:5 44:11 44:11 189:12 19:22.51 19:24 42:11.72.52 18:85 56:6 50:6 50:6 50:6 50:6 50:7 50:7 50:7 50:7 50:7 50:7 50:7 50:7 50:7 50:10 50:6 50:7 50:10 50:10 172:2:16 197:4 50:6 70:2:13.72.23 50:6 50:7 50:10 50:11 172:2:16 197:4 50:11 172:2:16 197:4 50:11 172:2:16 197:4 50:11 172:2:16 197:4 50:6 70:7 50:10 10:2:13:07:15:6 50:6:17 50:11 116:11 12:2:4:19:17:29.3 50:0:10 50:0:17 50:0:17 50:0:17 50:0:17 50:0:11 116:11 12:2:4:19:17:49 50:0:11 50:0:12 50:0:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:130:11 10:2:5:13	*				
189.12 192.25 197.25 217.25 218.5 566 292.143.20 88.21 1722.16 197.4 198.12.25 199.4 228.21 233.23.16 567 500 cold. 199.16 590.11 189.22 191.4 219.17 220.19.20 211.4 219.17 220.19.20 211.4 219.17 220.19.20 211.4 219.17 220.19.20 211.4 219.17 220.19.20 211.4 219.17 220.19.20 211.4 219.17 220.19.20 219.8 cold. 219.1 219.					
1981225 199.4 221:21,22 222:2,15 softer (1) 228:21 233:2,3.16 189:16 233:22 236:7,10,17 238:22 199:4 simplator (7) 124:6 sole (1) 135:5 173:5 156:17 state (16) 153:5 173:5 156:17 state (16) 159:10 16:18 159:10					
2002 signatory (t) 189:16 233:22 2367.10.17 sold (3) 48:12 49:19 174:9 156:17 speed (2) 150:19 362 58:15 59:7 speed (2) 150:19 362 58:10 16:18 speed (2) 150:19 362 58:15 59:7 speed (2) 150:19 362 58:11 59:18 362 39:19 362 58:11 59:19 362 58:11 30:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19 36:19					
28-12 28-12 233-2, 316 36-7 300 30 30 30 30 30 30					
189:16 233:22 2367,10,17 signature (7) 90:11 189:22 219:4					
signature (7) silly (1) 48:12 49:19 174:9 sole (1) 16:12 23:8 138:13 10:19 36:2 58:15 59:7 90:11 189:22 219:4 similar (2) similar (3) 145:21 172:2,3 sole (1) 15:12 19:2 speed (2) 59:10 116:18 19:11 189:22 19:12 19:12 19:13 20:12 19:14 20:15:18 59:10 116:18 19:14 20:15:18 59:10 116:18 19:14 20:15:18 59:10 116:18 59:10 116:18 59:10 116:18 59:10 116:18 59:10 116:18 59:10 116:18 30:12,19 59:10 116:18 30:12,19 59:10 116:18 30:12,19 59:10 116:18 30:12,19 59:10 116:18 30:11 19:21 15:99:11 30:12,19 19:21 13:13 30:12,19 19:21 13:13 30:11 19:21 16:59 76:22 19:14 20:51:18 59:10 10:16:18 30:11 19:36:25 8:15 59:7 66:25 18:12 198:5 59:10 10:16:18 30:11 19:36:25 8:15 59:7 66:25 18:12 198:5 59:10 10:16:18 30:22 33:41 49:22 6:22:19 7:13 118:18 48:12 49:19 13:12 49:22 6:22:19 7:13 118:16 48:16 40:18 49:22 6:22:19 7:13 118:16 51:16 18:18 59:10 10:12 14 49:22 6:22:19 7:13 118:16 51:16 18:18 59:10 10:12 14					
90:11 189:22 219:4 219:17 220:19.20 221:4 218:175:12 195:21 198:68.21,24 218:20 221:2 236:15 236:16 21:20,24 22:3 108.4 21:20,24 22:3 108.4 21:20,24 22:3 108.4 22:30,24 22:3 108.4 22:30,24 22:3 108.4 22:30,24 22:3 108.4 23:30 108.4 22:30,24 22:3 108.4 23:30 108.					
219-17 220-19-20 221-4 34-22 321-9 35-28 34-22 321-9 35-28 34-22 321-9 35-28 34-22 321-9 35-28 34-22					
2214 signed (12) 54:25 122:23 similarly (1) 139:21 224:19 somebody (1) 54:25 125:8,12 198:68.21.24 81:32 10:21 simplistic (1) 149:8 56:25 181:2 198:5 simplificant (4) 218:20 221:2 25:5 som (2) simplificant (4) 21:20.24 22:3 108:4 simplistic (1) 22:21 25:52 som (2) simply (6) 22:120.24 22:3 108:4 simplistic (1) 22:22 12:55.21 46:13 207:14 58:41 60:25 23:70:16,21 148:11 73:23 81:21 97:2 173:23 81:21 97:2 174:14 196:10,171 199:20 simit (1) 30:12 22:20 saturation (6) 30:22 32:18 saturation (1) 174:15 161:55 235:7,24 site (1) 174:14 196:10,171 199:20 site (1) 10:20:223 sometody (1) 10:20:223 saturation (6) 10:20:223 saturation (7)					
signed (12) similarly (1) 33:21 224:19 46.7 66:22 191:4 205:15,18 235:41 175:12 195:21 32:9 somebody (1) speed (2) 235:4,11 stated (4) 23:49 somewhat (1) spend (2) 49:22 62:21 97:13 stated (4) 22:21 25:50 somewhat (1) spend (2) 49:22 62:21 97:13 stated (4) 22:21 25:52 146:13 23:16,18 spent (1) statement (6) 33:14 36:18 71:9 118:16 statement (6) 33:14 36:18 71:9 112:21 21:9 193:10 statement (6) 33:14 36:18 71:9 112:21 21:9 193:10 statement (1) 10:25 41:16 163:5 sprint (2) 33:14 36:18 71:9 112:21 21:9 193:10 statements (10) 108:10 131:2,14 132:21 22:25 psping (5) statements (10) 108:10 131:2,14 132:16 60:23 70:16,21 spring (5) statements (10) 108:10 131:2,14 132:16 60:23 70:16,21 spring (5) statements (10) 108:10 131:2,14 132:16 13:223 spring (5) 457:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 457:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 457:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 457:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 457:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 457:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 457:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 457:7 46:15 61:21 63:5				*	
54/25 125.8,12 3:19 somebody (1) spelled (3) 235.4,11 175:12 195:21 198:6,8,21,24 84:13 210:21 somewhat (1) 149:8 66:25 181:2 198:5 stated (4) 21:20,24 22:3 108:4 simplistic (1) 145:17 213:16,18 stated (4) 21:20,24 22:3 108:4 simply (6) 65:20 120:23 42:6 323:4,1 21:72.2 18:11 22:19 109:8 113:5 sorry (29) Sphere (2) 112:2 12:19 191:01 217:23 218:11 207:14 54:17 64:22,24.25 spirit (2) statement (6) 818:06 (5) 148:11 73:23 81:21 97:2 pspire (2) 112:2 12:19 19:10 1:25 41:16 165:5 sit (1) 104:12 12:2.5 pspirit (2) 108:10 13:12,14 213:32 314:9 site (1) 177:2 191:15 pspire (2) 110:5 122:2,23 59:10 213:23 319:9 site (1) site (1) sert (18) spirit (2) 132:2,58,911:14 132:16 30:3 32:32,34 36:14 30:22 32:18 126:6 15:13:12 50:22 11:20:12:25 106:2 20:13:25:59:10 10:12:1 15:24:14:1					
175:12 195:21 198:68,21,24 218:20 221:2 230:15 25:5 2		• , ,			
198.68,21,24 218:20 221:2 simplistic (1) 25:5 simplistic (2) 25:5 simplistic (3) 25:1 25:4 25:5 simplistic (3) 25:1 25:4 25:5 simplistic (3) 25:1 25:5 simplistic (3) 25:1 25:					
218:20 221:2	175:12 195:21		149:8	66:25 181:2 198:5	
236.15 simificant (4) simify (6) 65:20 120:23 spent (1) statement (6) 21:20,24 22:3 108:4 simply (6) 65:20 120:23 42:6 33:14 36:18 71:9 174:5,8 189:10 22:19 109:8 113:5 sorry (29) Sphere (2) 112:2 121:9 193:10 217:23 218:11 simultaneous (1) 56:23 70:16.21 30:22 32:18 statements (10) 125:41:16 165:5 148:11 73:23 81:21 97:2 spite (1) 132:16 235:7,24 93:18 126:4 151:3 152:23 spite (1) 132:16 213:23 214:9 174:14 196:10,17 199:20 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 States (12) 213:23 214:9 174:14 196:10,17 199:20 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 58:19 20:16 22:23 59:10 213:23 23:19:9 20:13,17 28:16 30:5 site (1) 20:17 29:20 20:6 22:8 22:18 22:8:7 50:02 5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 58:19 5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 58:19 61:24 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 59:10 62:2 59:10 62:2 59:10 62:2 59:10 62:2 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 59:10 62:2 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 59:10 62:2 59:10					
significant (4) simply (6) 65:20 (20:23) 42:6 33:14 36:18 71:9 21:20,24 22:3 108:4 51:09 (19:8) 113:5 565:20 (20:23) 42:6 33:14 36:18 71:9 174:5,8 189:10 217:23 218:11 516:4 160:11 207:14 54:17 64:22,24,25 5phre (2) 30:22 32:18 statements (10) Sikora (5) 1.25 41:16 165:5 148:11 73:23 81:21 97:2 104:12 122:25 spirt (2) 132:16 132:16 233:7,24 silent (2) 93:18 126:44 151:31 \$15:23 177:21 91:15 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 5pring (5) 20:62:28,9,11,14 2:15:23,23 14:9 174:14 196:10,17 199:20 88:19 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 5pring (5) 20:62:22.8 135:21 136:25 3:15:22,23 19:19 sit (1) 20:61:7 7:20:53:25 60:24 5pring (5) 135:21 136:25 135:21 136:25 3:14:3 9:15:24 sit (1) 20:61:7 7:20:53:25 60:24 5pring (5) 20:62:23 59:10 20:61:19 statutes (1) 20:12;16 20:62.9 39:14:14:5 33:14:16:5 33:14:16:5 33:14:46:5 33:14:46:5 <	218:20 221:2		145:17	213:16,18	181:6
significant (4) simply (6) 65:20 120:23 42:6 33:14 36:18 71:9 112:19 193:10 174:5,8 189:10 174:5,8 189:10 207:14 54:17 64:22.24.25 Sphere (2) 112:2 121:9 193:10 statements (10) 217:23 218:11 simultaneous (1) 66:23 70:16.21 73:23 81:21 97:2 120:10 224:20 spirit (2) 132:26, 58.11,14 Sikora (5) sir (1) 104:12 122:25 1105: 59;16.21 spirit (2) 132:26, 59.11,14 233:7,24 silent (2) 213:23 214:9 174:14 196:10,17 199:20 819 206:22:8 spirit (2) 132:26, 59.11,14 32:16 213:23 214:9 174:14 196:10,17 199:20 819 squeeze (1) 206:12-163:5 135:21 136:25 135:21 136:25 135:21 136:25 135:21 136:25 135:21 136:25 120:10 224:20 132:26,8,9,11,14 32:16 33:14 14:5 33:14 14:5 33:14 14:5 33:14 14:5 33:14 15:1 32:20 32:20 32:20 32:20 32:20 32:20 32:20 32:20 32:20 32:20 32:21 32:20 32:20 32:2	236:15		soon (2)	spent (1)	statement (6)
217-20.24 22:3 108:4 signing (5) 156:4 160:11 174:5,8 189:10 217:23 218:11 Sikora (5) 18:11 173:23 218:11 18:11 173:23 81:21 97:2 18:16 165:5 235:7,24 18:11 174:14 175:23 218:12 174:14 175:23 218:13 174:14 175:23 218:13 174:14 175:23 218:13 174:14 175:23 218:13 174:14 175:23 218:13 174:14 175:23 218:13 174:14 175:24 151:3 152:23 174:14 175:24 151:3 152:23 175:14 175:14 175:14 175:15:22,23 19:19 20:13,17 28:16 30:5 30:5 33:23 43:614 36:19 37:4 38:9 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 115:20 110:21 15:22,28 199:25 115:20 110:21 15:22,28 199:25 115:20 110:21 15:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 115:20 110:21 15:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 115:20 110:21 15:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 115:20 110:21 15:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 97:4 38:9 137:16 138:23 203:2 20					33:14 36:18 71:9
signing (5) 156:4 160:11 22:2! 25:5;21 46:13 30:22 32:18 statements (10) 174:5,8 189:10 207:14 54:17 64:22,24,25 108:10 131:12,14 217:23 218:11 simultaneous (1) 148:11 73:23 81:21 97:2 112:10:10 224:20 132:25,8,9,11,14 213:23 214:9 sir (1) 104:12 122:25 100:12 122:25 110:5 Spirin (5) States (12) 213:23 214:9 174:14 196:10,17 199:20 819 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:13 17 46:15 61:21 63:5 30:53 23:23 43 61:4 30:19 37:48 39:10 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:				Sphere (2)	112:2 121:9 193:10
174:58 189:10 207:14 54:17 64:22,24,25 66:23 70:16,21 70:23 218:11 5imultaneous (1) 148:11 132:16 53:23 23:24; 9 23:57,24 93:18 sister (1) 174:14 177:20 220:6 222:8 22:17 20:20 222:8 22:18 23:7 20:10,17 199:20 20:13,17 23:16 30:5 33:23,24 30:14 36:19 37:4 38:9 39:4,15,21 49:12 16:25 27:39 99:41.5 59:12 49:12 16:25 27:39 99:41.5 59:12 99:25 11:20 11:21 13:216 30:5 135:21 136:25 136:25	signing (5)	156:4 160:11			statements (10)
217:23 218:11 simultaneous (1) 148:11 73:23 81:21 97:2 110:5 spite (1) 132:16		207:14			
Sikora (5) 1.25 41:16 165:5 235:7.24 1.48:11 sir (1) 73:23 81:21 97:2 104:12 122:25 123:24 spite (1) 104:12 122:25 17:20 1105:23 spite (1) 104:12 122:25 17:20 1105:23 spite (1) 104:12 122:25 17:20 1105:23 spite (1) 135:21 136:25 1105:23 1105:25 1105:23 spite (1) 135:21 136:25 1105:25 1105:23 1105:25 1105:21 136:25 spite (1) 135:21 136:25 1105:25 1105:21 136:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25 1105:21 136:25		simultaneous (1)			*
1:25 41:16 165:5 sir (1) 104:12 122:25 spring (5) 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:18 28:7 20:18 28:7 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:16 22:23 59:10 20:18 28:7 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:19 23:14 20:19 23:14 20:19 22:23 59:10 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 28:19 20:18 2		, ,			
235:7,24 silent (2) sister (1) 177:21 p1:15					
silent (2) sister (1) 177:2 191:15 45:7 46:15 61:21 63:5 135:21 136:25 213:23 214:9 sit (2) 217:20 220:6 222:8 s81:9 140:3,4 146:5 146:5 Sills (121) sit (2) 217:20 220:6 222:8 squeeze (1) 20:512,16 206:2,9 statuts (1) 2:65:25 6:17 8:21 162:9 223:15 sort (18) Sort (18) Squire (1) 70:10 30:13:32,24 36:14 36:19 37:4 38:9 162:6 127:8,12 147:4 235:4 statutes (3) 30:19 37:4 38:9 162:6 127:8,12 147:4 235:4 statutory (1) statutory (1) 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 82:2 95:10 101:21 161:14,15 197:72 5tage (2) stayed (1) 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 313:16 138:23 203:2 sought (2) stage (2) 89:22 94:15 95:12 99:25 313:16 138:23 207:19 sound (2) 86:17 stake (1) 39:26 117:10 120:11,15 six (1) 152:12 153:11 stand (3) 200:14 121:8,20 127:24 82:8 source (2) 86:17 stamad (3					
213:23 214:9 174:14 196:10,17 199:20 88:19 140:3,4 146:5 Sills (121) sit (2) 217:20 220:6 222:8 205:12,16 206:2,9 15:22,23 19:19 20:13,17 28:16 30:5 site (1) sort (18) Squire (1) 70:10 30:5 33:23,24 36:14 36:19 37:4 38:9 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 sitting (1) 84:19 95:20 100:5,7 situe (1) 61:19 status (3) 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 sitting (1) 84:19 95:20 100:5,7 ss (1) 63:19 72:7 74:24 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 52:29 5:10 101:21 157:2 158:9 160:25 stage (2) 157:17 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 23:1:16 sought (2) 40:4 118:20 stayed (1) 111:20 112:21,25 situs (1) 19:8 112:5 stake (1) 204:8,15 117:10 120:11,15 six (1) 15:12 1 53:11 stand (3) 200:14 125:9,13,16,22 size (1) 205:17 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 126:9 127:24 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 stepped (1) 143:16,18 144:9 140:19,24					
Sills (121) sit (2) 217:20 220:6 222:8 squeeze (1) 205:12,16 206:2,9 2:6 5:25 6:17 8:21 15:22,23 19:19 sot (18) sort (18) Squire (1) 70:10 20:13,17 28:16 30:5 30:5 33:23,24 36:14 36:19 37:4 38:9 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 48:19 95:20 100:5,7 38:19 53:4 38:9 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 56:26 127:8,12 147:4 235:4 statutory (1) 157:17 74:24 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 231:16 sought (2) 40:4 118:20 stayed (1) 157:17 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 231:16 sought (2) 40:4 118:20 stayed (1) stayed (1) 115:9 116:25 231:16 sought (2) 86:17 stake (1) 204:8,15 117:10 120:11,15 six (1) 152:12 153:11 stand (3) 200:14 121:8,20 122:18 87:17 sounds (1) 17:19,20 33:13 stepped (1) 143:16,18 144:9 144:16,18 144:9 145:5 146:25 147:8 83:14 115:10 21:2 32:19 43:8 148:15 149:10					
2:6 5:25 6:17 8:21 15:22,23 19:19 20:13,17 28:16 30:5 30:5 33:23,24 36:14 36:19 37:4 38:9 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 111:20 112:21,25 115:9 116:25 117:0 120:11,15 121:8,20 122:18 125:9,13,16,22 126:9 127:24 140:13,17 142:16 143:16,18 144:9 145:5 146:25 147:8 148:15 149:10,18 148:15 149:10,18 150:4,12,15,19 151:2,22 152:11,20 152:22 153:13 157:10 160:16 162:13 164:17,19 165:8 168:5 178:23 182:4,12,16 188:7 Smith (1) 162:9 223:15 sort (18) 70:10 70:10 statutes (3) set (1) 157:17 stage (2) 15:21 75:10 stages (2) 89:22 40:4 118:20 Stayn (2) 86:17 stand (3) 200:14 152:12 153:11 stand (3) 200:14 152:20 33:13 step (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 standing (1) 40:8 statutory (1) 40:11 70:10 93:24 98:12 90:24 94:14 18:20 94:44 94:41 95:24 94:41 95:24 94:41					
15:22,23 19:19					The state of the s
20:13,17 28:16 30:5 30:5 33:23,24 36:14 36:19 37:4 38:9 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 111:20 112:21,25 115:9 116:25 207:19 17:20 11:21 15:21 15:21 15:21 15:21 15:91 16:25 117:10 120:11,15 121:8,20 122:18 125:9,13,16,22 126:9 127:24 140:13,17 142:16 143:16,18 144:9 145:15 149:10,18 148:15 149:10,18 148:15 149:10,18 148:15 149:10,18 150:4,12,15,19 151:22 153:11 150:41 15:10 151:22 153:11 150:41 15:10 151:22 153:11 150:41 15:10 151:14,15 179:7,22 152:12 153:11 150:41 15:10 150:41 15:10 150:41 15:10 150:41 15:10 150:41 15:10 150:25 153:21 154:9 155:13 157:10 160:16 162:13 164:17,19 165:8 168:5 178:23 182:4,12,16 183:7 206:17 127:8,12 147:4 157:25 153:25 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 182:19 152:175:10					
30:5 33:23,24 36:14 36:19 37:4 38:9 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 111:20 112:21,25 115:9 116:25 117:10 120:11,15 121:8,20 122:18 125:9,13,16,22 126:9 127:24 140:13,17 142:16 143:16,18 144:9 145:5 146:25 147:8 148:15 149:10,18 155:13 159:15:13 156:22 153:21 156:13 169:6 30:4,12,15,19 151:2,22 152:11,20 152:25 153:21 154:9 155:13 154:9 155:13 154:9 155:13 154:9 155:13 155:13 154:9 155:13 155:13 156:18 23 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 162:6 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 158:9 160:25 1148:12 179:20 198:11:25 115:21 75:10 118:20 120:4 188:10 198:12:5 198:12:7 118:20 120:4 188:10 198:12:7 198:11:4 18:20 198:12:5 188:17 189:22 189:22 140:41 18:20 198:12:5 188:17 189:22 188:17 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:22 189:21 189:22 189:21 189:22 189:22 189:21 189:22 189:22 199:13:14 18:20 199:13:14 18:20 199:13:14 18:20 199:13:14 18:20 199:13:14 18:20 199:13:14 17:19,20 33:13					
36:19 37:4 38:9 162:6 127:8,12 147:4 235:4 statutory (1) 39:4,15,21 40:12,15 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 82:2 95:10 101:21 157:2 158:9 160:25 157:17 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 231:16 203:2 stage (2) 89:22 94:15 95:12 99:25 231:16 sought (2) 40:4 118:20 Stayn (2) 111:20 112:21,25 situs (1) 19:8 112:5 stake (1) 204:8,15 115:9 116:25 207:19 sound (2) 86:17 stems (1) 117:10 120:11,15 87:17 sounds (1) 17:19,20 33:13 step (4) 125:9,13,16,22 size (1) 205:17 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 126:9 127:24 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 32:19 43:8 140:13,17 142:16 state (1) 145:15 158:11 32:19 43:8 148:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 86:17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:4 118:20 stepped (1) 155:2,22 152:11,20 15:2,6 stands (2) 3:18 4:15 stepping (1) 151:2,22 152:11,20 15:2,6 sparring (1) 28:19 stark (1)					
39:4,15,21 40:12,15 40:22,25 41:3 46:11 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 111:20 112:21,25 111:20 112:21,25 115:9 116:25 117:10 120:11,15 121:8,20 122:18 125:9,13,16,22 126:9 127:24 140:13,17 142:16 143:16,18 144:9 143:16,18 144:9 145:5 146:25 147:8 148:15 149:10,18 150:4,12,15,19 151:2,22 152:11,20 152:25 153:21 153:10 157:2 158:9 160:25 161:14,15 179:7,22 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:2 203:2 204:40:4 118:20 204:8,15 204:8,15 200:14 205:17 205:10 205:17 205:17 205:17 205:10 205:17 205:17 205:10 205:17 205:10 205:17 205:17 205:10 205:17 205:10 205:17 205:10 205:10 205:17 205:10					
40:22,25 41:3 46:11 82:2 95:10 101:21 161:14,15 179:7,22 15:21 75:10 stayed (1) 56:22 78:3 90:8 94:15 95:12 99:25 231:16 sought (2) 40:4 118:20 89:22 94:15 95:12 99:25 231:16 situs (1) 19:8 112:5 stake (1) 204:8,15 115:91 16:25 207:19 sound (2) 86:17 stems (1) 117:10 120:11,15 six (1) 152:12 153:11 stand (3) 200:14 121:8,20 122:18 87:17 sounds (1) 17:19,20 33:13 step (4) 125:9,13,16,22 size (1) 205:17 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 126:9 127:24 82:8 slate (1) 145:5 158:11 32:19 43:8 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 Southern (7) standiard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 148:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 86:17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:8 93:15 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) 19:24 stands (2) steps (1) 152:25 153:21 small (5) serring (1) 28:19 223:8 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 1					
56:22 78:3 90:8 137:16 138:23 203:2 stages (2) 89:22 94:15 95:12 99:25 231:16 situs (1) 19:8 112:5 stake (1) 204:8,15 115:9 116:25 207:19 sound (2) 86:17 stems (1) 121:8,20 122:18 87:17 sounds (1) 17:19,20 33:13 step (4) 125:9,13,16,22 size (1) 205:17 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 126:9 127:24 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 stepped (1) 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 Southern (7) standing (1) stepping (1) 145:5 146:25 147:8 83:14 115:10 86:17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:8 93:15 144:15,19 83:14 115:10 86:17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:8 93:15 145:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 86:17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:8 93:15 150:4,12,15,19 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 stark (1) 223:8 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 smaller (2) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
94:15 95:12 99:25 111:20 112:21,25 115:9 116:25 117:10 120:11,15 117:10 120:11 117:10 120:11,15 117:10 120:11,10 117:10 120:11,10 117:10 120:11,10 117:10 120:11,10 117:10 120:1					
111:20 112:21,25 situs (1) 19:8 112:5 stake (1) 204:8,15 115:9 116:25 207:19 sound (2) 86:17 stems (1) 117:10 120:11,15 six (1) 152:12 153:11 stand (3) 200:14 121:8,20 122:18 87:17 sounds (1) 17:19,20 33:13 step (4) 125:9,13,16,22 size (1) 205:17 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 126:9 127:24 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 stepped (1) 140:13,17 142:16 slate (1) 145:15 158:11 32:19 43:8 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 Southern (7) standing (1) stepping (1) 145:5 146:25 147:8 83:14 115:10 21:2 stands (2) steps (1) 150:4,12,15,19 81:34 115:10 so-many-days (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 19:24 153:6 stark (1) 28:19 223:8 157:10 160:16 still 165 sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 157:10 160:16 23:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9					
115:9 116:25 207:19 sound (2) 86:17 stems (1) 117:10 120:11,15 six (1) 152:12 153:11 stand (3) 200:14 121:8,20 122:18 87:17 sounds (1) 17:19,20 33:13 step (4) 125:9,13,16,22 size (1) 205:17 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 126:9 127:24 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 stepped (1) 140:13,17 142:16 slate (1) 145:15 158:11 32:19 43:8 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 Southern (7) standing (1) 40:8 stepping (1) 144:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 21:2 stands (2) 3:18 4:15 steps (1) 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) so-many-days (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) 3:8 65:20 67:24 stop (2) 152:13 164:17,19 223:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:23 160:18 136:8 174:7 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20<					
117:10 120:11,15 six (1) 152:12 153:11 stand (3) 200:14 121:8,20 122:18 87:17 sounds (1) 17:19,20 33:13 step (4) 125:9,13,16,22 size (1) 205:17 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 126:9 127:24 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 stepped (1) 140:13,17 142:16 slate (1) 145:15 158:11 32:19 43:8 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 Southern (7) standing (1) stepping (1) 145:5 146:25 147:8 83:14 115:10 21:2 stands (2) steps (1) 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) so-many-days (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 119:24 153:6 stark (1) stock (1) 152:25 153:21 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1)<	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				*
121:8,20 122:18 87:17 sounds (1) 17:19,20 33:13 step (4) 125:9,13,16,22 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 140:13,17 142:16 slate (1) 145:15 158:11 32:19 43:8 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 Southern (7) standing (1) 43:8 148:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 8:6 17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:8 93:15 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) so-many-days (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 stock (1) 152:25 153:21 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 smaller (2) 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 156:23 160:18 166:23 160:18 176:22 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 23:6 started (9) stopping (1)					
125:9,13,16,22 size (1) 205:17 standard (4) 22:6 38:8 97:25 123:3 126:9 127:24 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 stepped (1) 140:13,17 142:16 slate (1) 145:15 158:11 32:19 43:8 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 Southern (7) standing (1) stepping (1) 145:5 146:25 147:8 81:4 115:10 21:2 stands (2) steps (1) 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) so-many-days (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 119:24 153:6 stark (1) stock (1) 152:25 153:21 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 55:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 23:26 started (9) stopping (1)					
126:9 127:24 82:8 source (2) 6:15 30:22 31:14 stepped (1) 140:13,17 142:16 slate (1) 145:15 158:11 32:19 43:8 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 slightly (2) standing (1) stepping (1) 145:5 146:25 147:8 slightly (2) 83:14 115:10 stands (2) steps (1) 148:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 slogged (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) 153:6 stark (1) stock (1) 152:25 153:21 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 spak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)					
140:13,17 142:16 slate (1) 145:15 158:11 32:19 43:8 143:16,18 144:9 100:9 slightly (2) 8:6 17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:8 93:15 148:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 21:2 stands (2) steps (1) 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) so-many-days (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 119:24 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 176:22 5:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)					
143:16,18 144:9 100:9 Southern (7) standing (1) stepping (1) 145:5 146:25 147:8 slightly (2) 8:6 17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:8 93:15 148:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 21:2 stands (2) steps (1) 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) so-many-days (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 119:24 stark (1) stock (1) 152:25 153:21 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)					
145:5 146:25 147:8 slightly (2) 8:6 17:5,22 19:4,9,24 40:8 93:15 148:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 21:2 stands (2) steps (1) 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) so-many-days (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 119:24 stark (1) stock (1) 152:25 153:21 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)		` /			
148:15 149:10,18 83:14 115:10 21:2 stands (2) steps (1) 150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) 119:24 153:6 stark (1) stock (1) 151:2,22 152:11,20 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)					
150:4,12,15,19 slogged (1) 3:18 4:15 169:6 151:2,22 152:11,20 119:24 153:6 stark (1) stock (1) 152:25 153:21 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)				40:8	
151:2,22 152:11,20 119:24 stark (1) stock (1) 152:25 153:21 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)	l ·				
152:25 153:21 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)				3:18 4:15	
152:25 153:21 small (5) sparring (1) 28:19 223:8 154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)		119:24		stark (1)	
154:9 155:13 81:7 143:9 193:2 203:24 start (6) stop (2) 157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)		small (5)	sparring (1)		223:8
157:10 160:16 233:7,8 speak (7) 3:8 65:20 67:24 136:8 174:7 162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)	154:9 155:13	81:7 143:9 193:2			stop (2)
162:13 164:17,19 smaller (2) 66:13 179:21 187:24 156:23 160:18 stopped (2) 165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)	157:10 160:16				
165:8 168:5 178:23 71:17 195:9 192:15 196:15 176:22 65:10 114:20 started (9) stopping (1)	162:13 164:17,19	*			
182:4,12,16 183:7 Smith (1) 224:23 232:6 started (9) stopping (1)	165:8 168:5 178:23				
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					
-F		,		(2)	FF 8 (-)
		<u> </u>	1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	ı	ı
226:6	22:25 32:14,16 33:8	subscribers (5)	144:10 180:2 195:8	150:5 154:14
stops (2)	50:21 56:3 78:14	82:8,12,13 83:13	suggestions (1)	172:18 215:10
9:21 11:16	79:4,12 86:25	85:10	146:10	218:14
Storm (175)	122:21 145:14	subsequent (1)	suggests (1)	surprise (1)
1:6 3:7,10,18 4:16,17	154:8 160:4	4:12	220:9	38:25
6:16 8:12 9:12,13	Storm/Alfa (1)	subsequently (1)	suing (1)	suspect (1)
10:20 11:4 16:23	192:12	91:5	163:23	151:19
18:2,4 21:17 23:8	strategic (1)	subsidiaries (3)	suits (1)	SUTCLIFFE (2)
23:23 24:18 25:10	132:22	223:22,23 224:7	120:23	2:4,9
26:9 28:19 29:7	strategy (9)	subsidiary (4)	sum (1)	Swedish (7)
30:19,21 32:18,22	70:14 71:7 107:7,9,11	83:16 163:23 172:12	31:14	60:18,21 176:25
33:15 37:5,10 38:5	107:12 108:11	173:9	summarization (1)	177:5,9,11 189:24
38:13,20 47:3 50:9	120:8 132:20	substance (1)	3:17	sworn (2)
50:20,23,24 51:2,3	Street (1)	173:14	summarize (8)	41:15 165:4
	2:10		3:11 11:23 70:7 79:7	
55:20,20,22 56:7,13		substantial (2)		system (1)
56:20 57:3,23 58:23 65:10,15 66:14 67:4	strengthen (1)	54:7 145:13	93:18 96:15 130:3,5	5:20
	80:24	substantive (3)	summarizes (1)	
70:4 71:21 72:5,16	striking (2)	118:22 186:9,10	126:19	
73:20,24 74:10	119:10,16	substantively (2)	summary (2)	T (6)
78:10 85:21 87:12	strong (2)	4:22 186:8	126:14 149:20	41:13,13 145:2 235:3
88:25 89:13 90:19	20:23 49:2	substitute (1)	summed (1)	235:3 236:12
90:24 91:2 92:23	strongly (2)	100:6	108:6	tab (5)
93:24,25 94:18 95:6	38:11 147:15	substitutes (3)	summer (5)	182:6 191:11 194:17
95:16,17 97:10	struck (2)	99:14,21 100:21	65:13,18 104:10	197:12 211:16
101:22 102:7	194:10 208:3	substituting (2)	128:12,25	table (5)
111:25 116:24	structure (10)	14:10 100:16	super (6)	16:17 40:16 86:8
119:5 122:13	81:19,23 117:20	substitution (1)	170:13 210:25 211:6	106:5 147:20
123:21 129:21	119:6 124:19	100:17	212:11,14 225:22	tabs (1)
134:20 135:25	134:25 135:4,16	succeed (3)	supplemental (1)	199:19
137:17 145:11,22	179:24 225:4	105:23 117:13 213:7	127:12	tabular (1)
147:13,19,21 148:6	structures (1)	successful (1)	supplied (2)	126:19
149:16 153:22	209:22	93:9	112:4 199:15	tactics (1)
154:7 155:20,22	studied (1)	sue (1)	supply (2)	226:9
156:22 157:13	53:4	20:6	57:15 158:19	tailored (1)
159:12 163:2 169:4	studying (1)	sued (4)	support (6)	209:23
169:16,20,21 170:9	42:7	17:15 18:4 91:5	30:24 49:11 68:15	tailoring (2)
171:5,24 173:15,19	subject (14)	111:15	69:13 85:19 130:10	172:7,17
173:21 176:14	16:14 18:19,22 19:6	suffering (1)	supported (2)	take (42)
177:21,24 178:5	39:22 143:20 149:3	81:14	68:22 80:17	5:14 10:17 17:12 22:6
180:4 189:11,13,16	152:25 155:2,22	sufficient (8)	supporting (2)	24:23 25:9 28:13
189:21 190:5,11	166:8 206:19	6:4,21,24 7:2 21:20	150:8 218:6	32:8 34:14,23 39:7
192:11 194:5,8,11	213:24 234:4	40:16 69:6 81:10	suppose (9)	39:13 40:6,11 56:11
195:4,11,13,19	submission (6)	sufficiently (1)	46:4 90:13 117:10	66:4,8 71:24 80:2
196:3 197:22	34:15 146:14 148:11	81:12	119:4 122:20	81:4,24 88:4 92:6
198:16,22 199:7	149:6 154:17 157:5	suggest (17)	126:23 144:10	92:19 107:13
200:25 201:10,23	submissions (11)	159:9 173:13 174:19	155:14,14	121:17 126:10
202:4,12 203:8	5:16 34:14,22 35:13	174:25 177:25	supposed (3)	132:21 133:18
206:8,21 207:15	35:20 145:12,16,22	178:6 190:5,23	10:2 16:3,15	142:17 143:9,17,25
208:6,16 209:14	145:25 146:3 234:9	191:2,6 193:19	supposition (1)	154:5 161:25
212:19 217:7,14,15	submit (5)	194:12 200:6,25	102:15	162:10 183:23
217:15,22 218:10	5:8 6:3 8:3 28:18	201:14,24 225:23	supreme (4)	188:7 190:12 203:9
218:13,20,23 219:7	155:3	suggested (6)	114:7 115:20 116:8	206:5,16
219:16 220:18,18	submits (2)	119:5 146:21 173:19	118:9	taken (17)
221:6,6,7,8 225:9	8:5 148:6	173:20 193:12	sure (17)	32:12 38:25 40:24
225:22 227:9 231:8	submitted (5)	195:10	24:7 26:5 34:20 78:24	56:14 66:11 81:12
231:13,19,22,24	33:21 35:4 44:16	suggesting (1)	84:14 85:15 86:2	91:20 115:24 118:7
234:8	149:24 156:22	36:15	89:11 98:5 136:18	124:25 129:21
Storm's (18)	submitting (2)	suggestion (3)	144:12 146:23	135:14 188:6 218:9
3:13 7:4 17:7 20:11	146:15 166:5			220:17 221:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

225 12	102 12 10 105 0 12	4.00 (4)	140 2 150 20 151 4	142 2 0 140 7 10
235:12	193:13,19 195:8,13	testify (4)	149:2 150:20 151:4	143:3,8 148:7,18
talk (2)	195:17 196:10,12	39:25 166:7,9 211:20	151:14,18,24 152:8	149:5,24 150:3,25
50:8 138:11	196:20,25 197:8	testifying (2)	152:11 154:6,10,23	151:7,17,20 152:10
talked (2)	198:3 199:15	41:24 44:13	155:10 157:3 158:3	155:12 174:8 175:2
101:6,7	200:21 202:6 203:9	testimonial (1)	159:8,16 160:10,15	175:10,11 177:18
talking (15)	203:15 205:24	149:22	160:17 161:5,6	185:24 193:9 194:3
50:5,16 62:8,13 84:6	206:4,21 207:16	testimony (9)	162:14 164:8 176:9	194:7,9 200:24
92:14 96:21 99:4	209:16 212:23	56:19 91:8 116:11	176:10,21,22	213:18 217:21
138:12 196:9	231:6,13,16,24	143:16,24 156:4	177:11 179:14	221:19 223:5
210:14 217:10,25	Telenor's (14)	206:19 207:13	181:14 184:10	234:14
218:3 228:18	36:4 47:5 48:11 92:21	209:10	187:9 195:20	times (5)
talks (1)	101:25 103:5	text (3)	204:13 206:25	9:11 64:4 92:15
208:5	132:10 136:23	184:2 192:25 230:16	208:24 209:7,17	115:11,12
tap (1)	140:24 141:7,12	thank (23)	215:14 220:10	timetable (1)
88:20	142:5 160:2 169:15	3:21 15:23 32:11	221:10,14,18	113:14
team (2)	telephone (1)	33:11,22 39:15	231:14 232:6 233:3	timing (2)
148:19 204:18	24:9	40:22 41:12 69:21	233:23	146:9 148:16
technical (10)	tell (13)	142:12,13,14,15	third (5)	title (1)
68:25 69:12 70:11	42:3 47:23 67:3 69:25	164:17,19 202:14	47:21 75:16 83:15	199:6
118:21 130:11	72:24 75:23 78:7	202:15 232:21,23	94:5 193:3	today (24)
179:22 187:14	92:23 101:14	233:16,24 234:10	THOMPSON (4)	4:18 6:15 8:17 11:25
193:2,22 195:3	106:23 128:9	234:12	2:24 40:14 185:4,7	12:3,8 16:8 24:11
technique (1)	195:17 212:9	thing (17)	Thor (1)	25:20 26:17 28:3
173:25	telling (5)	25:6 36:2 37:20 38:4	53:12	31:7 34:4 36:25
technology (3)	6:24 73:23,24 216:19	39:4 80:12 104:24	thought (8)	41:25 44:14 67:25
171:11 173:5 232:22	217:2	135:12,12 139:3	14:18 30:5 66:9 93:14	68:2 89:19 129:13
telecom (5)	tells (2)	142:2 144:6 156:8	128:15 191:15	142:13 147:6 166:7
` ,	18:24 120:6	162:4 187:14	226:7 229:13	233:25
51:6,14 172:11,20				
173:10	template (1) 172:2	219:12 220:8	thoughts (2) 85:25 145:23	Tol (34)
Telenor (138)		things (9)		2:19 3:21 4:5,7 7:14
1:3 3:6 4:24 5:9,14	term (20)	15:9,11 130:7 131:7	threat (1)	7:22 10:3,10,23
6:13 7:6,7,9,11,12	53:25 54:3 55:6,11,12	134:23 136:7 144:3	142:3	11:10,19 12:2,16
7:14,15 8:10,16	55:16 58:3,15,17	181:21,23	three (5)	14:3,23 16:11 25:12
15:2,14 28:23 29:12	59:7,13 60:25	think (132)	47:10 53:15 119:14	25:21 26:18 27:8,11
29:24 30:7,15 33:3	168:22,25 175:3,10	3:8,25 4:3 7:11 12:19	155:19,21	28:4,8,11,15 29:15
36:11 42:13,16,18	175:17 177:14,18	12:24 14:3,4,12	three-day (1)	29:22 31:8 32:11
43:6,10,13,23 44:6	203:2	16:16,18 18:8 20:17	185:13	33:11 35:3,16,23
45:9,14,17 47:8,20	terminate (2)	20:21 21:16 22:6,10	threshold (4)	39:3
48:6 49:5,10 53:10	187:16 196:3	22:14,25 23:15,16	48:23 49:18 196:5	Tolchinsky (2)
53:18 58:22 61:3,5	terminated (2)	24:22 28:4,16 31:24	232:2	204:8,14
62:14,15 63:4 65:21	103:15 187:21	32:4 35:16 36:3,7	thresholds (2)	told (4)
67:7 68:15,23 69:2	termination (4)	37:19 38:2,20 39:21	210:12 213:2	62:13 65:19 110:3
69:4 73:5 74:11	193:13,18 194:5,10	46:8 51:5 53:25	thumb (1)	155:21
78:10 85:4,18 93:9	terms (19)	54:6 62:7 65:5	22:20	tomorrow (3)
99:2,23 100:4,10,15	47:24 48:18 53:22	66:23,25 68:9 72:18	Thygesen (2)	197:25 198:12 234:7
103:2,3,10 106:4,13	86:18 130:10,20	74:20 75:5 76:16	99:9 100:18	tone (1)
112:17 116:15	135:20 144:10	82:12 86:5,10,15,15	tied (1)	23:17
121:17 130:9 132:9	152:5 153:5 155:17	89:7 90:14 91:15	33:16	top (4)
134:15 136:16,18	170:5 175:13 186:9	92:12 95:23 97:2,2	time (61)	47:11 51:23 138:25
137:8,10,14,17	186:11 215:25	99:13 102:10	12:5 16:23 17:12 21:5	200:10
138:2,23 139:4,6	218:24 219:8 227:3	106:11 109:4	22:9,9 38:8 39:13	topics (3)
140:19 141:4,24	territory (1)	115:17 120:6,20	50:15 55:21 56:2,15	47:13 53:15 107:4
142:4 156:16	174:20	122:18 123:3	57:2 59:22 63:17	Tormel (1)
165:17,19,23 166:2	test (1)	124:23 125:3 126:9	65:3,9,13,14 67:12	45:15
166:22 167:3,14,15	38:7	126:11 127:7	90:4 93:19 103:19	TORSTEIN (1)
169:3,6 170:7 171:5	tested (1)	129:24 134:13	105:21 109:23	236:5
171:8 172:3,13	161:22	139:13,24 141:2,10	111:24 114:24	totally (1)
173:5 176:7,14,15	testified (3)	143:18,19,24 147:8	116:3,22 126:24	159:21
178:12 180:5	41:16 165:5 196:24	147:9,18 148:24	131:25 142:25	touch (1)
	13.10 100.0 170.21		101.201.20	(-)

32:3	15:2 23:10,11 82:4	233:12	227:25 232:10	undo (1)
touched (1)	102:8 130:4	typical (2)	Ukrainians (2)	85:2
129:24	trying (8)	112:21 207:10	69:14,16	undone (1)
Tower (1)	9:24 19:12 92:25 98:3	typically (2)	ultimate (4)	35:5
2:9	159:22,24 196:3	16:25 181:23	15:17 64:12 124:18	unfair (1)
traditional (1)	219:14	10.23 101.23	205:20	174:20
43:15	Tumanov (13)	U	ultimately (3)	United (12)
transaction (3)	76:15,23 93:10,23	Uh-huh (1)	112:13 115:20 204:21	20:15 22:23 59:10
56:14 80:19 226:18	94:6,12 95:3,9	228:5	unable (6)	135:20 136:25
transcript (2)	97:12,15,24 100:23	Ukraine (57)	94:17,24 95:15 96:2	140:3,4 146:5
16:10 235:14	200:20	5:11,19 7:8 8:11 9:17	107:14 131:25	205:12,16,25 206:9
transferred (1)	Tumanov's (1)	10:5,11 11:8 14:22	unanimous (2)	universally (1)
173:7	98:11	16:4 20:6,19 21:5	90:11 102:24	158:22
treated (1)	Turkcell (4)	21:25 23:10 43:11	unanimously (1)	University (1)
154:20	83:16,24 86:25 87:4	52:4,6 53:2,3 62:8	233:11	42:6
treatise (2)	Turkish (2)	62:11,12 69:9 77:12	uncertainty (1)	unraveling (2)
158:11 161:18	83:17 87:6	82:16,18,22,23 83:3	131:4	160:19 163:3
tremendous (1)	Turks (1)	96:11 101:8 103:4	Uncitral (16)	unreasonable (1)
82:18	83:21	112:16 114:8	1:2 3:5 6:18 29:20	174:21
trial (5)	turn (28)	115:21 116:19	33:2 37:21 59:17	unseemly (1)
31:16 117:15,25	58:3 59:4 65:12 69:23	141:19,23 142:4,10	146:13,17,24	22:16
119:25 155:6	72:13 75:16 77:21	156:25 172:8,12	150:24 159:2	uptake (1)
tribunal (33)	91:12 92:17 93:17	173:9,17 174:20	162:16 206:15,23	130:19
5:24 12:20 14:5 22:20	96:5 97:18 105:22	177:4 179:17	207:17	uptakes (1)
25:15 26:10 27:7	106:16 110:10	180:13 191:4	undated (3)	210:11
29:3 30:20 32:14,20	118:3 120:21 128:2	214:13 215:4,8,16	125:8,12 236:15	use (9)
32:25 33:7,12 35:6	138:6 168:12	224:18,23	underlying (1)	49:23 102:8 168:10
36:3,7,10,20 38:19	169:23 182:4	Ukrainian (115)	35:2	171:25 206:22,23
39:12 40:18,20	184:19 188:24	4:11,12 5:2,17 6:2	understand (29)	224:15 226:8,15
126:17 128:23	205:5 222:7,8 228:9	8:15 9:5 12:5,13,22	9:24 10:9 15:10 26:5	useful (1)
145:5,7 147:25	turned (5)	13:5,11,14,16 14:19	26:15 31:22 53:5	4:2
149:15 151:16	47:8 50:24 90:24 91:2	14:25 15:3 16:15	70:17,22 75:7 76:19	usual (1)
153:16 154:6 234:6	91:6	17:3 18:14 19:18	89:11 100:4 102:9	5:5
tribunals (1)	turning (7)	21:6 23:2,5,23,25	118:10 120:15	usually (2)
158:23	59:20 65:7 73:16	26:13 27:13,15,18	129:12 157:13	174:16 176:18
tribunal's (8)	74:16 78:19 79:2	28:14,17 29:8,18	159:19 160:16	U.S (10)
19:22 22:9 37:18 39:9	109:9	30:2 35:14 49:3,17	162:4 164:7 207:13	60:20 162:16 167:22
39:23 41:4 149:3	turns (1)	50:21 67:15 68:4,6	212:5 217:21	176:11,16,23
155:3	137:23	83:7,20,21 86:12	218:15,19 219:15	181:23 188:18
tried (7)	twice (3)	90:25 100:4 112:22	223:24	206:3 207:5
80:4,23 109:5 113:20	162:21,25 163:2	115:15 117:4,6	understanding (27)	
128:11 140:21	two (46)	118:8,12 119:25	51:10 60:23 61:25	V
213:5	15:9 17:20 22:7 27:18	120:16 121:4,5,23	63:10,20 64:17 74:9	Vadim (3)
trigger (2)	30:12 34:3 43:5	122:7,17 123:6,7,12	76:2 84:8,11 85:12	125:8,13 236:15
105:17 185:14	45:17 47:9,18,22	124:5,7,20,22,24,25	100:22 105:19	valid (6)
triggered (2)	49:6 51:7 53:16	125:5 135:10 146:4	111:10,14 134:15	160:6 163:6,9,13
104:20,24	55:16 66:11 79:16	160:10 161:24	134:18 146:7	190:20 191:9
trouble (1)	96:13 97:4,20 99:2	162:10 163:17	168:24 170:4,16,18	validity (1)
103:16	99:22 100:20 103:9	164:11,15 178:13 178:17 179:6,13,18	173:22 180:14	161:20
troubled (1)	106:22 114:5	178:17 179:6,13,18	184:4 205:9 228:22	validly (1)
156:11	118:15 119:16 120:24 136:7	181:13,24 189:23	understood (5) 51:15,17 52:9 178:25	210:4
true (1) 235:15	142:25 143:15	189:25 190:5,13,19	179:9	value (2)
	144:7 153:14	190:24 191:7	undertake (2)	81:17 173:6
trump (1) 123:11	162:14 163:19	213:25 214:5,25	183:25 205:12	values (1) 142:5
trumps (1)	169:10 189:12	215:25 214.5,25 215:11,13,20,25	undertaking (1)	Van (34)
216:24	192:18 195:24	216:6,23,25 222:5	227:9	van (34) 2:19 3:21 4:5,7 7:14
try (6)	197:21 199:16	222:24 223:7,14	undertook (1)	7:22 10:3,10,23
~ J (0)	200:8 203:6 229:3	225:3 226:14,16	225:15	1.22 10.3,10,23
			223.13	l

				1496 20
				i
11:10,19 12:2,16	void (3)	wants (4)	110:23 123:20	82:10,17,23 83:4,14
14:3,23 16:11 25:12	13:19,22 27:19	5:9 24:18 36:11 38:2	126:11 134:17	83:19 84:4 85:24
25:21 26:18 27:8,11	Volume (1)	warning (1)	142:16 145:7 146:7	86:5,14 87:15,20,24
28:4,8,11,15 29:15	1:5	104:9	146:9,23 151:18	88:5,12,18 89:3,7
29:22 31:8 32:11	vote (1)	wasn't (4)	152:9 154:12	89:15 91:22,25 92:4
33:11 35:3,16,23	102:23	36:14 95:21 105:13	155:11,12 157:10	92:12 98:13,18,21
39:3	voted (2)	173:20	160:2 162:23	99:4,20 101:16,19
various (6)	102:25 103:6	waste (1)	164:17 170:17	102:10,16 104:5,7
112:3 146:2,4 165:25		116:22	175:6 183:8,16	104:13,22 105:7
	voting (23)			104.13,22 103.7
167:25 190:7	87:14 166:24 184:8	way (24)	184:7 190:13 224:3	
Vendor (1)	184:11,14,20	4:14 5:19 6:8 9:18	228:18 233:23,25	110:16,20 111:8,18
107:11	185:12,20,24 186:2	30:6 39:22 51:22	we've (23)	114:18,22 115:4,19
venture (3)	186:3,9,16 187:4,18	85:25 106:16	19:12,25 35:4 36:23	116:11 126:13
179:8 207:11 209:15	187:25 188:4,11	115:15 119:24	41:8,10 94:15,16	127:16 129:6,10,14
ventures (5)	193:7 198:25	125:3 169:20	95:13,14,15 112:3	129:24 130:4
165:25 171:9,18	217:10 218:2,4	171:13 172:21	118:19,22 127:11	131:15,22 132:3,7
176:18 213:15	vs (1)	179:15 186:18	142:23 151:25	132:15,24 133:4,7
venue (1)	1:5	204:14 205:3 209:2	162:20 163:12	133:21,25 134:5
20:2		209:24 217:6 227:9	168:7 183:5 192:22	136:3,6 137:2,5,8
version (3)	W	231:5	228:19	137:19,23 138:8,14
154:8 184:7 193:6	Wack (8)	wear (1)	whatsoever (1)	138:20 139:8,13,19
veto (9)	61:10 188:13,17	124:5	34:25	139:23 140:6
			WHEREOF (1)	141:22 142:8,14,20
170:10 209:9,16,25	189:5,14 190:4,12	week (5)		
210:13,17 225:20	191:19	31:17 62:7 63:16	235:21	142:24 153:18
225:20,23	Wack's (1)	165:13,14	wholly (1)	164:18 165:3 179:5
vice (5)	189:19	weekends (1)	86:12	181:14 182:21
43:7 93:14 100:5	wage (1)	148:25	why's (1)	194:18 196:10,14
102:2 129:15	214:17	weeks (3)	232:14	196:17,22 202:20
video (4)	Wait (2)	113:25 114:4 153:24	wife (2)	203:4,12,16,20
34:6 40:3,9,10	89:10 184:22	welcome (5)	40:4 143:4	204:7,13,25 205:23
Vienna (6)	waive (2)	24:19 33:9 37:6	WILLIAM (1)	206:10,25 207:20
73:2,3,8,9,14 98:20	226:13,22	145:21,23	1:19	208:11,14,18,24
view (14)	waiver (2)	well-defined (1)	willing (5)	209:11,17 210:10
27:2 34:11 36:4,21	39:10 122:21	210:19	48:15 106:4 195:18	210:16 211:3,7,11
78:17 81:20 122:9	walk (2)	well-regarded (1)	202:5 226:12	211:14,18,20 212:7
122:13 145:7 157:7	38:13 96:8	17:21	winding (1)	212:13,17,20,24
159:21 161:14		went (4)	144:5	213:14 214:6,21
	walks (1)		window (6)	215:5,9,14,24 217:3
162:10 195:25	38:22	17:15 103:12 180:9	` ,	217:18,24 218:14
viewed (1)	want (36)	188:14	128:13 131:19 133:3	1
125:3	17:12 20:22 24:22,25	weren't (5)	133:4,18 142:23	218:21 219:2,9,18
viewpoint (2)	26:4,15,17 27:10,11	17:4 99:18 113:2,3	winter (1)	220:2,5,21 221:10
195:23 231:11	27:24 34:10,19	115:13	45:6	221:14,17 222:10
VimpelCom (7)	36:22 38:22 39:6	western (1)	withstand (1)	229:16 230:8,21
46:20 64:14 86:20	40:6 86:6 89:11	83:9	153:12	231:21,25 232:12
103:16 105:25	116:21 125:22	we'll (22)	witness (217)	232:16 234:11
148:20 172:5	135:18 137:13	22:5,14 38:8 39:14	17:25 39:19 41:6,10	235:21 236:4
violate (1)	140:13 154:5	40:2,7,8,18 92:18	41:14 45:12 46:8,13	witnesses (3)
12:12	155:25 163:16	93:18 146:19	50:6,15,19 51:16	34:4 155:19,21
violated (2)	192:23 193:16	149:14 150:4,15,19	52:3,7,11,19,23	wondering (1)
67:14 95:6	194:8 209:19 211:9	150:20 155:7	53:3 54:15 55:2,8	99:18
violation (6)	211:20 213:16	157:14 158:7,19	55:14,24 56:9,17	word (1)
66:3 74:20 75:8,12	220:7 230:14,18	161:7,24	57:19 59:25 60:5,11	120:20
77:16,19	wanted (19)	we're (51)	61:4,12,16 64:3,9	wording (4)
*		, ,		172:7 185:24 225:7
visuality (1)	44:6,8 46:18 47:24	6:23,23 10:2,6,12,24	64:24 65:5 66:21	
101:25	48:3,18,20,24,25	11:15 20:13 22:4	67:20 68:2,5,9,17	233:8
vis-a-vis (2)	64:10 80:25 103:21	24:17,17 25:2 31:15	68:21 69:15 70:19	words (4)
9:25 167:13	105:15 108:13	31:25 34:7 35:6,9	71:6 72:18 73:3,13	50:11 51:11 144:6
Vladimir (1)	127:9 128:13	35:11 36:25 37:8	74:22 76:10,25 77:5	173:14
109:11	208:20,21,25	41:9 54:12 91:11	77:10,20 81:23	work (9)
	I	I	I	1

				Page 2
40.17.106.14	10 6 10 0 60 11 10	C 11 0 C 107 C 107 C	2262	70 676 22 22 2
42:17 136:14	42:6 43:9 63:11,13	6:11 9:6 107:6 165:20	236:2	72:6 76:22 89:8
138:21 148:25	82:14 110:3 115:21	1/2 (2)	18th (4)	91:10 92:17 93:21
165:24 172:8	119:2 133:6,8	49:4,5	3:19 74:23 77:9 79:10	96:6 98:4 101:3
180:12 200:16	148:18 193:2	1:27 (1)	19 (1)	104:4,17,21 106:23
225:2	200:24 201:9,15	145:3	199:20	108:4,14 110:12
	years (6)	10 (8)	19th (5)	113:11 115:8 117:3
42:22 115:15 224:23	42:19,21,25 43:5	40:21 42:21 96:7,23	149:11,12,17 234:3,9	133:17 201:9,15
working (3)	103:9 200:22	97:5 170:21 184:20	1983 (1)	2006 (12)
148:19,20 173:8	yesterday (1)	211:25	157:25	1:12 4:10 32:15
world (2)	197:24	10-minute (1)	1984 (1)	113:15 132:21
66:6 159:21	York (67)	39:13	157:19	133:11,16,17
worried (1)	1:10,10 2:5,5,18,18	100 (1)	1992 (1)	201:20 235:13,22
31:10	13:8 19:4,6 20:3,4	83:10	64:18	236:2
wouldn't (11)	22:4 23:13 26:12	10022 (1)	1998 (2)	2007 (3)
8:16 22:7 30:14 38:10	27:17 34:5 59:10,18	2:18	44:12 177:8	71:8 133:20,24
49:23 62:15 109:7	66:5 123:25 143:3	10103-0001 (1)	1999 (1)	2008 (1)
124:25 148:13	151:20 156:18	2:5	165:20	132:21
174:7 230:7	157:16,19 158:3	11 (5)		22 (4)
write (1)	160:9,13 161:9,21	96:7 97:18,19 191:11	2	26:11 27:25 32:15
152:18	161:22,23 162:8,12	191:23	2 (5)	108:4
writing (4)	162:15 163:10,12	11th (3)	70:10 71:3 75:18	22nd (3)
34:24 102:6 119:2	163:14,20 167:22	94:7 95:4,8	76:20 192:8	12:23 13:3 26:21
146:3	176:3,12,19,22	12 (3)	2.05B-2 (1)	23 (3)
written (16)	177:14,22 178:2,8	93:21 106:22 127:13	2.03 D-2 (1) 227:7	182:23 183:3,12
34:22 35:13 53:24	179:3,12 180:7,9,12	12th (4)	20 (5)	23H (1)
88:22 89:2 90:11	183:22 186:7	93:22 94:9 95:5 97:3	42:19 81:8 82:7,13	40:14
91:20 92:10 102:11	205:16,19 206:16	12:31 (1)	85:11	25 (1)
109:6 119:12 141:3	206:23 207:5,18	144:18	20th (1)	2:10
145:11,20 190:24	232:10,13,16 235:4	125 (1)	235:22	27 (1)
228:4	235:5,11	236:14	2000 (2)	110:19
	youth (1)	127 (1)	184:12,14	27th (1)
9:5 30:9 117:19	103:3	236:18	2002 (44)	208:3
wrote (3)	Yup (1)	13 (6)	45:7,11,12 46:15	28 (2)
25:17 94:7 193:15	224:2	59:6 106:23 175:16	55:22 56:7 61:21	6:19 33:2
W-A-C-K (1)	224.2	205:5 222:8 227:7		28th (1)
188:13	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$		64:21,23,24 102:18 104:10 135:6	168:18
	Z (1)	14 (3) 107:17 110:14 133:15		29 (5)
X	2:20		166:23 167:4,9	37:21 54:21 55:3 56:7
	ZEBALLOS (1)	140 (1)	182:11 184:7,14,23	
x (5)		236:7	185:11,22 186:19	204:22
1:3,8 5:12 236:3,12	2:20	15 (7)	187:5,12,24 188:10	29th (3)
Y	0	25:17 26:4 30:17	193:6,20 195:2,18	35:22 168:23 208:4
	03 (1)	110:10,24 194:17	204:22 208:4 217:9	3
Y (1)	182:25	197:12	217:22 219:15	
165:2	182:25 04 (4)	15th (4)	227:14 228:23	3 (2)
yeah (37)	` '	8:22 25:22 96:11 97:7	229:7,14,24 230:3	74:16 192:8
42:19 48:20 50:15	108:10,10 188:8 192:16	16 (5)	230:17,23	3:22 (1)
55:8 59:9 63:17		110:11 113:4 127:9	2004 (27)	234:14
	05 (5)	182:6 211:16	65:13 71:9 102:19	30 (2)
87:20 96:17,25	88:19 90:13 131:17	165 (1)	104:11,12,15	98:4 146:22
98:21,23 102:16	131:20,22	236:10	108:20 167:10	30th (5)
104:7 107:2,18	1	17 (4)	175:12 177:20	98:23 99:7 101:2,3
118:25 138:8,9		128:6,7 199:20	182:11,13 186:20	192:16
	1 (18)	200:11	187:6,13 195:2	32-7 (1)
179:25 184:15	2:10 4:10,21 5:3,7,10	18 (14)	199:13 200:24	151:15
199:21 204:25	5:21 8:25 9:7 12:12	1:12 70:6 76:22 79:13	201:7 211:12,14	35 (1)
205:23 206:10	25:13 32:5 33:15	82:12 110:12 111:7	223:13 229:10,25	2:9
212:7 226:11 227:8	35:7 70:19 125:7,11	111:8 113:11 128:4	230:4,17 231:6	36 (1)
227:21,21,21,21	236:14	199:19,20 235:13	2005 (26)	151:2
year (15)	1st (4)		65:11 70:6 71:8,11	

			Page 28
39 (1)	604 (1)		
16:10	184:16		
16:10	65 (1)		
4	48:14		
4 (2) 24:5 77:24	66 (1) 96:25		
4th (1) 24:16	666 (2) 1:10 2:4		
40 (7)	67 (1)		
16:10 49:2,17,21	96:23		
208:20,21 209:4	69 (1)		
41 (1)	106:25		
236:6	100.23		
42 (1)	7		
2:9	7(2)		
43 (1)	92:19 93:20		
49:5	7th (5)		
43.5 (3)	76:8 77:14 95:3		
169:5 208:7 209:5	118:24,25		
	70 (1)		
5	106:25		
5 (6)	71 (1)		
70:13 71:3 77:22 78:8	107:20		
168:12 175:7	72 (1)		
5.02 (1)	110:11		
186:23	73 (2)		
50 (10)	110:11 113:4		
47:3 48:3,21,23 50:22			
61:22 62:15,18	8		
64:11 86:8	8 (11)		
50.1 (2)	58:3,6,7 79:13 87:9		
56:12 57:3	89:8 92:18 94:4		
50/50 (1)	169:23 188:24		
48:4	191:21		
503 (1)	8th (3)		
185:24	78:20 79:5 165:15		
514-01 (1)	9		
157:20 557 (1)	9(1)		
2:11	96:7		
56 (1)	9th (1)		
49:4	95:8		
590 (1)	9:15 (1)		
2:17	1:12		
	9:30 (1)		
6	3:18		
6 (4)	92 (2)		
78:19 79:3 96:10	64:10,20		
182:24	96 (2)		
6.03 (2)	43:6 68:11		
222:9 224:4	97 (1)		
6.03A (4)	43:6		
182:23 183:17 222:18	98 (1)		
226:20	63:5		
602 (1)			
222:10			
603 (1)			
222:11			